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MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Welcome, everybody, to North Haven Planning Board. Bryan von Hagn's not here tonight. He's the chairman. I'm his acting chairman tonight.

Stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.
(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Okay. So
we're gonna go a little different schedule. We're gonna start -- oh, we want to do the transcript.

MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah, you can approve the minutes.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Everybody read the transcript? Any changes, anything?

MR. DURYEA: I make a motion.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Second?

MS. EDWARDS: I'm good. Yes. I'll second.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: All?
ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
MS. GUBITOSI: We should also
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probably note that Allen Kopelson is joining on Zoom.

MR. MIDDLETON: Allen is present via Zoom, which according to the Committee on Open Government, is fine.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Okay. So the first one is 54 LC LLC, Owner/Brian Doyle, Esq., Agent. The property is located at 54 Robertson Drive, North Haven, New York. Suffolk County Tax Map No. 901-4-1-37. The application proposes site plan approval for modifications to the 75-foot wetland setback, including the removal of existing stone walkways and driveway, the establishment of an unsurfaced path and revegetation.

Just state who you are.
MR. DOYLE: Good evening, everybody. For the applicant, the appearance is Greenberg Traurig, Bridgehampton, New York, by Brian Doyle. So you're all familiar with this property. We were here, I think, in December of 2023. We came in with a
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pre-application application to show to you our proposed improvements, at least with respect to the site plan.

There is an area of revegetation we are proposing. We will pick up 3,500 square feet of revegetated space in relation to that area. We're also revegetating what is a paver driveway that straddles between 54 Robertson at a neighboring property that's also owned by our client.

We're also proposing, as you know, to remove a stone walk that was constructed in the 75-foot buffer area and replace it with a mulch path that will be graded at five feet.

So we think that, you know, this property now is, in our view, has come into compliance at least with respect to its 75-foot buffer area, and with respect to our clearing. We're well within our clearing restrictions at this point.

If you have any questions, I'm happy to answer them.
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MR. MIDDLETON: Just so the Board knows, myself and the environmental consultant, Billy Hajek, I think between the December meeting and now, have gone out to visit the site. And with respect to the existing path that leads to the beach stairway, what's proposed will be a lot less steep. That's why the serpentine pattern is proposed. It'll be mulch and not stone. So it's -- it's much more compliant, even though it looks like it takes up more space. It's because of the angle of the grade there, so.

MR. DOYLE: Yeah, the five percent gradient is, I'm told, is something that will be substan- -- of a substantial benefit to the bluff area.

MR. MIDDLETON: Right, and it won't be -- whatever is on top of the mulch that's proposed won't continually wash out. If they just went along with what already exists, there'll be no way in a rainstorm to hold the mulch in place. And it's too steep to walk comfortably in.
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MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yeah, it looks like there's enough shrubbery and, you know, larger plants.

MR. DOYLE: Yeah, there -- there was a previously approved bluff setback in a 2014 plan that was submitted by Mr. Hollander. So we're showing you that line, and we're coming landward back away from that line. I think we tried to adopt a suggestion Mr. Duryea made at the last meeting, to try to get the path kind of equidistant to the clearing area, which $I$ think we have done, and to basically significantly revegetate an area now that is a grass area.

MR. DURYEA: I like it.
MR. MIDDLETON: And also, so the Board knows, there's gonna be a subsequent shoreline application that they're gonna put in for the revetment, and they also have some dock improvements that have to go before the Board of Trustees. So Mr. Doyle has to do two other applications, but it doesn't have anything to do with
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what's presented here.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Susan, you
have anything?
MS. EDWARDS: No, I'm good.
MR. SChIEFERSTEIN: Good? Where is Allen? Allen you have anything, any comments?

MS. GUBITOSI: Allen, you're still muted.

MR. KOPELSON: No. I -- I looked at it earlier and it seemed to be fine as far as I was concerned.

MR. MIDDLETON: Okay.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Okay.
MR. MIDDLETON: Should be -- I'll have a resolution for the next meeting, which is April 15th.

MR. DOYLE: Okay, that's terrific.
I appreciate everybody reviewing this so quickly. Thank you very much.

MR. MIDDLETON: Okay, great.
MR. DOYLE: All right. Be well, everybody. Thank you.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: The second
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project is at 25 Fresh Pond Road, Dennis Downes, Agent. Applicant proposes modifications to the site plan approval, approved by the Board on November 28, 2022 .

MR. MIDDLETON: Just note for the record that Mr . Downes is appearing via Zoom and there's (inaudible) at the table.

MR. STREIT: I'm Michael Streit.
MR. MIDDLETON: Thank you.
MR. KOPELSON: Erika, is it possible to put this one up on the screen as well?

MS. GUBITOSI: Yup. I was just having some difficulty before, but I can actually have this one --

MR. KOPELSON: Okay.
MS. GUBITOSI: -- ready to go.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Okay. So what do we have? Is he back? Is he gone again?

MS. GUBITOSI: No, Dennis is here.
MR. DOWNES: Yeah, I'm here. Can you hear me?
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MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yeah.
MR. DOWNES: Erika, can you hear me?

MS. GUBITOSI: Yes, I can hear you.

MR. DOWNES: Okay. You're coming in very faint, so.

Basically, we got three things that we're looking to do. The first is to locate $a$ generator on the site in $a$ conforming location that wasn't included with the original site plan.

The second thing is to expand the front yard lawn, especially on -- well, both of these lots where the -- it would be the -- I believe the southwest corner of the house is very close to the vegetated area. So we would like to pick up an extra 15 feet there.

And the third item is, we have a Stop Work Order, because on one parcel, the swimming pool was not put in the exact location as the site plan calls for. George issued a stop work. But the pool
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is to be built in a conforming location.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: That's on 27
though.
MR. STREIT: Correct.
MR. DOWNES: Yeah.
MR. MIDDLETON: So we're gonna -we're gonna deal with 25 first, Dennis, okay?

MR. DOWNES: Okay, that's fine with me. Absolutely.

MR. MIDDLETON: Okay. So one thing that $I$ noticed, and $I$ discussed with one or two of the Board members, is we know that there's a filed SWPPP on this one. And the additional clearing that's proposed for the generator may impact that SWPPP. Is there any way you can put it in an area that's already cleared?

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Like in the pool equipment area.

MR. DOWNES: Michael, do you want to answer that?

MR. STREIT: Yeah. I don't see that as a problem. Where the pool
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equipment is, is fine. I can put it just to the -- actually just to the right of the cleared area of the pool equipment. Actually, $I$ can put it -- I can move it around to wherever you -- the Board prefers. So looking -- looking at it this way, $I$ can just move it to the right of the pool equipment. It's not a big unit. It's a small unit.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Right.
MR. STREIT: So that's fine.
MS. EDWARDS: Is it one that's sunk into the ground?

MR. STREIT: No. It sits on a pad.

MS. EDWARDS: Up above?
MR. STREIT: Yeah, it sits on pad.
It's a -- it's a small -- it's -- I
believe it's 25 kilowatt. It's a small
Kohler unit. 22 kilowatt, actually.
MR. MIDDLETON: And the other
thing that jumped out at me was with respect to reducing that scenic easement. We haven't been provided with clearing
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calculations with respect to that, so kind of need that before this Board can make an informed decision.

MR. DOWNES: Okay. Maybe we can hold that in abeyance until next month. We'll get all that information for you. I thought $I$ might have a report from Billy Hajek, but I didn't see anything yet. So I don't know if he was raising that as well.

MR. MIDDLETON: He didn't raise it in a report, but he and $I$ had a discussion about some things. And $I$ know at least one of the Board members raised some concerns as well. You know, reducing the scenic easement is not something that this Board likes to do, especially if it's gonna impact the clearing.

MR. DOWNES: Well, what we could do is, in the back portion of the lot, we could add additional buffer to make up for what we're taking away from the front of the house.

MR. MIDDLETON: Right, but we need
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to see that.
MR. DOWNES: Yeah, absolutely. I understand.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: And the reason was to have more lawn? Is that what -I'm trying to understand.

MR. STREIT: The reason -- when you come in on the driveway on 25 and you go to the front door of the house, it's very tight between the front of the house and where the fence line, the buffer line is. It's a very narrow area. And so we just wanted to expand it a little more, so, which we would vegetate mostly 'cause we're -- frankly, we're -- you know, we've already purchased close to 50 some-odd 30-foot trees for both of these sites, and there'll be a lot more, too. So just wanted to get a little more room.

MR. MIDDLETON: You should probably talk to the building inspector about what you're putting in, because sometimes it counts as revegetation and sometimes, if it's ornamental, it
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MR. STREIT: Okay.
MR. MIDDLETON: So you might want to make sure that it's appropriate.

MR. STREIT: I can discuss that with him. Okay.

MR. KOPELSON: So what happens with that fence line?

MR. MIDDLETON: The buffer line, you mean?

MR. KOPELSON: Yeah.
MR. MIDDLETON: They're proposing
to remove to -- to reduce the scenic easement from a 75-foot scenic easement to a 60-foot scenic easement. But they haven't told us where they're gonna pick up that additional clearing when it comes to the Clearing Code. So I think they'd be overcleared at that point, so they're gonna have to pick it up somewhere else. But we don't have any plans with respect to that, so.

MR. STREIT: I'll have that.
MS. EDWARDS: Can you tell me
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exactly what a scenic easement is?
MR. MIDDLETON: What a scenic
easement is? It's an area that's supposed to be undisturbed.

MR. STREIT: And here, it's from the road --

MS. EDWARDS: Yes, I see where it is.

MR. STREIT: Okay.
MR. DURYEA: That's not an HOA?
MS. EDWARDS: I'm gonna have a very hard time with that one.

MR. DURYEA: It's just -- it's not an HOA scenic easement, like --

MR. MIDDLETON: I don't think this is an HOA.

MR. DURYEA: There's no HOA, right?

MS. GUBITOSI: No. It was part of the division.

MR. DOWNES: There was no HOA.
MR. MIDDLETON: Right. It was
probably -- when they subdivided the lots, it was one of the requirements that you

1

NORTH HAVEN PLANNING BOARD - $3 / 18 / 2024$
guys put on the property.
MR. DURYEA: Right.
MR. MIDDLETON: So, you know, now they're applying to reduce that.

MS. EDWARDS: I don't see myself in favor of that.

MR. MIDDLETON: You can't really -- yeah, and in fairness to the Board, you can't really determine whether or not it's something you would approve of or not if you don't see what it's gonna look like and where they're gonna make up for it.

MR. STREIT: We'll do those calculations. We'll have a -- I'll have a plan done so you can take a look at it and see.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yeah, I think a plan that really just kind of takes that component and makes it very clear. We don't need all the setbacks and --

MR. STREIT: Absolutely.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Okay.
MR. STREIT: I'll have that taken
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care of right away.
MS. EDWARDS: The fence that's onsite is exactly where the fence is on the survey right now?

MR. STREIT: Yes.
MS. EDWARDS: So it's easy enough to go and see --

MR. STREIT: Oh, sure.
MS. EDWARDS: -- exactly how much room there is?

MR. STREIT: Yeah.
MS. EDWARDS: Which is enough.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yeah, I just want to make sure we're clear. We're thinking of considering a scenic easement reduction. It's not a wetland or bluff.

MR. STREIT: Right.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: So it's not a precedent setting thing, is it?

MR. MIDDLETON: I think we've reduced scenic easements in other properties, you know. It's really what the impact -- I think what you folks need to consider is, will it make an impact on
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the neighboring parcels, on the street, et cetera.

Across the street's water, right?
MR. STREIT: Yes. And the neighboring parcel actually is all cleared in the front from years ago.

MR. DURYEA: I just have a question. Do you think you're gonna reduce -- want to reduce the scenic easement on 27 as well, so it would be like -- it would be, like, exactly the same?

MR. STREIT: Yeah, it would kind of -- exactly. That's --

MR. DURYEA: So you would want -you're gonna want to reduce 27 , too.

MR. STREIT: 27 is a very heavily treed area.

MR. DURYEA: Right.
MR. STREIT: So really what I'm trying to achieve is when you're coming in from the driveway to the two lots --

MR. DURYEA: Yup.
MR. STREIT: -- where it splits
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off to the two houses, you're actually
pretty much already -- you're very much on the property of 25 --

MR. DURYEA: Right.
MR. STREIT: -- already.
MR. DURYEA: And 27.
MR. STREIT: Exactly. So I'd kind of just like to make it, straight -- or as even as it can be --

MR. DURYEA: Right.
MR. STREIT: -- straight across.
But I don't really need that -- I
would need a little less on 27 than I would on 25.

MR. MIDDLETON: You're not
proposing anything here, really, other than -- not really from the street side. You're proposing a reduction -- I don't know what compass direction that is. They're reducing it -- this is the west side, right?

MR. DURYEA: Yeah.
MR. MIDDLETON: You see this area here, they're proposing a reduction within
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the scenic easement, but then you have this here. It doesn't -- honestly, it doesn't really make sense, that you're going into the scenic easement on that radius, because between that area and the driveway, I'm assuming is still scenic easement.

MR. DURYEA: That's 27.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yeah.
MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah, this is 27.
MR. KOPELSON: What happens to the neighbor -- at the neighboring property to the left?

MR. MIDDLETON: That's 25 . That's the other lot that they're developing.

MS. GUBITOSI: Are you talking about the property to the left of 25 ?

MR. KOPELSON: Oh, okay, I see it. I got it. So then to the right.

MR. MIDDLETON: Oh, and with respect to this, by the way -- and $I$ think it's important to point out on the record, the clearing calculations that were originally presented to this Board are
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MR. STREIT: They are?
MR. MIDDLETON: I hesitate to use the word "misleading," but it's --

MR. STREIT: This is on 27?
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yeah, now we're on 27.

MR. STREIT: Okay. All right. So
tell me what the issue is 'cause I'm not --

MR. MIDDLETON: The issue is
you're entitled to 25 percent, not 35 percent clearing. You sent us a plan that we didn't pick up when we approved it for 35 percent. So what you're gonna have to do is recalculate and provide us with existing clearing. And then we're gonna have to determine how you pick up that ten percent that was inaccurate the last time you submitted it.

MR. DOWNES: Scott, this is
Dennis. I think on one of the lots we were granted a larger clearing for some reason, I'm not exactly sure. It might
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MR. MIDDLETON: I don't recall
that. I'll have to take a look at the -MR. DOWNES: I'll dig it out of the covenants and let you know. I'll send you a copy.

MR. DURYEA: I remember we did talk about the grave site, but I don't remember --

MR. MIDDLETON: The grave site, but you're talking about 4,000 square feet. You know, we let you go in, and we thought it was gonna be a rather small path, and what was actually created was a lot of dissuption, removing some significant trees. So, you know, we let you go in to remove a grave site that had been there for 250 years, so you didn't need a backhoe. So I don't think that's a good thing to point out, Dennis.

MR. DOWNES: The grave site has been removed.

MR. MIDDLETON: I understand.
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MR. DOWNES: So we can vegetate that area now.

MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah, that's fine.
But you took out trees that were at a caliper of probably 24 inches, some of them, or more.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yeah.
MR. MIDDLETON: They were mature oak trees. But be that as it may, what's done is done.

But that has nothing to do with the calculations on this. The calculations -- what's on the survey, you say you're entitled to 35 percent
clearing. But when you look at the Code, you're only entitled to 25 percent, so you're gonna have to pick up that ten percent, about 4,000 square feet somewhere.

MR. DOWNES: Yeah, let me just look at the covenants when $I$ get a chance tomorrow and I'll -- and I'll send you them. You may not have them handy. But I'll send them to you and we can agree on
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what the clearing restriction is supposed to be on both lots.

MR. MIDDLETON: That's fine.
MR. DOWNES: If that's okay with you.

MR. MIDDLETON: But we're gonna need to see -- before the next meeting, if you want to be on for the next meeting, we're gonna need to see what the existing clearing is on the lot. And, you know, look, if it's 38,000 square feet or less, then you're okay.

MR. DOWNES: Okay.
MR. MIDDLETON: If it's -- if you cleared more than 38,000 square feet, then you have a problem, and you're gonna have to propose revegetation.

MR. DOWNES: We'll get all that done properly by the surveyor.

MR. MIDDLETON: Okay.
MR. DOWNES: So I'm just curious, is there any concern about where we're locating the generator on either lot, other than what you said earlier?
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MR. MIDDLETON: Well, on 25, I
think the builder said he could
accommodate it so that it doesn't require any additional clearing.

MR. STREIT: Yes, we can.
MR. MIDDLETON: I don't know if the Board has any issues with the location on 27.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Well, there's more clearing taking place for that, the one on 27. I understand you don't want it right next to the house.

MR. STREIT: Right. I mean, it's pretty far from the house. But I believe this area in here is cleared.

MS. EDWARDS: Well, if it's cleared, you're gonna need a screen. Why would you not want this one back with your pool equipment as well?

MR. STREIT: I can do that as well.

MR. KOPELSON: Yeah. Makes more sense.

MR. STREIT: I can -- I can put it
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MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Well, I think there is a distance --
(Crosstalk)
MR. STREIT: It's a very long
distance --
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yeah.
MR. STREIT: -- from the pool
house to the house.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Right.
MR. STREIT: So I think they probably maxed it as far as they can go from the --

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: And your pool house is staying. Obviously it's built?

MR. STREIT: Yes, it's built.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: 'Cause I was thinking if that moved with the pool, then you'd have more room.

MR. STREIT: Right, no. No. In my infinite wisdom, $I$ just move the pool, not the pool house.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Anything else?

| 1 | NORTH HAVEN PLANNING BOARD - $3 / 18 / 2024$ |
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| 2 | MR. MIDDLETON: Does the Board |
| 3 | have any comments with respect to the |
| 4 | location of the pool? It says, "Proposed |
| 5 | Pool," but it's in. |
| 6 | MR. STREIT: It's in. It's the |
| 7 | identical size pool. It's the same pool. |
| 8 | It's just a few feet closer to the main |
| 9 | house than it was to the pool house 'cause |
| 10 | it was really -- I was just in the back. |
| 11 | It was a really long walk. But I believe |
| 12 | it still meets all the same -- you know, |
| 13 | same requirements. |
| 14 | MS. GUBITOSI: Does it follow the |
| 15 | plan of the approved pool? |
| 16 | MR. STREIT: Excuse me? |
| 17 | MS. GUBITOSI: Does it follow the |
| 18 | same plan of the approved pool, the |
| 19 | elevation -- |
| 20 | MR. STREIT: Yeah. It's gonna be |
| 21 | same everything. It's just moved up. |
| 22 | That's it. |
| 23 | MR. MIDDLETON: So it's three or |
| 24 | four feet above grade? |
| 25 | MR. STREIT: Right. Well, right |
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now, because they wanted to make it a little deeper, I'm actually kind of thinking that $I$ might want it to be at grade. But it'll be a little bit above grade. It'll be at three feet above grade.

MR. MIDDLETON: But if it's at grade, you're gonna hit water, right? MR. STREIT: Yeah -- no, no. We've already done all the -- all of the --

MR. MIDDLETON: If you're gonna make more changes, my suggestion is run it by the building inspector before you go out and change things.

MR. STREIT: Yeah, no, no, no.
Actually, they were inspected. The pilings and everything were inspected as we were doing -- that was done. All the cement blocks, you know, all the blocking and all that, that was all inspected.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: So now what about the grading? Is that gonna be, like, a big --
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MR. STREIT: No.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: -- lump?
MR. STREIT: No, no. It's only -it's, like, two feet. That's all it is.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Oh, I thought it was more than that.

MR. STREIT: No.
MR. MIDDLETON: All right. Well, the applicant has some work to do.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yup.
MR. MIDDLETON: And they can come back April 15 th if they can get everything done.

MR. STREIT: Okay. So do I have to do anything else to -- or do $I$ work with George regarding the Stop Work Order for the pool?

MR. MIDDLETON: Just work with George.

MR. STREIT: Okay. All right. I have no problem with that. Okay. All right, we'll have everything ready for you. Thank you very much.

MR. MIDDLETON: Thanks.
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MR. DURYEA: Take care.
MR. DOWNES: Thank you for your time, folks.

MR. MIDDLETON: Thanks, Dennis.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Next is 6 Bay View Court.

MR. MIDDLETON: There's actually a few different ones. There's 6 Bay View, 50 On The Bluff.

MR. BENINCASA: 52 and 48 .
Good evening. Carl Benincasa, 860
Montauk Highway, Water Mill, New York.
For the contract vendee on 6 Bay View, 50
On The Bluff and -- 52 On The Bluff and 48
On The Bluff. With me is Steve Nieroda.
MR. NIERODA: With Araiys Design
Landscape Architects in Southampton.
MR. BENINCASA: So this is kind of an interesting situation.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: It is.
MR. BENINCASA: This was
originally two lots created by two different subdivisions. 48 and 52 On The Bluff were lots 95 and 96 , respectively,
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At some point, subsequent to that, these lots were owned in common, beneficial ownership. And in 1996, an application was made to this Board for a site plan that actually involved that pond, and you can see the pond that sort of borders -- straddles the border between the southern two lots.

And that -- the result of that subdivision -- I'm sorry, of that Planning Board application was that these lots were deemed merged pursuant to a covenant that was recorded against this property in 1996. The covenant was intended to borrow the bulking coverage clearing from all three lots and use them as one sort of estate. And as you can see from the aerial, these two southern lots acted as that improved estate, and the northern lot
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has been maintained as vacant. The lots are now all three in contract to be purchased by my client. And the intention is to use this northern lot as a separate development parcel.

Now, although they were merged by covenant, for some reason they were never merged with the county, meaning that they remain independent lots on the tax map. Three tax bills arrive. They each have their own tax map number. They each have their own address. So to the outside world, these look and operate as independent lots subject to that covenant which deemed them merged but didn't actually effectively merge them as a merged lot typically is.

MR. MIDDLETON: I would disagree with that, but --

MR. BENINCASA: Yes. We've discussed it, yes.

MR. MIDDLETON: In any event, but --

MR. BENINCASA: Deem them merged,
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But important in that covenant, there was a reservation of a right to apply to this Board for permission to return the parcels to three lots or less, provided at the time application was made such lots with the structures and improvements thereon, quote, "conform to the then current Zoning Code or variances obtained."

So we met with your counsel and with Mr. Hajek to discuss this some time ago, and they were clear on what this Board would want to see before they'd consider this, and that was proof that these lots -- ultimately, the plan would be to segregate this northern lot as an independent parcel and then keep this as one merged lot, the southern estate. We'd have to show that that southern lot complies with zoning in all respects, GFA,
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clearing, buffer, lot coverage, setbacks, site plan -- sky plane, all of that.

We had an analysis done, and that's been presented to the Board. FAR calculations were prepared by a licensed architect, Jason Ormond. Allowable is 12,624 square feet. Existing is 12,021 square feet. So it is in compliance with FAR calculations.

You have a pyramid analysis that shows that the lot is, in fact, compliant with sky plane regulations. That is that at its closest point to the northern -- to the boundary line with what we propose to be the separate northern lot, it is -- its height is less than its distance from that lot line.

Setbacks are compliant with our one zoning district. Principal front yard is 75 feet. Existing is 229. Principal side yard is 30 with an aggregate of 80 . Existing is 32.5 with an aggregate of 268.5. Tennis is 90 feet is required. Existing setback is 110 . And the side
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yard of 30 when existing is 40.1 .
Lot coverage, which was certainly
a concern, without doing the analysis, but ultimately allowable lot coverage was 30,355. Existing is 19,677. So we're more than 10,000 square feet below what is permitted lot coverage.

So in all respects dimensionally, this southern lot, which will now be formally merged, and by that $I$ mean will achieve merger with the county so that it is, in fact, one lot with one tax map, and those deeds have been provided to your counsel to achieve that, is compliant in all respects with zoning, dimensional zoning.
So the remaining analysis was --
is with respect to clearing. And the lot exists now overclearing, and certainly aspects of the buffer need to be revegetated. We provided a revegetation plan done by Araiys Design, and Mr. Nieroda's here to go through that. We'll let him go through it. And then I believe
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you received comments from Billy today, so we could address some of his comments after Steve is done.

MR. MIDDLETON: I don't know that it was an official report from Billy with respect to that.

MR. BENINCASA: It was just an e-mail.

MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah. So I don't know that the Board has an -- had an opportunity to take a real hard look at that. So I don't think we'll be prepared to discuss Billy's comments tonight, just so --

MR. BENINCASA: We'll go --
MR. MIDDLETON: You have them.
MR. BENINCASA: We can go through them.

MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah.
MR. BENINCASA: And then -- sure.
And then you can consider them in the context of our position.

MR. NIERODA: So when we were approached back in the fall, our
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understanding is that this lot was -- was meant to be 35 percent cleared. That was -- that was grandfathered to that. There was -- it was at that time overcleared. So we prepared it and sealed it in with seed mixture. This was in the fall. It was the perfect time to do that so we can remove sod and establish a meadow, a native meadow there. We learned in December that we actually might have to be at 20 percent or we're being proactive to get to 20 percent clearing. So then we came prepared and -and seeded the additional areas to get that within compliance of the 20 percent. So the lawn now, as -- the lawn areas have been removed. Where you're seeing there's just the green areas, that's all the lawn that remains where there was $16--16$ or 17,000 square feet of lawn. That's all that remains here. It's all been seeded. And then the proposed is to add vegetation into that. This -- this property, as our --
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our understanding is this property was cleared probably in the early '90s, so it's probably been (inaudible) lawn for over 30 years, plus or minus. So our thought was to come back, remove that sod, remove the grass, bring -- introduce meadow, grass, and seed mixture, and then plant into that. So we can remove any inputs, chemical inputs, and get this back into compliance. So that was the thought here, so that's where we went with this.

We have a picture package here, too, just to show you -- these are all the plants that come right from the Village of North Haven's native plant lists. So bayberry, Virginia rose.

MR. DURYEA: I got a couple questions.

MR. BENINCASA: Sure.
MR. DURYEA: All right. So how does the -- the pond was manmade at one point?

MR. NIERODA: Yeah.
MR. DURYEA: So how does that
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calculate into your clearing calculations with the pond, itself?

MR. BENINCASA: It's considered cleared.

MR. DURYEA: The pond is considered cleared. So that's in your calculations then?

MR. BENINCASA: It's in our calculations, yes.

MR. DURYEA: Okay. I was also wondering, $I$ know it's part of the two HOAs here.

MR. BENINCASA: That's right.
MR. DURYEA: So how does that work as far as Covenants \& Restrictions from one HOA to another HOA, right? How do you deal with that when you're merging into one property?

MR. BENINCASA: It's interesting. So it would have to comply with both.

MR. DURYEA: Okay.
MR. BENINCASA: Yeah.
MR. DURYEA: Okay.
MR. BENINCASA: So they'd have to
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go -- for improvements, they'd have to --
I -- our position would be to those HOAs that if it was in the area that was previously that lot, it would go to this HOA.

MR. DURYEA: Okay.
MR. BENINCASA: If it was over
here, we'd go to this. But the HOAs are gonna tell us that. And if they both want to weigh in, then they both want --

MR. DURYEA: Right.
MR. BENINCASA: -- to weigh in.
MR. DURYEA: Okay.
MR. BENINCASA: But these lots are subject --

MR. DURYEA: Any feedback from the HOAs yet on this?

MR. BENINCASA: On --
MR. DURYEA: Like, how they feel?
MR. BENINCASA: They've been oper--- it's been operating as one lot --

MR. DURYEA: Right.
MR. BENINCASA: -- for a while in practice.
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MR. DURYEA: Okay.
MR. BENINCASA: And as Scott said, it was really, you know, the Village's position this was merged already. It just was never done with the tax map, so.

MR. DURYEA: Okay.
MR. BENINCASA: Involvement of the HOAs wouldn't be a new concern.

MR. DURYEA: Okay.
MR. BENINCASA: They've sort of been dealing with this. And $I$ believe that where the HOAs are actually treating this lot, $H O A$ fees are collected from both HOAs on this lot for -- for North Haven Hills on this lot and -- or Point on this lot, and --

MS. EDWARDS: West Banks.
MR. BENINCASA: West Banks on that one.

MR. MIDDLETON: So -- and the applicant and $I$ have discussed this. Mr. Benincasa and I have discussed it. What the Village would require moving forward is two separate deeds, so that the lot
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with the principal structure and the tennis court are one lot now and we don't have this issue moving forward. And that the wooded lot now, which is part of North Haven Point, would be a separate lot. So we'd only have two lots instead of three. We'll make sure that we get everything filed properly with the county this time, and we'll avoid any problems moving forward.

The other problem that I've discussed with some of the Board members is the existence of the two driveways. That's something that $I$ think you're gonna have to address. Pick one, whichever one's most -- most efficient for you. And you'll -- you know, you'll most likely will be required to abandon one.

MR. BENINCASA: That's fair. And that would -- you know, we've discussed that, at least on the purchaser's side. And that would also afford us more clearing opportunity if we were to revegetate the driveway.
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MR. MIDDLETON: Right.
MR. BENINCASA: So I think that that could be good for both sides.

MR. DURYEA: Are these properties included in the revetment plan that is going down the east side or not?

MR. BENINCASA: I don't know for sure. I don't believe so.

MR. MIDDLETON: No. It ends before these lots.

MR. DURYEA: Okay.
MR. MIDDLETON: So -- and that really hasn't even been submitted yet.

MR. DURYEA: No, I know. I'm just curious.

MR. MIDDLETON: But there's not really a lot of erosion. We've walked along there. This is -- it's not as impacted as some of the ones further down.

MR. BENINCASA: The deeds that Mr.
Middleton spoke about were submitted already, so that's already been prepared. It's basically one deed granting this lot to the owner of this property, and then a
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And if you -- where's the -- do we -- oh, here they are. So right here is essentially the property descriptions subsequent to the demerger that we're proposing. So this would be one lot described with these metes and bounds, and this would be the northern lot.

MR. MIDDLETON: And now, is this the property we talked about before that has seven bedrooms but it's approved with Suffolk County? So you're gonna have to upgrade to an I/A system in there as well, which would -- no matter whether it's four or seven, it would require an $A / I$.

MR. BENINCASA: This is the owner.
MS. CAPPELLI: Yeah. We've been there for 27 years. We -- we have six bedrooms total.

MR. MIDDLETON: Still, there's only four approved. So I think --

MS. CAPPELLI: One is a caretaker. They have their own separate entrance. I
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don't know if that counts.
MR. MIDDLETON: Okay. Just -could you just give us your name for the record?

MS. CAPPELLI: Oh, Kylie Cappelli.
MR. MIDDLETON: Kylie Cappelli.
Thank you.
So in any event, with what you want to do here, we would require an $I / A$ system now anyway, so -- just so you know that.

MR. BENINCASA: Okay.
MR. MIDDLETON: Okay?
MR. BENINCASA: That won't be a problem.

So you'd get the buffer replanted. You'd formalize those two lots. You'd get an $I / A$ system. You'd get rid of this driveway. And you'd have this clearing complied.

MR. MIDDLETON: And I think the plan that you submitted has the 100-foot setback for the (inaudible).

MR. BENINCASA: Up here.
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MR. MIDDLETON: And 75 for the other one, right?

MR. BENINCASA: That's right.
MR. MIDDLETON: Two different setbacks.

MR. DURYEA: So this part is part of West Banks, this whole --

MR. MIDDLETON: That's West Banks. Yeah, that's West Banks.

MR. BENINCASA: That's a separate parcel.

MR. DURYEA: All right.
MR. BENINCASA: If you see, it comes in here.

MR. DURYEA: Right. So if --
MR. BENINCASA: I think that's owned by the HOA.

MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah, 'cause it's got -- you see where the parking area is down there, that's their access.

MR. DURYEA: And what would be your setback -- your wetland setback? Is there a --
(Crosstalk)
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MR. MIDDLETON: Would be 75 feet.
MR. DURYEA: So is this wider than 75 feet, this part right here? I was just curious if, like, you have to include from --

MR. BENINCASA: It would be the top of the bluff is. Whether --

MR. DURYEA: Even though it's not your property --

MR. BENINCASA: That's right.
MR. DURYEA: -- you would have to go 75 foot from here.

MR. BENINCASA: Oh, absolutely, yeah.

MR. DURYEA: And you would just -even though you're calculating another lot.

MR. BENINCASA: That's true.
MR. DURYEA: Okay. I was just curious.

MR. BENINCASA: But we're not -there's no structures there or --

MR. DURYEA: Right.
MR. BENINCASA: -- any -- no
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MR. DURYEA: Okay.
MR. MIDDLETON: Honestly, this'll clean this up a lot in terms of what exists and what should be there. And I'm not saying it's anybody's fault. It's just the way the Covenants \& Restrictions were drawn up last time. So we'll clean that up from the legal standpoint in terms of what lot's what.

And, you know, because we've had it on at least one other parcel where on a separate lot that was supposed to be merged, there's a tennis court, so there's no principal structure, or now they want to split it off but they don't want to remove the tennis court. So once we get this as one lot, we won't have that problem with this one. Still exists elsewhere in the Village. But in any event, $I$ think that's --

MR. BENINCASA: Yeah. My client is in contract to purchase this, so obviously -- and the previous owner, Ms.
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Cappelli, this was before she had title.
So this was all done before anyone in the picture got involved.

MR. MIDDLETON: Yup.
MR. BENINCASA: So we have Mr.
Hajek's comments. I know you haven't reviewed them. I think we would like an opportunity to revise our planting plan in line with his comments and come back.

MR. MIDDLETON: That makes sense.
MR. BENINCASA: We are in a
contract situation. I know that's not
your concern, but timing is important to us. So we'd like to do whatever we can to make this --

MR. MIDDLETON: April 15 th is the next meeting.

MR. BENINCASA: Very good. So maybe we can be in a position to approve it then.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yeah, we always have an issue with when you do the meadow mix, you know, a lot of people look at it, you know, lawnmower goes right over
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it. So more of these larger plants.
MR. MIDDLETON: I think that's one of the things Billy addressed in his report.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yeah, I know. I'm just reiterating that that's a major issue.

MR. NIERODA: Yeah, the thought is if we plant the seed -- the seed mixture and then we plant the shrubs into that.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yeah. So it's
less of a --
MR. NIERODA: Yeah.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: -- you know --
MR. NIERODA: Right.
MR. MIDDLETON: We'll work as quickly and efficiently as we can, but I can't guarantee an approval on the $15 t h$.

MR. BENINCASA: So we'll -- we appreciate the speed and efficiency. We'll leave it at that.

MR. MIDDLETON: There's a lot for you folks to do.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yup.
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MR. MIDDLETON: And we have to receive it sufficiently in advance of the meeting. You know, let's say you check off every box, but we don't get it until a week before the meeting. It won't be reviewed in time, so that's --

MR. BENINCASA: Okay. We'll do it as quickly as we can, and appreciate any --

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Is there any surveying modification or that's done?

MR. BENINCASA: Surveying's completely done.

MR. MIDDLETON: Okay.
MR. BENINCASA: All right. Thank you, all.

MS. EDWARDS: I actually have one additional question.

MR. BENINCASA: Sure.
MS. EDWARDS: I don't think it will matter much, but if you're redoing a planting plan here and you have some overlapping areas right here, I see in your plan, these raised beds are gonna be
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removed. This is an area of bamboo. Is
that of concern to you?
MR. BUTTS: It should be taken
out. But we're not gonna concern
ourselves with the way it's --
MS. EDWARDS: That's not part of
this?
MR. BUTTS: -- split up.
MS. EDWARDS: Okay.
MR. BENINCASA: Okay.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Thank you.
MR. DURYEA: Take care.
MR. MIDDLETON: Carl, you have the next one, too.

MR. BENINCASA: I do.
MR. MIDDLETON: Okay.
All right. Was there a decision
by the applicant with respect to the hearing?

MR. BENINCASA: Yeah. I think we -- we can avoid the hearing.

MR. MIDDLETON: Okay. So just so the Board is aware and for the record, since the application came in to extend
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the -- the applicant requested an extension to the Planning Board's approval for the construction of a new two-story dwelling, attached garage, and a swimming pool, the Board initially approved on March 20th, 2017, the property has since changed hands. And as a result, these are the -- this is the representative for the new owner, Mr. Benincasa. So now that he represents the new owners, $I$ think it would be appropriate to put on the record that you're withdrawing the application to extend the prior approval.

MR. BENINCASA: Ultimately, that is our position. And we have a new plan here. There were some -- two elements of the prior approval that we wanted to make sure that the Planning Board respected with respect to the new approval.

And Lisa, if you want to -MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Just say who everybody is, please.

MR. BENINCASA: Sure. You want to --
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MS. POYER: Lisa Poyer, Twin Forks Permits, on behalf of the applicant, as agent.

MR. BENINCASA: Right.
MR. MIDDLETON: So just before we go --

MS. POYER: Sure.
MR. MIDDLETON: 'Cause I just want
to try to, you know, make sure that this is done according to plan. So do you want to put that --

MR. BENINCASA: I would say
let's --
MR. MIDDLETON: -- hearing over?
MR. BENINCASA: Let's do 6 Bay
View -- I mean, 6 Bay View, that's --
let's do Mr. Grassi's application now.
And I presume, after that, we can withdraw the hearing.

MR. MIDDLETON: Okay. So we're
gonna -- we're gonna push the hearing down 'til after the preliminary --

MR. BENINCASA: Yes.
MR. MIDDLETON: -- review of the
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proposed site plan.
MR. BENINCASA: And it is my
expectation that once we're done with
that, I'll simply withdraw the hearing and we'll be --

MR. MIDDLETON: Okay.
MS. POYER: Based upon comments.
MR. MIDDLETON: That makes sense.
MR. BENINCASA: Yeah.
MR. MIDDLETON: That makes sense.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: So you
introduce yourself, and you are?
MR. GLASSER: Brian Glasser, architect.

MR. BENNETT: I'm Dave Bennett, the builder.

MR. BENINCASA: And for the
record, Carl Benincasa, for the applicant.
MR. GRASSI: And I'm the applicant.

MR. BENINCASA: Oh, and Mr. Grassi is here.

MR. MIDDLETON: I see. How are you?
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MR. BENINCASA: You want to join us?

MR. GRASSI: Sure.
MS. POYER: We originally
submitted the preliminary application with just the site plan. And in the meantime, we have been able to prepare --

MR. DURYEA: We can share.
MS. POYER: Okay. A full -- a
full set of plans that $I$ felt aren't formally submitted yet, but this way you guy- -- you know, everyone can review it in context.

So we have the floor plans, elevations, the site plan, as prepared by the architect. And we also have a draft planting plan that has been prepared based upon the comments from the prior planting plan that was recently approved by the Board back in December, January for the original application, for the prior owners.

So at that meeting, it was discussed by one of the Board members that
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they would have preferred to see some more woody vegetation, some more variety. So that's what this current planting plan, just for your, you know, view of the moment, shows, as we have some clumps of some woody vegetation. We have some other -- the existing trees are shown on here. And then we have the grasses that were originally proposed. So it's a supplemental application, or will be to that original design that there were those comments.

MR. BENINCASA: But it fully respects the approved buffer from the previous application.

MS. POYER: Correct.
MR. DURYEA: I really wanted to see the cantilevered tennis court, I have to tell you.

MR. BENINCASA: Was there a cantilevered tennis court?

MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah, very small tennis court.

MS. POYER: I just wanted to also
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mention that the prior approval, as one of the, $I$ think, points Carl's gonna make, is those -- there's two trees here right now. The prior approval did also include removing of those two trees.

MR. BENINCASA: Which is important to this application. Of course, they're outside of the buffer. And that was one of the elements we were discussing that we'd like respected for this application that was offered and the other, and approved in the other. The other would be the double curb cut.

MS. POYER: And I can just show you the -- that prior approval is -MR. DURYEA: Yeah. MS. POYER: -- the survey.

Those two trees were obviously gone because that's where the proposed swimming pool was.

And as we had just actually noticed, that plan showed the limit of clearing at a 70-foot setback, and I'm guessing later they were going to
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revegetate that additional five feet.
MR. MIDDLETON: Subsequent to that approval, the Village adopted a new code with respect to a 75-foot buffer. So when they came in for one of the extensions, that was one of the requirements, that they met the 75-foot buffer --

MS. POYER: Okay.
MR. MIDDLETON: -- as opposed to whatever had been approved in the past.

MS. POYER: We do show the 75-foot buffer, but $I$ just wanted to point that out as far as that one aspect. The two trees, with that approval, were also to be removed, as well as the two curb cuts.

MR. DURYEA: What was the date on this one?

MS. POYER: 2017 was the project-limiting fence.

MR. DURYEA: But didn't that change with the -- this court --

MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah, but that was never approved.

MR. DURYEA: It was never
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MR. MIDDLETON: None of that was approved, so.

MS. POYER: Yeah, this was the house that used to be there.

MR. DURYEA: Right.
MS. POYER: It was then demolished to make way for that one, which --

MR. DURYEA: That never happened.
MS. POYER: Correct.
MR. BENINCASA: A few things to note with the proposed plan one as opposed to the approved plan, we no longer require that variance that was the garage, so we're fully compliant with zoning here. The home is less wide, which opens up the view shed for other properties there for the water, which $I$ know is a concern for the --

MR. DURYEA: Are there any
properties on that street with a double curb cut?

MS. EDWARDS: No, we didn't do that very much.
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MS. POYER: So yeah, the mass of the house from the street is a little -is less visually because it is a narrower house. It's a little bit --
(Crosstalk)
MR. GLASSER: In width, we were -the original plan, if you look here, we had a 30 -foot setback and we had a 50-foot setback, putting us at the 80 total. We're at 63. And you'll see it on my plans, 63 and 30 and a half, so we're about 13 feet less than what the original house was.

MS. POYER: And it's further back from the road, as well.

MR. DURYEA: What are you gonna do with the path?

MR. BENINCASA: There's a dock.
MR. DURYEA: Yeah, I know. What's on -- what's it gonna be? Mulch?

MS. POYER: We could use a mulch or a sand, whichever the Board would prefer.

MR. DURYEA: How steep is it?
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Pretty steep or not?
MS. POYER: It's a gradual --
MR. DURYEA: Yeah.
MS. POYER: There's a slope, but it's not --

MR. DURYEA: Yeah, it's not bad, right?

MS. POYER: No.
MR. DURYEA: Yeah.
(Crosstalk).
MR. GLASSER: This is actually --
it's actually a little steeper on this side and over here's a little flatter.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Where's
your -- your septic system going?
MS. POYER: Our septic system will be in the same general area that's being worked on currently by the septic engineer. But it will be an I/A system and it will be in a similar location.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: 'Cause that's gonna take up some real estate. MS. POYER: Right, if it's not in that corner, it'll -- maybe it'll be over
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in this corner. But we will find room for the I/A system.

MS. EDWARDS: I'm looking at a slightly different plan here.

MS. POYER: Yes, that's the --
MS. EDWARDS: That's not a
different plan. A different picture, I mean, which shows the clearing not even close to compliance. So how are you addressing that?

MR. BENINCASA: This needs to be revegetated, and it will be revegetated.

MS. POYER: And that was part of the prior approval as well, that this was a condition of that. And we will honor that -- that condition as well.

MR. GRASSI: Yeah, at a previous hearing, there was -- from an application from the prior owner, there was a vegetation plan that someone wanted more species. We took that again and wanted to be ready for this hearing and came forth with a revegetation plan that was to the wishes of the committee.

1

NORTH HAVEN PLANNING BOARD - 3/18/2024
MR. BENINCASA: And this -- when planted, this will be completely compliant.

MR. MIDDLETON: This is the edge of the buffer.

MS. POYER: Correct.
MR. MIDDLETON: How much space is between there?

MR. DURYEA: The slope off of it, like, is there, like, a wall on the pool, like, an infinity edge or anything like that?

MR. BENNETT: On what side, guys?
The pool side?
MS. POYER: The pool.
MR. BENNETT: Yeah. So best case scenario, it's three 30 inches to 36 inches on the pool side.

MR. MIDDLETON: From the buffer.
MR. BENNETT: Yeah. So I do -- we do have some ways that we wanted to address with you guys to try and get ahead of it as far as how we're gonna construct the pool without disturbing the buffer.
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So pretty much, the only idea we have with my pool builder, who has done it several times, is to build a temporary wall. So we can build a temporary plywood wall, shoot our gunite, put our coping on, remove the temporary plywood wall, and not disturb -- it's gonna be tight, there's no doubt about it.

MS. POYER: It'll be right at that 75-foot line, but all of the equipment we'll be excavating from obviously the landward side.

MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah, it's just, it --

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: And then what would you face that wall with that's exposed, the pool?

MR. BENNETT: Oh, so, yeah, so we're -- on the back side, we're still figuring out elevations, but most likely we'll have some kind of ledger, still in the same as the house. And it's gonna be tight. It's gonna be a three-foot working area for these guys to do it. We'll
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Figure it out, though. And I'm sure --
MR. MIDDLETON: My suggestion is,
if you can provide for our environmental consultant to review the methods of construction, that would be something he's gonna want.

MR. BENNETT: Yeah, definitely. I tried to pull some stuff off line off the state's website today as far as contamination and other things and to the buffer zones. I didn't find anything specific to this scenario. But I can certainly write that up for you guys.

MR. DURYEA: I think just when you do get a chance, if you just have the elevation of what this wall is gonna be, that would be helpful. 'Cause we usually go through that --

MS. POYER: I think we have it on the --

MR. DURYEA: Like this, the elevation over here?

MS. POYER: Right. I think it's on the actual site plan.
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MR. GLASSER: And we have the exterior elevations. I started drawing in --

MR. DURYEA: I kind of meant the elevation just with the wall -(Crosstalk)

MR. GLASSER: We're only going roughly three to four feet below grade here, so it's not like we're under -we're not undermining eight feet down or ten feet deep.
(Crosstalk)
MR. BENINCASA: Yeah, that was the other part, too, is correct. It is maybe three feet approximately out of the ground.

MR. MIDDLETON: Is it an infinity edge?

MR. BENINCASA: No.
MR. MIDDLETON: No?
MR. BENINCASA: 'Cause that would add, like, a trough on that side. Would have ruined it for us.

MR. MIDDLETON: We've had
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applications where the infinity edge is right up to the buffer.
(Crosstalk)
MR. BENINCASA: We're trying to stay ahead of everything we can for you guys.

MS. EDWARDS: Well, we're up against the buffer 'cause you moved the house 25 feet back, correct?

MR. GLASSER: No. So what
happened was, the original plan had an actual garage here. The structure of the main house was --

MS. POYER: Was 75 feet.
MR. GLASSER: It originally --
they tried to maintain 'cause they had a variance. And Carl could add to this, but they had a variance for this area, but the actual house was pushed back. That's why they had a similar tight situation that we have. What we ended up doing is making the house not as wide and pushing the pool to the side. That's how we got it to work.
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MR. BENINCASA: Yeah, their pool was actually closer to the buffer than ours is.

MS. POYER: Right, and it was long entire faced, where we just have a couple spots here.

MR. MIDDLETON: And back then, you'd need a 75-foot buffer.

MS. POYER: Correct.
MR. BENINCASA: So, yeah, there was a variance for the garage. So the rest of them had to comply, and that's what this does, too.

And I would note there was -there was actually an issue with this site plan that George figured out. You -- your file was a little light on this from seven years ago. And George brought up an issue that everyone fought him with at first, and then he proved that we all eat crow. He was right, that there's -- this is actually over GFA. So this couldn't have been built.

MR. MIDDLETON: Right. But at the

1

NORTH HAVEN PLANNING BOARD - $3 / 18 / 2024$
time, we did look into it. So what was approved back then was basically just that.

MR. BENINCASA: Exactly.
MR. MIDDLETON: There were no other plans.

MR. BENINCASA: You didn't have -MR. MIDDLETON: So we didn't have anything.

MR. BENINCASA: -- nothing to go on.

MR. MIDDLETON: And everything was approved subject to receipt of those things.

MR. BENINCASA: From way back --
MR. MIDDLETON: So it was just a survey.

MR. BENINCASA: -- there is mention of an $F A R$ analysis that never actually took place. So you inherited a bit of a mess, and so did we. This cleans that up.

MR. BENNETT: I think Mr. Grassi's made a lot of decisions.
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MR. GRASSI: A lot of decisions to be in total conformity to allow this to go forward. And --

MR. MIDDLETON: What was the variance from the front yard setback?

MS. POYER: 50 feet, because of the original garage that was located there.

MR. BENINCASA: 'Cause there was a pre-existing nonconforming.

MR. MIDDLETON: Right.
MR. BENINCASA: So we're just giving up that variance.

MR. GRASSI: We're giving it up.
MS. POYER: Do you want to flip through the plans at all? Do you guys want to look at the house?

MR. GRASSI: I think you should see it.

MS. POYER: Brian can add any comments, or --

MR. GLASSER: So this is the basement level. This is the -- so this is the south. These (inaudible). So
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originally, they were -- you know, this is the patios. We're gonna have, you know, several steps down to the lower patio, which is where the pool is. Pretty much finished basement level within the footprint of the first floor.

MR. DURYEA: Where's --
MR. BENINCASA: The elevation's on the fourth page.

MR. DURYEA: Do you know where the pool equipment's going?

MR. GLASSER: I'm sorry, what was that?

MR. DURYEA: Pool equipment?
MR. GLASSER: So a landscape
(inaudible). I know we were talking about it, I just didn't --

MS. GUBITOSI: So this plan here, you don't have --
(Crosstalk)
MS. GUBITOSI: If you have it,
yeah. I mean, we have a Board member on Zoom, so he can see it.

MR. GLASSER: No, I -- sorry.
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MS. GUBITOSI: You don't have it on you? Okay. I just want to be able to show you. Sorry, Allen.

MR. MIDDLETON: We'll get it to you, Allen.

MR. KOPELSON: I'm sure I'll get a chance to see this later.

MR. GLASSER: So just to kind of bring everyone up to date, the original plan from what we are assuming the size of the house was, the footprint of the principal structure on the first floor and the accessory structures, we're roughly 215 square feet under what was originally proposed for a footprint. And then the square footages for the lot coverage, I think we're, like, at 13 percent, and 15 percent's allowed.
So you're basically -- it's a
(inaudible) shingle-style house that has -- you know, you come in the front door. This is roughly a two-story space, which obviously counts twice. Dining room, great room area, connected to a
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kitchen, two-car garage, mudroom, pantry, covered porch, which is gonna overlook the pool area. Over here, we have a den/office space, and a junior master bedroom overlooking the east, looking out over the water.

Coming upstairs, we have -- this area got reworked, which $I$ don't want to complicate things as it is. It's not gonna affect you guys. But footprint's pretty much the same. So four bedrooms upstairs. And as you go over here, we also have this little covered area on the second floor. It's a common space versus, you know, the master bedroom having their own structure coming out. This is a little bit more recessed and -So this is the front elevation, shingle-style house with (inaudible) red cedar, patined white, cedar Perfection siding, Marvin aluminum clad windows. Everything's gonna be painted white. White trim around the windows. White windows. Doing, like, a Connecticut feel
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stone, which you can't really see in the front.

You know, something I'm excited about with this job is we're gonna end up creating a real ledge where the flair comes out and it's -- it's a true -- you know, you've seen that thickness, which it's not as important in the front, but as we get to the back, it's breaking up the height of -- this elevation's not -- this is the garage side, two-car garage. The rear of the house, you know, kind of breaks down the massing. And to use the stone within the natural landscape is something I'm excited about. I think it kind of breaks up the height.

MR. DURYEA: So this would be the pool, right?

MR. GLASSER: Right, yeah.
MR. DURYEA: Right there, right?
MR. GLASSER: Yeah.
MR. DURYEA: That would be, like, the elevation of the pool probably, right about there.
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MR. GLASSER: Yeah. So you can see here, we're only going down. And if you see on this side elevation, you can see it's only -- you know, at this point I was talking to David about we have to do a grade beam, 'cause at some points we want to maintain that three feet below (inaudible). Hopefully, by the next hearing we'll have renderings and you're gonna basically see an entire house, you know.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Is that a glass rail, something?

MR. GLASSER: We're doing a glass rail obviously for the view. But $I$ think it's clean, simple. (Inaudible) roof lines. Similar stone veneer for the chimneys. That's pretty much the architecture.

Well, you can see here -- so that line is somewhere over -- it kind of moves. But you can see, here is the grade, so we're just only going down a few feet. If $I$ was going down eight or ten,
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for me, that was your concern.
MR. DURYEA: Yeah, yeah.
MR. GLASSER: If you went out here at 10 feet, at some point, though, I think it's called the point of repose or whatever, where the grading, it naturally goes at an angle. So as you keep cutting it out, this is gonna want to collapse. But we're not going down because we're trying to maintain, you know, seven or eight steps from the first floor to the water, to the pool's edge.

MR. MIDDLETON: When you're doing your lighting, just make sure it's all Dark Sky.

MR. GLASSER: Yes.
MR. GRASSI: What's that?
MR. GLASSER: Definitely. Dark Sky compliant, all my sconces. With ARB, I would probably present the actual units and call it out.

MR. MIDDLETON: It used to be just a policy requirement. Now it's codified, so.
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MR. GLASSER: Yeah. Between
Southampton Village and Sagaponack, I'm used to --

MS. POYER: Did you want us to provide the lighting details, the sconces and --

MR. MIDDLETON: We don't need -ARB will need it.

MS. POYER: Okay. That's fine.
But just, my question was --
MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah.
MS. POYER: So we're planning on submitting this landscaping plan, the site plan from Saskas, as well as the septic design to the Board for the actual formal application.

Any comments from the Board?
MR. DURYEA: I guess the double curb cut is gonna be one thing, right, I mean, that we always consider?

MR. MIDDLETON: It does. I don't know if there are any other on that street. It was approved in the past that way. It was an existing condition, I
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think, of the -- that house that was demolished, $I$ think, had a double curb cut.

MS. POYER: It doesn't show. I dont now, probably go back and look at Google Earth.

MR. GLASSER: Yeah, 'cause this is the original that -- from what we had. MS. POYER: It doesn't show it. MR. GLASSER: Maybe just that's the -- actually, now that $I$ remember, it only showed this one curb cut here and then this. But this is definitely what they proposed. Yeah, just, I -- I remember drawing this site. I think it's here, you can -- I don't know if I still have it, but at some point, I --

MR. MIDDLETON: What might be helpful for the Board is, 'cause I know there's parcels across the street that have been developed, if we know the location of their driveway entrances, you know, just so that you're not creating any sort of a traffic hazard.
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MS. POYER: So we'll take the site plan and then superimpose it on top of an aerial?

MR. MIDDLETON: Right. And also, you know, the headlight issues that could be created. You know, they like to try to avoid that.

MR. BENNETT: Is there a minimum offset that you're looking for from it, too, or?

MR. MIDDLETON: Well, the -- you know, it's very -- honestly, it's very rare that they approve the double curb cuts. It's come up in a few different scenarios. One recently wasn't a double curb cut. I think it was a -- well, it was proposed and then they went down to a single. It was over on Sea Gull. And the neighbor across the street was worried about the headlight issues.

MR. GLASSER: I think those properties much higher than ours, too. I don't know if that makes it --

MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah, I don't
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know. But it's something that $I$ think that you folks might be able to present to us next time.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Maybe not --
not a super accurate. Maybe a
cross-section saying that people across the street are higher elevation.

MR. GLASSER: They're much higher, yeah.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Much higher.
MR. GLASSER: Yeah.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Okay.
MR. GLASSER: I mean, some of them looks like they're almost overlooking.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yeah, so that's important.

MS. POYER: Okay. We can pull the surveys.

MR. BENNETT: Do you think that will jam us up at the next meeting? I'm just expeditious.

MR. MIDDLETON: Well, I mean, it's up -- it's up to the Board with respect to that. I mean, I -- from a legal
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MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: No.
MR. MIDDLETON: So it's really up to --

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: It's something that's -- you're right, it's come up with neighbors.

MR. DURYEA: The last time we had, it was a neighbor thing, to ask him for a consideration from a neighbor, and we were -- you know, I mean, if your neighbors are not really voicing any opinion, $I$ mean, why would -- if they're good with it, I would --

MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah, I mean, in that situation, the driveway was angled a little bit differently, you know, where the entrance, the apron, et cetera, so it didn't impact the neighbors much.

What concerns me more whenever I see a double curb cut is, I'd like to see where the -- and that's just from a safety standpoint, where -- where the opposing
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driveways are on the other side of the street, so it doesn't create any -- it's not a high traffic area, but when people are coming and going in and out of driveways, sometimes it can be problematic.

So that's -- that's the one thing that we would -- you know, that would be helpful for the Board, just historically. I'm just putting it out there.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: That's the major thing neighbors have shown up about.

MR. MIDDLETON: Yeah. So when there's a formal proposal, there may be people from across the street coming in saying, "We don't like the idea." So if you guys are prepared for it the next time, you might be able to allay some of their opposition, if there is any. There may not be, so.

MS. POYER: And then any other
further comments about those -- the two trees? About proposing to remove those two trees that are there?
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MR. BENINCASA: We'll be fully compliant with the buffer and clearing, yeah.

MR. MIDDLETON: That was discussed ad nauseam with the Board at the last two or three or four different proposals they had.

MS. POYER: Okay.
MR. MIDDLETON: Between pools, tennis courts, smaller tennis courts, cantilevered tennis courts, and everything else.

MS. POYER: Okay.
MR. MIDDLETON: So unless
something's changed with respect to the Board's position on it.

MS. POYER: Okay.
MS. EDWARDS: Well, wasn't it the Board's position to try to keep them?

MR. MIDDLETON: No. Those two could go.

MS. POYER: Thank you.
MS. EDWARDS: So just so I'm understanding, that you're -- a new
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planting plan is going to change the
clearing numbers that are on here?
MS. POYER: Correct.
MR. BENINCASA: Absolutely.
MR. GLASSER: The surveyor will have the correct clearing.

MR. MIDDLETON: As I recall, the lot is virtually cleared.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Yeah.
MS. POYER: It's three-quarters clear.

MR. BENNETT: Yeah, we have to reveg the entire section from the pool to the water.

MS. EDWARDS: Yeah, but it's hard to reveg 100-year-old tree.

MR. BENNETT: I think we -- we definitely added more species and more brushy things that couldn't be lawn -- you know, wiped out with a lawnmower. Try and do it, get ahead of everything that we could, so.

MR. GLASSER: If you go there -if you go there today, what we're
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proposing, $I$ think everyone will be happy with what we're doing.

MS. POYER: Any other comments?
MR. DURYEA: Not from me.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: No. Allen's still with us?

MR. MIDDLETON: I think Allen's still with us. Allen, do you have anything?

MR. KOPELSON: No, no. I'll look forward to seeing it next month.

MR. MIDDLETON: Okay.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Okay.
MR. MIDDLETON: Based on that, what do you want to do with the hearing?

MR. BENINCASA: Let's close that, and then we withdraw the hearing.

MR. MIDDLETON: Okay.
All right, so the -- this was for the preliminary Site Plan Review. So if we go back now to the hearing, which was to extend the prior approved site plan, does the applicant wish to withdraw that at this point?
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MR. BENINCASA: Based on this
conversation, we are withdrawing. I don't know if you would have extended it considering you know it's illegal anyway at this point. We're withdrawing.
(Crosstalk)
MR. BENINCASA: There was a cantilever over the, what, the buffer?

MR. DURYEA: Yeah, there was a -no, it wasn't over the buffer. It was right on the edge. Then there were, like, nets coming up over the -- and there was no back deck. You walked right outside the house right onto the tennis court. The back deck was the tennis court.

MR. BENINCASA: I never even saw that. I mean, it wasn't approved. That's why I didn't see it.

MS. POYER: That might be entertaining to see.

MR. MIDDLETON: That's interesting.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Close it out, or anything else to discuss?
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MR. MIDDLETON: If there's nothing else, you can ask for a motion to close.

The next meeting is April 15th.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: Okay.
MR. DURYEA: I make a motion to close the meeting.

MR. KOPELSON: I'll make a motion.
MR. MIDDLETON: Give Allen the second.

MR. KOPELSON: Yeah, I'll second it.

MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: All right.
MR. KOPELSON: Thank you.
MR. SCHIEFERSTEIN: All approved?
ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
(End of Provided Recording.)
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| 2023[1]-4:25 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { application }[18]- \\ & 4: 12,5: 2,7: 20, \\ & 32: 10,32: 17,34: 10, \end{aligned}$ | assuming [2]-21:7, |
| $\begin{gathered} 2024[4]-1: 13,90: 3, \\ 90: 22,91: 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6[6]-31: 6,31: 9 \\ & 31: 14,32: 4,55: 16, \\ & 55: 17 \\ & \mathbf{6 0} \text {-foot }[1]-15: 16 \\ & \mathbf{6 3}[2]-62: 11,62: 12 \end{aligned}$ | ad [1] - 85:6 <br> add [5] - 13:22, 38:24, <br> 68:23, 69:18, 72:21 |  | 74:11 <br> attached [1] - 54:5 |
| 20th [1] - 54:7 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 25,54: 13,55: 18, \\ & 57: 6,57: 22,58: 11, \end{aligned}$ | Attorney [1] - 2:11 <br> avoid [3] - 43:10, |
| 215[1]-74:15 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 23,69: 18,72: 21 \\ & \text { added }[1]-86: 19 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $22[1]$ - 12:21 |  | additional [7] - 11:16, 13:22, 15:18, 26:5, 38:15, 52:19, 60:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:6, 57:22, 58:11, } \\ & 58: 16,59: 8,59: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $53: 22,81: 8$ <br> aware [1]-53:24 <br> aye [2]-3:24, 89:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 229 \\ & \\ & 24\end{aligned}{ }_{[1]}-35: 21$ | 7 |  | $64: 19,79: 17$ |  |
| 24[1]-24:6 | 7 |  | applications [2] - |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 5}[12]-9: 2,11: 8, \\ 12: 20,14: 9,20: 4, \\ 20: 15,21: 15,21: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \text {-foot }[1]-59: 24 \\ & 75[6]-35: 21,47: 2, \\ & 48: 2,48: 4,48: 13, \\ & 69: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { address [4] - 33:13, } \\ 37: 3,43: 16,65: 23 \end{gathered}$ | 7:24, 69:2 <br> apply [1] - 34:8 <br> applying $[1]$ - 17:5 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22: 13,24: 17,26: 2, \\ & 69: 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | addressing [1] - 64:11 <br> adopt $[1]$ - 7:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { appreciate }[3]-8: 20, \\ & 51: 21,52: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { backhoe }[1]-23: 21 \\ & \text { bad }_{[1]}-63: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $250[1]-23: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 75-foot }[9] \text { - } 4: 13 \\ & 5: 15,5: 21,15: 15 \\ & 60: 5,60: 8,60: 12 \\ & 66: 11,70: 9 \end{aligned}$ | adopted [1] - 60:4 <br> advance [1] - 52:3 | approached [1] -37:25 | bamboo ${ }^{[1]}-53: 2$Banks 66$]-32: 5$, |
| 268.5 [1] - 35:24 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 27[13]-11: 3,19: 11, \\ 19: 17,19: 18,20: 7, \end{array}$ |  | aerial [2] - 32:24, 81:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { appropriate [2] - 15:5, } \\ & 54: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $47: 9,47: 10$ |
| $20: 14,21: 9,21: 11,$ | 8 | afford [1] - 43:23 | approval [13] - 4:1 | based [4]-56:8, |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 91: 11 \\ & \text { agent }[1]-55: 4 \\ & \text { Agent }[2]-4: 9,9: 3 \\ & \text { aggregate }[2]-35: 22, \\ & 35: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 54:14, 54:18, 54:20, } \\ \text { 59:2, 59:5, 59:16, } \\ 60: 4,60: 15,64: 15 \\ \text { approve }[4]-3: 16, \\ 17: 11,50: 20,81: 14 \end{gathered}$ | ```basement [2] - 72:24, 73:6 Bay [6] - 31:6, 31:9, 31:14, 32:4, 55:16, 55:17``` |
| $28{ }^{[1]}$-9:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 80[2]-35: 22,62: 10 \\ & 860[1]-31: 12 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| yberry [1] - 39 | big [2] - 12:9, 29:2 |  | 77:17 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beach [1] - 6:8 |  |  | cleans [1] -71:22 |  |
| beam [1] - 77:7 | Bill | building $[2]-14: 22$, | clear [4] - 17:21, | complicate [1] - 75:10 |
| bedroom [2]-75:6, | 13:8, 37:2, 37:6, |  | 18:15, 34:17, 86:12 | 46:2 |
| 75:16 | 51:4 | 2:1 | cleared [11]-11:19, | complies [1]-34:2 |
| bedrooms [3] - 45:13, | Billy's [1] - 37:14 | built 44 - 11:2, 27:17, | 12:4, 19:6, 25:16 | comply [2] - 40:21, |
| 45:21, 75:12 | bit $[5]$ - 29:5, 62: | 7:18, 70:24 | 26:18, 38 | 70:13 |
| beds [1]-52:25 | 71:22, 75:18, 83: | bulking [1] - 32:2 | 9:3, 40:5, 40:7 | component [1] - 17:21 |
| behalf $[1]-55: 3$ | blocking [1]-29:21 | Butts [1]-2:13 | 86:9 | concern [8]-25:23, |
| below [3]-36:7, 68:9, | blocks [1]-29:21 | BUTTS [2] - 53:4, 53:9 | clearing | 36:4, |
| 77:8 | blu |  | 5:23, | 53:3, 53:5, 61 |
| be |  | C | 12:25, 13:19, 15:1 | 78:2 |
| ben | Bluff [5] - 31:10 |  | 21:24, 22:14, 22:18, | concerned [1] - 8:13 |
| BENINCASA ${ }_{\text {[94] }}$ - | 31:15, 31:16, 31:25 | calculate [1] - | 22:24, 24:16, 25:2, | concerns [2]-13:16, |
| 31:11, 31:19, 31:22, | BOARD $[3]-1: 5,3: 24$, | calculating ${ }_{[1]}$ - 48:17 | 25:11, 26:5, 26:11 | 83:22 |
| 33:21, 33:25, 37:8 | 8 | calculations [10] - | 32:21, 35:2, 36: | ndition [3] - 64:16 |
| 37:16, 37:18, 37:21, | Board [40]-2:6, 2:7, | 13:2, 17:16, 21:24 | 38:14, 40:2, 43 | 64:17, 79:2 |
| 39:20, 40:4, 40:9, | 2:9, 2:10, 3:3, 6:2, | 24:13, 24:14, 35: | 46:20, 59:24, | confirmation |
| 40:14, 40:20, 4 | 9:5, | 5:10, 40:2, 40: | 5:3, 86:3, 86 | 45:2 |
| 40:25, 41:8, 41:13 | 14, 12:6, 13 | 40:10 | Clearing [1]-15:19 | 4:12 |
| 41:15, 41:19, 41:21, | :15, 13:18, 17:10, | caliper [1]-24 | Clerk/Secretary [1] - | co |
| 41:24, 42:3, 42:8, | 8, 28:2 | cantilever ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 2:14 | 10:12, 11 |
| 42:11, 42:19, 43:20, | 32:10, 32:17, 34:8, | cantilevered | client | conformity ${ }_{[1]}$ - 72:3 |
| 44:3, 44:8, 44:21, | 18, 35:5, 37:11, | 58:19, 58:22, 85:1 | 49:23 | connected [1] - 74:25 |
| 45:18, 46:13, 46:15, | 43:13, 53:24, 54:6, | CAPPELLL [3]-45:19, | close [7]-10:18, | Connecticut $[1]$ - |
| 47:14, 47:17, 48:7 | 隹:23, 73:23, 79:16, | Cappelli []-46:6 | 88:24, 89:3, 89:7 |  |
| 48:11, 48:14, 48:19, | :18, 80:20, 82:24, | 46:7, | sser [2] - 28:8, 70 | $34: 19,37: 22,79: 21$ |
| 48:22, 48:25, 49:23, | 84:10, 85:6, 90:2, | car [2]-75:2, 76:1 | closest [1] - 35:14 | consideration [1] - |
| 50:6, 50:12, 50:19, | 析 | care [] - 18:2, 31:2, | clumps [1]-58:6 | 83:12 |
| 51:20, 52:8, 52:13, 52:16, 52:20, 53:11, | Board's | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 13 \\ & \text { caretaker }[1]-45: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Code } 33 \text { - 15:19, } \\ 24: 16,34: 13 \end{gathered}$ | onsidered [2] - 40:4, $40: 7$ |
| 53:16, 53:21, 5 | border | $\text { Carl }[2]-56: 19,69: 18$ | code | considering |
| 54:24, 55:5, 55:13 | bo | carl [2]-31:12, 53 | codified [1] - 78:2 | 18:16 |
| 55:16, 55:24, 56:3, | bo | Carl's | llapse [1] - 78:9 | construct [1] - 65:24 |
| 56:10, 56:18, 56:22, | boundary [ ${ }_{[1]}$ - $35: 15$ | case [1]-65:17 | ollected [1] - 42:1 | constructed [1]-5: |
| 57:2, 58:14, 58:21, | bo | cedar [2] - 75:21 | comfortably ${ }_{[1]}$-6:25 | constructio |
| 59:7, 61:12, 62:19, | box [1]-5 | ment ${ }^{[1]}$ - 29 | coming [8] - $7: 9,10: 7$, | 54:4 |
| 64:12, 65:2, 68:14, | breaking ${ }_{[1]}-76: 10$ | certainly [3] - 36 | 19:22, 75:8, 75:17, | 2:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 68: 20,68: 22,69: 5 \\ & 70: 2,70: 11,71: 5, \end{aligned}$ | breaks [2] - 76:14, | $36: 20,67: 14$ certify $11-91 \cdot 2$ | 8:5, 84:16, 88: | consultant [2] - 6:4, |
| $71: 8,71: 11,71: 16$ | 76:17 | tify $[1]-91: 2$ | comments [14]-8:8 | 67:5 |
| 71:19, 72:10, 72:1 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { brian } \\ 72: 21] \end{array}$ | cetera ${ }^{[2]}$ - 19:3, 83:20 chairman $[2]-3: 5$ | 28:3, 37:2, 37:3, <br> 37:14, 50:7, 50:10, | contamination [1] 67:11 |
| 73:9, 85:2, 86:5, | Br | Chairperson [2] | 56:8, 57:19, 58:13, | ontex |
| 87:17, 88:2, 88:8, 88:17 | Bri |  | 72:22, 79:18, 84:23, |  |
| benincasa [1] - 42:23 | bring [2] - 39:7, 74:10 | chance [3]-2 | Committee [1] - 4:5 | ntinually [1]-6:2 |
| Benincasa $[3]$ - 31:12, | brought ${ }_{[1]}-70: 19$ | ange [3] - 29:1 | mmittee [1] - 64:25 | 33:3, 49:24, 50: |
| 54:10, 56:19 <br> BENNETT [11]-56:16, | brushy [1]-86:20 | 60:22, 86:2 | common [2]-32:8, | onversation [1] |
| BENNETT [11]-56:16, 65:14, 65:17, 65:21, | Bryan [2] - 2:4, 3:4 | changed [2]-54:8 | 5:15 | 88:3 |
| 66:19, 67:8, 71:24, | bu | 85:16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Comm } \\ \text { 1:11 } \end{gathered}$ | ping [1] - 66: |
| 81:9, 82:20, 86:13, | 15:10, 35:2, 36:21, | changes [2] $-3: 1$ 29:14 | compass [1]-20:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { opy } \\ & \text { orner }[3]-23: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 86:18 | 46:17, 58:15, 59:9, | $\text { check }[1]-52:$ | completely $[2]$ - | $63: 25,64: 2$ |
| Bennett $[1]-56: 16$ | 5, 60:8, 60:13, | $1[1] \text { - 39:1 }$ | 52:14, 65 |  |
| best $[1]-65: 17$ | 56, 65:20, 65:25, | chimneys ${ }_{[1]}$ - 77:19 | compliance [5] - 5:20, | 61:11, 65:7, |
| between $[8]-5: 10$, $6: 4,14: 11,21: 6$, | 12, 69:3, 69:9, | Christian [1]-2:9 | 35:9, 38:16, 39:11, | 8:15, 69:10, 70:10, |
| $32: 13,65: 9,79: 2,$ | $70: 3,70: 9,85: 3$, $88: 980,11$ |  | 64:10 |  |
|  | build $[2]-66: 4,66: 5$ | clad [1] - 75:22 <br> Clean $[3]$ - 49:5, 49:9 | compliant [8]-6:12, 35:12, 35:19, 36:15, | CORRECTIONS ${ }_{[1]}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { counsel [2]-34:15 } \\ & 36: 15 \end{aligned}$ |  | dining $[1]$ - 74:24 <br> direction [1] - 20:20 | Duryea[2]-2:9, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| counts [3] - 14:24 | Dark [2] - 78:16, 78:19 | disagree [1] - 33:19 | DURYEA [80]-3:1 | $\text { efficiently }[1]-51$ |
| county [4]-33 | 90:3, 90:19 | 7:14, 88:25 | 8, 17:3, 19:8, | 77:25, 78:1 |
| 34:4, 36:12, 43 | 56:16 | discussed [8]-11:13, | 19:16, 19:20, 19:24, | 25: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { County }[2]-4: 11, \\ & 45: 14 \\ & \text { couple }[2]-39: 18 \text {, } \\ & 70: 6 \end{aligned}$ | Davis [3]-1:20, 91:2, | 33:22, 42:22, 42:23, | 5, 20:7, 20: | electronic [2]-1:1 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 13,43: 21,57: 25 \text {, } \\ & 85: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 0:23, 21:9, 23:9, | 91:6 elements [2]-54:17 |
|  | deal [2] - 11:8, 40:18 dealing [1] - 42:12 | discussing [1] - 59:10 | , 40:6, 40:1 | 59:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { course }[1]-59: 8 \\ & \text { court }[9]-43: 3,49: 15, \\ & 49: 18,58: 19,58: 22, \\ & 58: 24,60: 22,88: 15, \\ & 88: 16 \end{aligned}$ |  | discussion [1]-13:13 disruption [1]-23:17 | 40:22, 40 | el |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December }[4]-4: 25, \\ 6: 5,38: 11,57: 21 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 7, 67:23, 68: |
|  |  | distance [3]-27:5, | 41:17, 41:20, 41:23, 42:2, 42:7, 42:10, | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 19,76: 24,77: 2 \\ & 82: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | decision [2]-13:4, $53: 18$ | district ${ }_{[1]}-35: 20$ | 12, 4 | elevation's [2] - 73:9, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Court [2] - 31:7, } 32: 5 \\ & \text { courts [3] - 85:11, } \\ & 85: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { decisions }[2]-71: 25, \\ & 72: 2 \end{aligned}$ | disturb [1] - 66:8 <br> disturbing $[1]-65 \cdot 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & : 7,47: 13,47 \\ & : 22,48: 3,48 \end{aligned}$ | 76:11 elevation |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{deck}[2]-88: 14,88: 16 \\ & \operatorname{deed}[2]-44: 24,45: 2 \end{aligned}$ | division [1] - 16:21 | 6, | 1, 68 |
| covenant [5] - 32:18, |  | done [18] - 7:14, | $: 24,49: 3,53: 1$ | elsewhere [1] - 49:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 32: 20,33: 8,33: 15 \text {, } \\ & 34: 6 \end{aligned}$ | deeds [3] - 36:14, |  | $: 17,60: 21,60: 2$ | end [2] - 76:5, 89:17 |
| covenants [2] - 23:7,24:22 | deem [1] - 33:25 <br> deemed [2]-32:18 | 29:11, 29:20, 30:14, | 7, 61:10, 6 | 4: |
|  |  |  | 2:20, | 63: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Covenants [2] - 40:16, } \\ & 49: 8 \end{aligned}$ | dem 33:16 deep [1] - 68:12 | 35:4, 36:23, 37:4, | $\begin{aligned} & : 4,63: 7,63: 10 \\ & : 10,67: 15,67: \end{aligned}$ | entertaining ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 49:8 <br> coverage [6] - 32:21, | deep [1] - 68:12 deeper $[1]-29: 3$ | 66:3 | ,8, | entire [3] - 70:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35: 2,36: 3,36: 5, \\ & 36: 8,74: 17 \end{aligned}$ | definitely [4] - 67:8, <br> 78:19, 80:14, 86:19 |  | 76:18, 76:21, | :11, 86: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { door }[2]-14: 10,74: 23 \\ & \text { double }[7]-59: 14, \end{aligned}$ | ,23, 78:3, 79:19, | entitled [3]-22:13, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { covered }[2]-75: 3, \\ & 75: 14 \end{aligned}$ | demerger [1] - 45:7 <br> demolished [2]-61:8, |  |  | 24:15, 24:17 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 81:14, 81:16, 83:23 } \\ & \text { doubt }[1]-66: 9 \end{aligned}$ | dwelling [1] - 54:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { entrance }[2]-45: 25 \text {, } \\ & 83: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { created [3]-23:16, } \\ & 31: 23,81: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80:3 } \\ & \text { den/office }[1] \text { - 75:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $44: 20,47: 21 \text {, }$ |  | entrances [1]-80:23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { reating [2] - 76:6, } \\ & 80: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dennis [6]-9:2, 9:23, } \\ & \text { 11:8, 22:23, 23:22, } \\ & 31: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 6:3, 67:4, 83:3 |
| cross [1] - 82:7 |  |  | [1] - 39:3 | $2: 12$ |
| cr | described [1] - 45:9 <br> descriptions [1] - 45:6 | Downes [1] - 9:3 <br> downes [1] - 9:8 <br> DOWNES [20] - 9:24, | rth [1] - 80:7 | quidistant ${ }_{[1]}-7: 13$ |
| 82:7 |  |  | t[13] - 12: | ipment [8]-11:21, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crosstalk [8]-27:6, } \\ & 47: 25,62: 6,68: 7, \\ & 68: 13,69: 4,73: 21, \\ & 88: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 79:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:3, 10:7, 11:6, } \\ & \text { 11:10, 11:22, 13:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $16: 4,1$ | $12: 2,12: 4,12: 9,$ |
|  | ```Design [2]-31:17, 36:23 details [1] - 79:6 determine [2] - 17:10,``` |  | $: 16,19: 11,21: 2$ | 91:6 |
|  |  | 13:20, 14:3, 16:22, | :5, 21:8 | equipme |
| Crosstalk) [1] - 63:11 |  | 22, 23:6, 23:23 | ts [1] - 18:2 | 73 |
| crow [1] - 70:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { determine [2] - 17:10, } \\ & 22: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 2,24: 21,25: 5 \\ & 25: 14,25: 19,25: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\text { easy }[1]-18: 7$ | rika [3] - 2:14, 9:12, |
| curb [9]-59:14, | developed [1] - 80:22 <br> developing $[1]$ - 21:16 | 25:14, 25:19, 25:22, | $\mathbf{t}[1]-70: 21$ | 10:3 |
| 3, 80:13, 81 |  | 31:3 <br> DOYLE [5] - 4:19, 6:15, 7:5, 8:19, 8:23 | ge [6] - 65:5 | Ernest [1] - 2:5 erosion [1] - 44 |
| 1:17, 83:23 |  |  | $68: 19,69: 2,78: 13$ | ERRATA [1] - 90:2 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { curious }[4]-25: 22, \\ 44: 16,48: 5,48: 21 \end{gathered}$ | different [10] - 3:12 $31 \cdot 9,31: 24,34: 4$ | Doyle [3] - 4:8, 4:22, 7:24 | EDWARDS [25] - 3:21, | especially [2] - 10: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { current }[2]-34: 13, \\ & 58: 4 \\ & \operatorname{cut}_{[7]}-59: 14,61: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 31:9, 31:24, 34:4, } \\ & \text { 47:5, 64:5, 64:8, } \\ & \text { 81:15, 85:7 } \\ & \text { differently }[1]-83: 19 \end{aligned}$ | draft [1] - 57:17 <br> drawing [2] - 68:3, | $8: 5,12: 13,12: 17,$ | [2]-2:11, 4:9 |
|  |  |  | $15: 25,16: 8,16: 1$ | essentially [1] - 45 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 16 \\ & \text { drawn }[1]-49: 9 \\ & \text { Drive }[1]-4: 10 \\ & \text { driveway }[9]-4: 15 \text {, } \\ & 5: 9,14: 9,19: 23 \text {, } \\ & 21: 7,43: 25,46: 20, \\ & 80: 23,83: 18 \end{aligned}$driveways [3] - 43:14, |  | establish [1] - $38: 9$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { cut }[7]-59: 14,61: 23, \\ & 79: 20,80: 4,80: 13, \\ & 81: 17,83: 23 \\ & \text { cuts }[2]-60: 16,81: 15 \\ & \text { cutting }[1]-78: 8 \end{aligned}$ | differently [1]-83:19 <br> difficulty [1] -9:16 <br> $\operatorname{dig}{ }_{[1]}-23: 6$ <br> dimensional [1] - 36:16 <br> dimensionally ${ }^{[1]}$ - 36:9 |  | $42: 18,52: 18,52: 21,$ | establishment ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
|  |  |  | 53:7, 53:10, 61:24, | 4:16 |
|  |  |  |  | estate [4] - 32:23, |
|  |  |  | 85:24, 86:16 | 25, 34:23, 63:23 |
|  |  |  | -2:10 | - 19:2, 83:20 |
|  |  |  |  | evening [2] - 4:19, 31:12 |



| $\begin{aligned} & 13: 19,18: 24,18: 25, \\ & 83: 21 \end{aligned}$ | J | $\begin{aligned} & \text { left [2] }-21: 14,21: 18 \\ & \text { legal [2] }-49: 10,82: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 90:3, 91:5 <br> Marvin [1] - 75:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:21, 22:4, 22:1 } \\ & \text { 23:4, 23:12, 23:2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| impacted [1] - 44:20 | jam [1]-82:21 | less [9]-6:9, 20:14, | 62 | 24:9, |
| important [6]-21:23, | January [1] - 57:21 | :9, 35:1 | [1] | 5:15, 25:21 |
| 34:6, 50:14, 59:7, | - 35:7 | 3, 61:17, 62:4 | master [2]-75:5 | 26:7, 28:2 |
| 76:9, 82:17 | job [1]-76.5 | 2:13 | 75:16 | 29:8, 29 |
| improved [1] - 32 |  | [2] - 72:24, 73:6 | [2] - 45:1 | 30:9, 30:12, 30:10 |
| improvements | joining [1] - 4:3 | licensed [1] - 35:6 | 52:22 | 0:25, 31:5, |
| 5:3, 7:22, 34:12 | jumped [1] - 12:23 | light [1] - 70:18 | mature [1] | 3:19, 33:23, |
| 41:2 | junior [1] - 75:5 | lighting $[2]-78: 1$ | maxed [1] - 27: | 7:10, 37:17, 37: |
| 22:20 |  | 79:6 | m | 2:21, 44:2, 44:10 |
|  | K | lik | 39:8, 50:24 | 44:13, 44:17, 45:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { inaudible }[5]-9: 9, \\ & 39: 4,74: 21,75: 20, \\ & 77: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { keep }[3]-34: 22,78: 8 \text {, } \\ & 85: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { limit }[1]-59: 23 \\ & \text { limiting }[1]-60: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mean }[14]-15: 11, \\ & 26: 14,36: 11,55: 17, \\ & 64: 9,73: 23,79: 21, \end{aligned}$ | 46:14, 46:22, 47:2, <br> 47:5, 47:9, 47:19, |
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|  |  | 55:6, 55:9, 55:13, 55:15, 55:16, 55:21, 55:24, 55:25, 56:3, 56:7, 56:9, 56:10, 56:11, 56:12, 56:14, 56:16, 56:18, 56:20, 56:22, 56:24, 57:2, 57:4, 57:9, 58:14, 58:18, 58:21, 58:23, 59:7, 59:17, 60:3, 60:10, 60:17, 60:21, 60:23, 60:25, 61:3, 61:7, 61:10, 61:12, 61:21, 62:7, 62:17, 62:19, 62:20, 62:25, 63:4, 63:7, 63:10, 63:12, 63:15, 63:22, 64:12, 64:18, 65:2, 65:5, 65:8, 65:10, 65:14, 65:17, 65:20, 65:21, 66:14, 66:16, 66:19, 67:3, 67:8, 67:15, 67:22, 68:2, 68:5, 68:8, 68:14, 68:18, 68:20, 68:21, 68:22, 68:25, 69:5, 69:11, 69:16, 70:2, 70:8, 70:11, 70:25, 71:5, 71:6, 71:8, 71:9, 71:11, 71:13, 71:16, 71:17, 71:19, 71:24, 72:2, 72:5, 72:10, 72:12, 72:13, 72:15, 72:19, 72:23, 73:8, 73:9, 73:11, 73:13, 73:15, 73:16, 73:25, 74:5, 74:7, 74:9, 76:18, 76:20, 76:21, 76:22, 76:23, 77:2, 77:13, 77:15, 78:3, 78:4, 78:14, 78:17, 78:18, 78:19, 78:23, 79:2, 79:8, 79:12, 79:19, 79:22, 80:8, 80:11, 80:19, 81:5, 81:9, 81:12, 81:22, 81:25, 82:5, 82:9, 82:11, 82:12, 82:13, 82:14, 82:16, 82:20, 82:23, 83:4, 83:5, 83:7, 83:10, 83:17, 84:12, 84:14, 85:2, 85:5, 85:10, 85:15, 85:21, 86:5, 86:6, 86:8, 86:10, 86:13, 86:18, 86:24, 87:5, 87:6, 87:8, 87:11, 87:13, 87:14, 87:15, 87:17, 87:19, 88:2, 88:8, 88:10, 88:17, 88:22, 88:24, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 89:2, 89:5, 89:6, } \\ \text { 89:8, 89:9, 89:11, } \\ \text { 89:13, 89:14, 89:15 } \\ \text { MS [93] - 3:21, 3:25, } \\ \text { 8:5, 8:9, 9:15, 9:19, } \\ \text { 9:23, 10:5, 12:13, } \\ \text { 12:17, 15:25, 16:8, } \\ \text { 16:12, 16:20, 17:6, } \\ \text { 18:3, 18:7, 18:10, } \\ \text { 18:13, 21:17, 26:17, } \\ \text { 28:14, 28:17, 42:18, } \\ \text { 45:19, 45:24, 46:6, } \\ \text { 52:18, 52:21, 53:7, } \\ \text { 53:10, 55:2, 55:8, } \\ 56: 8,57: 5,57: 10, \\ 58: 17,58: 25,59: 15, \\ 59: 18,60: 9,60: 12, \\ 60: 19,61: 5,61: 8, \\ 61: 11,61: 24,62: 2, \\ 62: 15,62: 22,63: 3, \\ 63: 5,63: 9,63: 17, \\ 63: 24,64: 4,64: 6, \\ 64: 7,64: 14,65: 7, \\ 65: 16,66: 10,67: 20, \\ 67: 24,69: 8,69: 15, \\ 70: 5,70: 10,72: 7, \\ 72: 16,72: 21,73: 19, \\ 73: 22,74: 2,79: 5, \\ 79: 10,79: 13,80: 5, \\ 80: 10,81: 2,82: 18, \\ 84: 22,85: 9,85: 14, \\ 85: 18,85: 19,85: 23, \\ 85: 24,86: 4,86: 11, \\ 86: 16,87: 4,88: 20 \\ \text { mudroom }[1]-75: 2 \\ \text { mulch }[6]-5: 16,6: 10, \\ 6: 21,6: 24,62: 21, \\ 62: 22 \\ \text { muted }[1]-8: 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { neighboring }[4]- \\ 5: 11,19: 2,19: 6, \\ 21: 13 \\ \text { neighbors }[4]-83: 9, \\ \text { 83:14, 83:21, 84:13 } \\ \text { nets }[1]-88: 13 \\ \text { never }[7]-33: 8,42: 6, \\ 60: 24,60: 25,61: 10, \\ 71: 20,88: 17 \\ \text { new }[8]-42: 9,54: 4, \\ 54: 10,54: 11,54: 16, \\ 54: 20,60: 4,85: 25 \\ \text { New }[4]-1: 10,4: 10, \\ 4: 22,31: 13 \\ \text { next }[14]-8: 17,13: 6, \\ 25: 8,25: 9,26: 13, \\ 31: 6,50: 18,53: 15, \\ 77: 9,82: 4,82: 21, \\ 84: 18,87: 12,89: 4 \\ \text { Nieroda }[1]-31: 16 \\ \text { NIERODA }[6]-31: 17, \\ 37: 24,39: 24,51: 9, \\ 51: 14,51: 16 \\ \text { Nieroda's }[1]-36: 24 \\ \text { nonconforming }[1]- \\ 72: 11 \\ \text { none }[1]-61: 3 \\ \text { NORTH }[1]-1: 6 \\ \text { North }[8]-3: 3,4: 10, \\ 32: 2,39: 16,42: 15, \\ 43: 5,90: 2,91: 4 \\ \text { northern }[7]-32: 3, \\ 32: 25,33: 5,34: 21, \\ 35: 14,35: 16,45: 10 \\ \text { Notary }[1]-90: 24 \\ \text { note }[4]-4: 2,9: 7, \\ 61: 13,70: 15 \\ \text { nothing }[3]-24: 12, \\ 71: 11,89: 2 \\ \text { noticed }[2]-11: 13, \\ 59: 23 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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