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VILLAGE OF NORTH HAVEN BOARD OF TRUSTEES WORK SESSION
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Sag Harbor, New York 11963
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A P P E A R A N C E S:

Chris Fiore, Mayor
Claas Abraham, Deputy Mayor
E. Dianne Skilbred, Trustee (Absent)

Terie Diat, Trustee
Peter Boody, Trustee
Eileen Tuohy, Village Clerk/Treasurer (Present Virtually)
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NORTH HAVEN BOT $W$ ORK SESSION - 9/27/2022
(Whereupon, the Pledge of
Allegiance was recited.)
MAYOR FIORE: There's no participation on Zoom today?

MR. ABRAHAM: No. There's no one in the waiting room.

MAYOR FIORE: No one's in the
waiting room?
MS. TUOHY: Nobody's waiting.
MR. ABRAHAM: No. I'll watch out for it.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay. So let's get started.

Thank you for coming. Thank you for wearing masks. Let's hope Dianne feels better soon. Let's hope Eileen is back in action soon. Let's hope George is back in action soon. And Erika is out today 'cause she doesn't feel good, so let's hope she doesn't come down with it, yeah, 'cause she's not vaccinated. So if she does get it, it could be a worse cold than we've had.

The first item on the agenda is a
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discussion of dock applications. And I explained this to Terie and I explained it to Eileen. But let me just say, like, 25 words or less, when we were working with Mr. Simpson, now that it's done, I'm not comfortable with the way it was handled. I think it could be better organized. I think it could be -- I think it could be much more specific about the questions that we ask. In his case, you know, his dock became the okay piece, but his vegetation became the not okay piece. We got into a whole lot of discussions. George has set the record straight with Mr. Simpson, although it was not how we set the record straight, and I'd kind of like to avoid that in the future.

There is only one person -- well, in my estimation, there is one person on this Board who knows more about docks than anyone else on the Board, and that would be Terie Diat. And I asked Terie if she could coordinate the next dock application, if she could review it, if

1

NORTH HAVEN BOT WORK SESSION - 9/27/2022 she could give us her input on what we need to ask, what she feels is a problem, et cetera, so that we're all aligned on the questions that we ask, the issues that are at hand, whether or not Billy's e-mail/memo is received, is received on time, is applicable to the discussion. So that one person simply coordinates the pieces and then she would fill us in and let us know what her evaluation is of this particular dock application.

Short of turning dock applications over to the Planning Board, which we don't want to do, at least the two of us don't want to do that, we could discuss that if you want to, but $I$ think that should remain as part of the Board of Trustees' agenda.

So I would like to ask Terie, and you can expound on that if you want, but I'd like to ask you if you would do the coordination and present to us your questions and your issues prior to meeting with the applicant himself and his bevy of
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MS. DIAT: Yeah. No, I'm happy to do that. I mean, I -- you know, when I'm reviewing it before our meeting, I rely on Billy's report.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: Billy's, you know, really the one that goes through and examines it and tells us what to look for. But I'm happy to do that.

MR. ABRAHAM: I mean, I find Billy's reports very helpful for us to, like, look through this. I think the biggest issue with this one was, maybe that is something that we should pay attention to, is that while his dock eventually was within our Code and we could have proved it, because other items on his property were so out of code --

MS. DIAT: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- that we then had to, like, make this dock conditional.

So I think maybe prior to a dock application coming to us, $I$ think that,
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you know, it should be George who goes there and says, like, well, I'm not even going to present this to the Board of Trustees unless these items -MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.

MR. ABRAHAM: -- are correct. In this case it was, with Mr. Simpson it was the fact that it was already here and then these issues were pointed out by Billy, who was like, $I$ don't think -- I think that would have alleviated a great wave of, you know, this turmoil that we had with this application.

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: It should not get to us, unless the whole house, everything else, is in compliance. Only then should it come to us so we don't have to deal with that.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: And if Terie, at the next one, you look it over and be like, no, this is not even going there -MS. DIAT: Right.
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MR. ABRAHAM: -- because -- that's my suggestion.

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah. We're not even close, then we don't put them on the agenda.

MR. ABRAHAM: We don't want to see it. We don't want to see it.

MS. DIAT: Because other
applications are typically much more straightforward.

MR. ABRAHAM: Yes.
MS. DIAT: Most are approved in a single meeting. I mean, some go two meetings.

MR. ABRAHAM: Yeah.
MS. DIAT: Most are approved in a single meeting.

MR. BOODY: Do we have the administrative power? Does the Village have the administrative power to hold up somebody's application that way?

MS. DIAT: Sure.
MAYOR FIORE: Sure, sure, sure.
Yeah. If it's not complete, I mean, they
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do it at the Planning Board.
MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: You've gotta get 1 through 10 and you've gotta submit everything. You're missing number 8, I'm sorry, but you just -- you know, you've gotta get your act together and then give us everything we need to make a decision.

MR. ABRAHAM: Do we have another one coming any time that --

MAYOR FIORE: Not that $I$ know of.
MS. DIAT: Do you know, Eileen?
MS. TUOHY: No.
MAYOR FIORE: Do you know of one?
MS. TUOHY: Not -- not, no.
MAYOR FIORE: Not no.
MS. TUOHY: Oh, no, actually, I think Erika did get something for somebody who wants to do both a revetment and a dock application.

MR. ABRAHAM: Okay.
MS. TUOHY: Or they can put it on one, but we told them no, it had to be two separate things.
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MS. DIAT: Okay.
MS. TUOHY: I can't recall who it was off the top of my head, but -MR. ABRAHAM: Well, it sounds like a plan.

MS. TUOHY: Yeah.
MS. DIAT: Okay.
MS. TUOHY: But, no, I like the
idea. I like the idea, too, that we, you know, before we even send it off to Billy, we check with Erika and George to see if there are any open violations.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes. Yeah, that could be a big step, depending on the applicant. Okay?

MS. DIAT: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: Good.
Substandard lots. Proposed code
change that we have in our packet and Scott sent us. I did ask him to -- he put down the recommendations, but I didn't know what the original, what the original code required. So he -MS. TUOHY: Right.
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MAYOR FIORE: He added that in red.

MS. TUOHY: Right. So one copy's
got the red, his comments on it, what's existing, and the other is without the comments.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: Can I ask one
question?
MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. ABRAHAM: So a lot of these
lots that we create this, what is it
called, the substan- --
MAYOR FIORE: Substandard.
MR. ABRAHAM: Substandards,
obviously have been handled by the ZBA because they required --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: So I would like to know if what we are proposing here is in accordance, and if it's only
three-quarters of whatever it is, is this what the ZBA, in general, has been done?

MAYOR FIORE: I can't answer that.
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I don't know.
MR. ABRAHAM: Like, did -- when
somebody comes on one of those substandard lots, does the $Z B A, \quad i n g e n e r a l, ~ s a y, ~ l i k e$, oh, you know what, we -- it's 50 , it's --

MAYOR FIORE: Scott goes to all
the $Z B A$ meetings and he did tell me, although $I$ cannot confirm it, that most of the ZBA applications from Bay Haven, let's say, concern these items. But $I$ need to hear that from scott.

MS. DIAT: Yeah. I mean --
MAYOR FIORE: I don't want you to take my word for it.

MS. DIAT: My question was
simpler --
MAYOR FIORE: You go to them, too.
MS. DIAT: -- to yours. I mean, assumably, what he's proposing here addresses the Bay Haven situation. You know, the ones outside of Bay Haven are varied, I guess.

MAYOR FIORE: He did say that this was specifically targeted for Bay Haven
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MS. DIAT: Yeah, that this would address Bay Haven's, Bay Haven's --

MR. ABRAHAM: So one can assume that this is what the ZBA most likely would give somebody in Bay Haven.

MS. DIAT: Well, I mean, the way the ZBA works, they don't give you -- you know, the applicant comes and requests and they say "yes" or "no". So it's not like they say, "I'll give you a 50 -foot setback in your front yard." They come and say, "I need $X$, " you know.

MR. ABRAHAM: Okay. And we'll just be curious because we're doing this to avoid that the substandard lots always have to go to ZBA if the ZBA, 90 percent of the cases has given them a minimum front yard setback of, like, 40 feet, you know, then making it 50. Kind of like they still would have to go to the ZBA every time. So I think we should find -MS. TUOHY: We can go back and see that. We can go -- it might take us, you
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know, a couple weeks, but we can go back in and see what those awarded setbacks were to see if they're in kind of line with what Scott's recommending.

MR. ABRAHAM: I think we should do that just so that we get it right the first time. Because if they all did 40 feet and now we are saying 50, they still have to go there, so it kind of like defeats the purpose.

MS. DIAT: Exactly.
MAYOR FIORE: So let's contact him by e-mail and confirm that, but in the meantime --

MS. TUOHY: We can do that in the office. We just have to have -- I'll ask Erika to go through the ZBA rulings for the last couple years on $Z B A$ setbacks in Bay Haven and see what -- I can even maybe have Renee do that, actually.

MR. BOODY: Didn't Scott say, though, that this is exactly what he was looking to do?

MAYOR FIORE: He did.
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MR. BOODY: And he's familiar and,
I assume --
MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. BOODY: -- you know, sort
of --
MR. ABRAHAM: So then either Scott needs to -- you know, scott needs to confirm.

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- this is what --
MR. BOODY: Maybe we could just have Scott --

MAYOR FIORE: I'm just saying, it's easier for us to contact Scott and say, "Is this, in fact, what you've been dealing with in Bay Haven," and it would be to take two weeks to go through all of the ZBA's.

MS. DIAT: Well, it would be less costly to have Erika do it than to have, than to have Scott go through his files.

MAYOR FIORE: But it's simply a question to Scott.

MS. TUOHY: It could be a first
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thing is we could ask Scott, 'cause Scott's only been our Village attorney for four or five years, so $I$ don't know how many ZBA applications came before the Board while he was here. So it could be a combination of the both. We could ask Scott to say, "Is this in line with what has been granted," or should we go back and look.

MAYOR FIORE: And that's not an expensive question.

MS. DIAT: Yeah. And it also -Erika can also look at the size of the lots in Bay Haven, in general, right, because that's what it's going to apply to, right, whether they've asked for a variance in the past or will in the future is what this will apply to, right.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes. Now, in the event that that is confirmed, can we have a discussion on what he has proposed?

MR. ABRAHAM: I'm okay with that.
MR. BOODY: Yeah, I'm okay with it.
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MAYOR FIORE: I am, too. I do
want to make sure, though, that the coverage remains where it is, which is 15 percent and not 20 percent.

MR. BOODY: Well, it doesn't say anything about a change in coverage in here, does it?

MAYOR FIORE: Maximum coverage, yeah. It's the very last part B, says, "shall be 20 percent," which creates that situation where you've got a big house on a small lot and it's looming and it's Xanadu-like, similar to the ones that we've talked about. Maunakea's one of them, Goodwood's another one.

MS. DIAT: Well, he is increasing it, right, from 15 to 20.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: So he's increasing it slightly.

MAYOR FIORE: But he didn't change that because he did speak to me and I just gave him my opinion. I said I wanted it to remain at 15.
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MR. ABRAHAM: But you know what it also does? It creates a situation that we have in the Clearing Code where now we have a 41,000 square foot property and now you're like, hm, I'm gonna give 1,500 of that to my neighbor and now $I$ fall into, you know, like, a substandard lot when $I$ can build five percent more.

MS. DIAT: That's true.
MAYOR FIORE: I'm sorry, I didn't follow that.

MR. BOODY: There's a step --
MR. ABRAHAM: So you know how the Clearing Code was, like, bracketed -MAYOR FIORE: Right.

MR. ABRAHAM: -- and we made it, like, this sort of, like, curve.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: And here you create the same thing. I have a forty- -- I buy a 41,000 square foot lot.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: And now I'm, like, hm, if $I$ only had 39,500 square foot, I
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could build much more.
MS. DIAT: That's true. So it should stay at the lower --

MR. ABRAHAM: I'm just -- like, I'm trying to -- maybe I complicate this.

MAYOR FIORE: Oh, no, no, no. Now
I follow you, yeah. Okay, yeah. So if $I$ gave 1,000 square feet to my neighbor, then I'd be under the new definition and $I$ could build a bigger house.

MR. ABRAHAM: Yes.
MAYOR FIORE: Yeah. I don't want to do that.

MS. DIAT: You're advocating for it to stay at the lower lot coverage?

MR. ABRAHAM: I'm not sure. Like, I, I have to really -- I think I have to -- like, what difference would it make to everyone owning such a lot? Because if you have a small lot, you should still be allowed to build, you know, a somewhat decent house on it. I don't know, like, does this mean, like, on a -- so if you have a -- let's say you have 40,000 square
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foot lot. Now, what kind of house can you build with 15 percent of -- what kind of house can you build with -MAYOR FIORE: Well, it always has been 15 percent, so it's every house that's there.

MR. ABRAHAM: So then it should stay that way.

MAYOR FIORE: Unless they've gone to the $Z B A$.

MR. ABRAHAM: Okay. I think we should keep -- I think we should keep it --

MAYOR FIORE: Excuse me one second. I don't think the ZBA gives you lot coverage relief, does it?

MS. DIAT: I'm not sure.
MAYOR FIORE: Have you ever heard that, ever seen that?

MR. BOODY: That would be -- yes.
I mean, covering other towns and villages, I've heard of lot coverage variances.

MAYOR FIORE: Right. I'm just wondering if ours has, has been -- if
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they've had to deal with that and if they -- and in the event that we keep it at 15 percent, you can still go to the ZBA and ask for 20.

MR. ABRAHAM: I think we should keep it 15 to --

MAYOR FIORE: I do, too.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- to kind of, like, avoid this 39 where there's, like, 40,000.

MS. DIAT: Yeah, to avoid the
jagged --
MR. ABRAHAM: To avoid this, like, jump.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: The jagged edge.
MAYOR FIORE: Yes. The sawtooth.
MR. ABRAHAM: That's what my brother-in-law did. He basically, he gave, like --

MR. BOODY: Really?
MR. ABRAHAM: He gave, like, two percent of his property for the Clearing Code to his neighbor.

MR. BOODY: Right. So he just
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resurveyed the property line and refiled the deed.

MR. ABRAHAM: So I think we should
keep it at 15.
MAYOR FIORE: Keep it at 15. And we will confirm that --

MR. ABRAHAM: This is an analog
to, like, what the ZBA had been signing -MAYOR FIORE: Yes.

MR. ABRAHAM: -- over the past years.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay.
MR. ABRAHAM: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: Okay, good.
So if we -- can we pass this at our October meeting if we get that question answered?

MS. TUOHY: No. It has to be introduced first.

MAYOR FIORE: As a public hearing?
MS. TUOHY: We wouldn't be able to do anything with it 'til November.

MAYOR FIORE: Can we do a public hearing in October?
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MS. TUOHY: No. It has to be introduced first at a meeting, a general meeting to the public.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay. So it'll be introduced --

MS. TUOHY: And then you've gotta give the resolution to authorize me to advertise it. So it wouldn't happen until November.

MAYOR FIORE: So will it be introduced in October?

MS. TUOHY: Yes. It'll be introduced --

MAYOR FIORE: Okay.
MS. TUOHY: -- in October.
MAYOR FIORE: Okay. Thank you.
MS. DIAT: So, just to step back for one minute, so can we con- -- can you confirm, Eileen, that what we just said is correct? So a property that's 41,000 square foot, is their maximum lot coverage 15 percent?

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. TUOHY: I have to check that

NORTH HAVEN BOT WORK SESSION - 9/27/2022 along with the -- I don't have that in front of me at the moment, so I'd have to confirm that.

MS. DIAT: Okay. All right. Let's just make sure that what we're saying is accurate, okay.

MS. TUOHY: Right. But we have time to -- we can tweak that between now and the October meeting if that's not -we can confirm everything.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: I think it's
interesting, too, that an acre is actually 43,560 square feet. And sometimes, and many times, we buy and sell properties based on acreage, one-acre lot, half-acre lot, yet our Code and accompanying villages' codes refer to 40,000 square feet.

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: And we shave off the 3,560.

MR. BOODY: Call that a builder's
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lot.

MAYOR FIORE: And they call that a builder's lot.

MR. BOODY: A builder's acre.
MAYOR FIORE: Right. I just find
it interesting.
MR. BOODY: Yeah. Every town
I've --

MAYOR FIORE: Not awe-inspiring, but interesting.

MR. BOODY: Does it that way.
MAYOR FIORE: I know.

MR. ABRAHAM: You should just switch to the metric system.

MR. BOODY: Right.
MAYOR FIORE: Yeah, well, we should. We should have done that 100 years ago, yes.

MS. TUOHY: Wait two years or three years 'til $I$ can retire, then you can switch to the metric system.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay, thank you.
MS. DIAT: You don't want to go through that transition?
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MS. TUOHY: No. My father told me
that if God wanted the metric system,
there would have only been ten apostles.
MAYOR FIORE: There would have
only been what?
MS. TUOHY: Ten apostles.
MAYOR FIORE: Oh.
MR. BOODY: I have a question. MAYOR FIORE: Yes.

MR. BOODY: Should we -- have we
gotten feedback from the Zoning Board
chairman on this? Should we?
MS. DIAT: That's a good point.
MR. BOODY: I mean, he has
hands-on experience. Mark Poitras.
MAYOR FIORE: Mark Poitras.
MR. BOODY: Is it Poitras?
MAYOR FIORE: Poitras.
MS. TUOHY: Poitras, yeah.
MR. BOODY: Okay.
MR. ABRAHAM: Somebody should probably check with him if this is, like --

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
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MR. ABRAHAM: Just to get
feedback.
MR. BOODY: Just to make sure there's no sudden alarm bells we didn't think of.

MAYOR FIORE: I will. That's something else to talk to him about. And I'm sure Scott has discussed this with him, but $I$ will confirm that.

Okay. Are we done with that one? Anything else? Peter? Claas?

MR. ABRAHAM: Not on the substandards.

MAYOR FIORE: Lighting Code alignment. All $I$ wanted to do was say we've had this Lighting Code in our possession for a while now and we've talked about it individually. We all said, yes, we like it. Do we still like it? That's all $I$ wanted to know.

MR. ABRAHAM: I do still like it. I'm okay with it. The one thing that's still missing is the two and three outdoor lights.
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MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MS. TUOHY: I changed that.
MR. ABRAHAM: Say that again?
MS. TUOHY: I did change that.
Did it not --
MR. ABRAHAM: No. In this copy,
it still says two outdoor lighting fixtures.

MS. TUOHY: No. I did -- I
changed it for the legal no- -- I don't know why --

MR. ABRAHAM: Oh, I think you sent us a note that said, like -- I think you sent us a note that --

MS. TUOHY: Oh, yes, I did. I
did -- the one I e-mailed you still said "two," and $I$ corrected it, but $I$ didn't resend it to you. But the ones that -the hardcopy you have in front of you should be correct.

MR. ABRAHAM: No. Mine says

## "two".

MS. TUOHY: The hardcopy?
MR. ABRAHAM: The hardcopy I'm
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looking at right now in front of me says "two".

MS. TUOHY: Okay. Well, I did -the legal notice $I$ put in the paper says "three," and I changed --

MR. ABRAHAM: Okay.
MS. TUOHY: -- the 36 to 24 months.

MR. ABRAHAM: I have one item that I included in the Code when $I$ had written it back in the day, and that is outdoor chandeliers.

MAYOR FIORE: Outdoor chandeliers.
MR. ABRAHAM: A lot of people have that. (Inaudible) and they have a light fixture hanging underneath them outside, and $I$ create an exception for that, as well.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay.
MS. DIAT: So, outdoor --
MR. ABRAHAM: I'm just saying, if we do this, then, you know what, like, let's talk about the items that --

MAYOR FIORE: All $I$ want to know
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is, is it already covered? Because we tend sometimes when we write these codes to restate, restate and restate and restate.

MR. ABRAHAM: Sure.
MAYOR FIORE: And so $I$ just want to make sure it's not covered by an existing exception.

MS. DIAT: So would you consider an outdoor chande- -- could we consider an outdoor chandelier part of the outdoor screen- --

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: Outdoor string --
MR. ABRAHAM: I think we can consider that. It's off the table then. Thank you.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay. All right, thank you. You'll have to show me one someday. I want to know who has a chandelier outside.

MR. ABRAHAM: I have one.
MAYOR FIORE: You have one. All right, I'll be over. Okay.
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MS. DIAT: Can I ask one more question, just for clarification.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes, you may. MS. DIAT: I know probably too late. But under the Exceptions, in Part F, the US Coast Guard approved maritime navigational lighting. So where would that be?

MR. ABRAHAM: I think that would come into consideration if you have a dock longer than 100 foot out and that would require a -- that would require a light at the end of it.

MS. DIAT: But we don't think that's in conflict with the other statement that says no lights are allowed on docks?

MR. ABRAHAM: But it's an exception just like, you know, you have three outdoor lighting fixtures that don't need to be shielded so you create the code and then you have exceptions to that.

MS. DIAT: All right. I guess I've got a problem with that.
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MAYOR FIORE: So US Coast Guard approved maritime navigational lighting. Navigational lighting is lighting on markers and buoys.

MR. ABRAHAM: Yeah, but also if you have --

MAYOR FIORE: That's navigational.
MR. ABRAHAM: If you have a dock that is like --

MS. DIAT: That's what $I$ was hoping the answer was going to be. MAYOR FIORE: Yeah. That's how I read it.

MR. ABRAHAM: If you have a dock that's -- I don't know what the -- I researched it, but $I$ think, let's say if you have a dock that's 150 foot long, you would need to have a light at the end of it as a --

MAYOR FIORE: It's just like a light for planes on an antennae. Anything over a certain height has to have a blinking red light.

MR. ABRAHAM: Very similar.
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MAYOR FIORE: So if that is a
Coast Guard requirement, then I would think we would have to comply. You'd have to have a red blinking light to warn
boaters that your dock is an exceptional length.

MR. BOODY: Do we allow docks over 100 feet?

MAYOR FIORE: I don't know. 150
foot dock, do we?
MS. DIAT: I don't know. Do we have any that are more than --

MAYOR FIORE: What's Mr. Simpson? What's Mr. Simpson's?

MS. TUOHY: There could be -- I mean, there could be pre-existing ones.

MS. DIAT: Is it 100 feet or 150 feet?

MR. ABRAHAM: I can look it up again, but it is something -- I think it's over 100. That's what $I$ recall it being.

MAYOR FIORE: All right. Let's
confirm that. And $I$ don't see any problem with it because if it's required by the

1

NORTH HAVEN BOT $W$ ORK SESSION - 9/27/2022
Coast Guard, then $I$ think we should comply.

MS. DIAT: All right. So we should -- I guess for our own knowledge, we should know --

MAYOR FIORE: We should know that.
MS. DIAT: -- what that Coast
Guard approved maritime navigational lighting law is.

MAYOR FIORE: Or what the length of the dock that requires it is.

MS. DIAT: Well, yeah. That's what I'm saying, what the -- you know, the Coast Guard lighting law is.

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MS. DIAT: So that if we want to enforce it anyplace, we know what it is we want to enforce.

MAYOR FIORE: Anything else on lighting? So we -- now, that, what is that? Is that a public hearing in October, Eileen?

MS. TUOHY: Yes. We have that scheduled for a public hearing already for
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October.
MAYOR FIORE: Okay, good.
MR. BOODY: Yeah, that's already -- you just said that. It's
already scheduled, right? We did that.
MS. TUOHY: That's already
scheduled. At the last meeting --
MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. BOODY: Right.
MS. TUOHY: -- you approved me to have -- put the public notice in the paper.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay.
MS. DIAT: So can you send me this for North Haven, what you --

MS. TUOHY: Oh, yeah. Okay. Yes.
MAYOR FIORE: Okay.
MS. TUOHY: It's actually on our website, Terie, right now. I put them on yesterday. I put them on. If you go to the calendar and you click on, down below where it lists the date, you know, what's the upcoming meetings.

MS. DIAT: Okay.
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MS. TUOHY: I believe I already -for the October meeting, I think I already put these on there.

MS. DIAT: Great. Thanks.
MAYOR FIORE: Can we go to Noise Code? Yes.

So a few months ago, I gave you a copy of the Port -- I think it was Port Jefferson noise code, which is based on a decibel level for allowance or non-allowance. Ours lists items like snowblowers or jet skis or whatever else. It doesn't, as you remember -- it didn't, as you recall, list dirt bikes, so we amended the Code for a dirt bike.

But there is a thought process that says, well if we're going to amend the Code, if somebody invents something else that is too loud and too disturbing and does not allow people the right of quiet enjoyment, are we going to amend the Code and put that in every time somebody invents something? Or, should we adopt a code that would be based on a decibel
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level that would require, obviously, the Code Enforcement officer to have a decibel reader. It would require probably George to have a decibel reader. You may want your own decibel reader. And if it's over 65, there -- is it app?

MR. ABRAHAM: Yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: Oh, it is. All
right. Well, $I$ think we'd probably have to have something more official than an app-based decibel reader. But $I$ kind of like that and Scott kind of likes that and he's pulling together a proposal for us to review.

Is there any -- are there any
naysayers? Is there anybody that would like to continue with what we have and not consider moving --

MR. ABRAHAM: Chris.
MAYOR FIORE: -- to a decibel
level --
MR. ABRAHAM: Could you lower your voice a little bit? You're violating our decibel --
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MAYOR FIORE: What am I at?
MR. ABRAHAM: Violating our
decibel code right now.
MAYOR FIORE: What am I at?
'Cause 65 is the -- I'm at 70. I was at 70. Well, that's my radio going, so I'm sorry. I can't --

MS. DIAT: The only question $I$
would ask is, would -- is it more clear for residents to have spelled out, you know, $I$ can use this, this, this and this type of device or vehicle and $I$ cannot use this, this and this type of device or vehicle.

MAYOR FIORE: I think we could --
MS. DIAT: 'Cause not every resident is gonna think to, you know, put an app on their phone or will they consider that when they buy the device or vehicle, what the decibel.

MR. ABRAHAM: I think it should be both. And for --

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- the reason that
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there's constantly new toys, devices, stuff coming out that is being, like -you know, something might come out in two years that kids are using outside that now it's a Hoverboard and the Hoverboard is electric -MAYOR FIORE: Right. MR. ABRAHAM: -- but it makes a -you know, it's a Hoverboard that you can fly with. So now we have something that makes 100 decibel noise because -- but it's still driven by electric engine, right. So now we constantly need to change. So I think a combination of a decibel and an itemized list is, I think, the best way forward. MAYOR FIORE: I agree. MS. DIAT: Okay. MAYOR FIORE: You should tell people that, okay, here are some things that we know you can't use. But in the future, if you buy -- if somebody invents a gas-powered drone, okay, and it's over 65 decibels, you're not gonna be able to
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use it. So check them before you buy your gasoline powered drone.

MR. ABRAHAM: So I think it should be a combination of, like, because that way we sort of, like, it's easier for residents to see --

MS. DIAT: Cover the universe.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- to see the list that's I agree with you rather than being like, oh, $I$ cannot make a noise more than 65 decibels in a 10-foot distance, 'cause that's usually how you sort of like define it. You all know about that from flying, that, you know, decibels are measured sort of like at a distance --

MR. BOODY: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- at $X, Y, Z$. But
I think we should cover it just to be like -- there is a new device. In some ways, like, well, $I$ can use it. It's not -- doesn't fall under any of these toys, devices, machines.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: Then we have covered
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it. So I think that will be ideal.
MR. BOODY: I think that it should be clear, though, that certain things are just banned, like motorbikes.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. BOODY: And powered snow --
leaf blowers --
MR. ABRAHAM: ATV's.
MR. BOODY: -- in the middle of the summer.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. BOODY: So there's probably a way for scott to write it so that remains what our Code says.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. ABRAHAM: Well, I have a question. So, maybe Eileen can help us. For example, we ban ATV's, right?

MAYOR FIORE: We did not -- I think ATVs are in the -- they're already in the Code.

MR. ABRAHAM: They are in there, right?

MAYOR FIORE: I believe.
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MR. ABRAHAM: But I thought --
know, for example, that Chris Miller is using one.

MS. DIAT: Well, we said "except for".

MAYOR FIORE: But we said --
MS. TUOHY: We can do an except
for.
MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: I mean, Glenn might have one, too, for his work.

MR. ABRAHAM: Got it. Just curiosity.

MAYOR FIORE: We have not heard anything back from the attorney who wrote the letter to Scott insisting that he could, in fact, use dirt bikes. Scott wrote back, like, two months ago now. We have not heard anything.

MR. BOODY: So the next shoe that you hear falling will be the lawsuit that -- if that person wants to go all the way, that probably would be the next
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MAYOR FIORE: That could be the next sound, but Scott feels very comfortable that his --

MR. BOODY: Oh, yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: -- that his home rule rebuttal is --

MS. DIAT: Is this something we should be talking about on the record?

MR. BOODY: Right. It's
litigation.
MAYOR FIORE: Well --
MS. DIAT: It's on the record.
MR. BOODY: Potential litigation.
MS. DIAT: I don't know whether we should be discussing this.

MR. ABRAHAM: Well, let's just keep it to the Noise Code, this combination.

MAYOR FIORE: He did tell us that he wrote the letter and he responded and it was part of the record when it came in.

MR. BOODY: The letter's public record.
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MAYOR FIORE: And the letter's public record. And his position was home rule, period.

MS. DIAT: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: So, what else?
Decibels --
MR. ABRAHAM: So we should --
MAYOR FIORE: We should encourage him to include specific items and base a code on a decibel-level offense.

MR. ABRAHAM: I think that would be Scott's task to write that.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay. Next item is tree preservation and clearing limit.

MS. DIAT: So just before we move off of Noise Code --

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MS. DIAT: -- just to cover off, I had suggested when we revisited the Noise Code again, I had one resident speak to me about asking if we would consider changing the Noise Code. I think right now it prohibits landscaping, I think it's after 5:00, I believe.
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MR. ABRAHAM: Only on Saturday.
MAYOR FIORE: I'd have to look. I can't remember.

MS. DIAT: On weekends? Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: Saturdays.
MS. DIAT: And he was objecting to that, saying that, you know, he works during -- he has employment such that he works six days a week and the only time he has to cut his lawn personally, he does it personally as a homeowner, is on weekends and couldn't we revise that to allow for it to be until, like, 7 p.m. on weekends.

MAYOR FIORE: I don't remember 5 p.m. on the weekends.

MS. DIAT: 5 p.m. on the weekends.
MR. ABRAHAM: So I think Fri- --
MAYOR FIORE: Is it? You know that, yeah?

MS. DIAT: That's one of the changes we made, I think, with the leaf blower.

MR. ABRAHAM: Yeah. I think it's Saturday, it's from like 9 to 5. Sundays,
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not at all, right?
MAYOR FIORE: But isn't that landscapers, not personal lawnmowers?

MS. DIAT: It's everybody. It's everybody.

MR. ABRAHAM: It's everybody.
MS. DIAT: So he was saying for individuals doing their own landscaping, not landscapers.

MAYOR FIORE: Right, right, right. A guy who owns a lawnmower.

MS. DIAT: For residents, yes.
MR. ABRAHAM: But why can't he do it Monday through Friday? Because we allow until 7 from Monday --

MS. DIAT: He said his working hours are such that that doesn't allow him.

MR. ABRAHAM: I mean --
MS. DIAT: So if we're -- I'm just saying, if we're making another change to the Noise Code, do we want to consider that as well at this point --

MAYOR FIORE: I would certainly
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| 2 | consider that and any other questions. |
| 3 | MS. DIAT: -- at this point in |
| 4 | time? |
| 5 | MAYOR FIORE: And any other |
| 6 | questions that come up. There's a |
| 7 | gentleman who mentioned to me that he's |
| 8 | handicapped and he has to use a gas |
| 9 | powered leaf blower and can't he use it |
| 10 | because he doesn't have full use of his |
| 11 | legs. And I said, well, I guess I would |
| 12 | think so, why don't you just keep using it |
| 13 | until somebody calls in a complaint. |
| 14 | Because if you, in fact, need it to clear |
| 15 | your lawn, well, then $I$ would say we might |
| 16 | be able to look the other way. If you |
| 17 | are, in fact -- he's got a handicapped |
| 18 | sticker. He's got pins in his legs, et |
| 19 | cetera, et cetera, so. |
| 20 | MR. ABRAHAM: I mean, honestly, |
| 21 | I'd rather not change the times, but it -- |
| 22 | I just don't, because then it opens -- you |
| 23 | know, it kind of, like, waters down what |
| 24 | we were trying to achieve. |
| 25 | MS. DIAT: Yeah. No, I mean, the |
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reason we closed it up at 5:00 on weekends is because of the quiet enjoyment of people during the summertime --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: -- on the weekends enjoying their properties, so $I$ know that was the whole discussion that we had.

MAYOR FIORE: There was a whole discussion.

MS. DIAT: I'm just bringing it up to say do we want to consider it before making changes. And I'm not advocating for it.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: I'm just putting it on the table because this individual raised it to me because of his circumstance.

MR. ABRAHAM: Yeah. But, I mean --

MAYOR FIORE: I would, I would say that -- here's what I would say. I would say that the offenses against the gas powered leaf blowers are much more common and much more, much higher in numbers than

| 1 | NORTH HAVEN BOT WORK SESSION - 9/27/2022 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | anybody complaining about a lawnmower. I |
| 3 | have not -- Eileen, have you gotten any |
| 4 | lawnmower complaints this summer? |
| 5 | MS. TUOHY: Lawnmower? No. Gas |
| 6 | blower, we got a handful of them when the |
| 7 | Code first went into effect. |
| 8 | MAYOR FIORE: Yes. |
| 9 | MS. TUOHY: But that kind of died |
| 10 | down. |
| 11 | MAYOR FIORE: And also now -- |
| 12 | MS. TUOHY: That kind of died down |
| 13 | by the end of June. |
| 14 | MAYOR FIORE: And also now, a lot |
| 15 | Of landscapers, at least the three that I |
| 16 | spoke to, were under the impression that |
| 17 | Labor Day was the cutoff and they could |
| 18 | use gas powered leaf blowers after Labor |
| 19 | Day. And I told them no, that's another |
| 20 | village. That's not our village, you |
| 21 | can't. |
| 22 | So could you include a reminder in |
| 23 | your North Haven News for October, that it |
| 24 | goes until October 31st. And I agree with |
| 25 | you, that I would not -- I would not want |
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to entertain changing the rules again
because one gentlemen can only mow his lawn between 5 and 6 on a Saturday night. And the lawnmowing season is pretty much over now. I would prefer that we not.

And let me just relate --
MS. DIAT: Keep it the same, okay. MAYOR FIORE: -- one other, one other thing, okay.

Remember Barbara Roberts wrote an e-mail to us, you, myself and Dianne, and she said that she was hearing from people, that they were concerned about the overclearing at the Lovelady property.

So I called her. I wrote back to her, but then $I$ called her, and I said, "Okay, Barbara, let's talk about this. So what exactly are you hearing?"

And she said, "Well, I heard from a friend of mine in North Haven Point and they were concerned that somebody was gonna build a big house on that property." And I said, "Okay, no, that's not" -- "and what else?" And she said the
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second person who complained just didn't know what was happening and wondered why everything was being taken out.

And after you sent me your e-mail,
I told her exactly what you said, that native shrubbery would be replacing invasive shrubbery, that there would be a path, a stone path, and there would be a grass path.

And then she said, "And by the way, I'm not crazy about a stone path."

I said, "Okay, I'll take that all under advisement."

But the point is, two people had a question, two people were okay when they got the answer, and one had nothing to do with clearing. It was a concern about a feral house.

So my point is that we need to quantify these things. We need to quantify who is asking about this, who is complaining about this. We need to respond to them in a timely manner, let them know what the answer to their query
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is. But we should not, $I$ don't think, react in a way that, okay, because one person says she really doesn't like a stone path, that we should go back to the drawing board and look at a different approach to something that we've already agreed on. And I think that would apply to one complaint about a lawnmower. It would apply to one -- it wasn't even a complaint. It was just, like, "Ah, I don't know that $I$ like a stone path." It's one person. And in the cases of a lot of the things that we discuss, I think we need to simply quantify that a lot of people -- 'cause that's a Trumpism, if you remember. A lot of people told me -MR. BOODY: Well, you know, a lot of people say -MAYOR FIORE: A lot of people, and a lot of smart people told me they didn't want a path in that, yeah. And I don't want to be -- I don't want to be a victim to --

MS. DIAT: No, no. I clearly
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```
    said --
```

MAYOR FIORE: I know you do.
MS. DIAT: -- it was one person.
MAYOR FIORE: I know you do, and you're right.

MR. ABRAHAM: Don't shoot the messenger.

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: Let me add this, also if you now can only do this after 5:00 on Saturday, you go to your neighbors, you're like, "Hey, do you mind," to clarify, to alleviate, and you can do that, right. 'Cause if you ask -if you have a neighbor that always complained about, like, that you mow your lawn on Saturday at 6:00 while they're grilling outside, you know, then you have an issue. But, like, in general, like, you can go to your neighbors and be like, "Hey, do you mind" --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- "if $I$ do that at 6:00?"
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MS. DIAT: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: And if there's
nobody complaining, then, you know what, like, the issue becomes a non issue. MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.

MR. ABRAHAM: That's how you would go about all your business in your village that affects your neighbors.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: You would go over
there. And if you have a party, you go over there, say, like, "Listen, I have a party. If it's too loud, come over." So let's just leave it as is.

MAYOR FIORE: So, I think, you can
tell, John, that he can, in fact, know his (inaudible) after 5:00.

MS. DIAT: Yeah. To be clear, it was not in my neighborhood.

MAYOR FIORE: No, no, no. I know. I'm joking, I'm joking.

MS. DIAT: He's got plenty of time to mow our lawn during the day. MAYOR FIORE: Okay.
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MR. ABRAHAM: Might as well keep your mask off at this point.

MAYOR FIORE: Well, yeah, because it keeps popping. I guess I needed an extra large, and they're only one size. And they're quite -- they're great Chinese quality, these masks. Every mask in America is made in China.

So, can we go on to tree
preservation and clearing limitations? The idea was -- and Peter's been working on this. The idea was that we wanted to protect -- here's the idea. With the Clearing Code that we passed last year, it does not and never was intended to address mature trees. It also allowed people to clear a good portion of their property, but it didn't specifically dictate what would be the replacement landscaping. It would have to be on a native shrubbery list. But you could take out a lot of trees and replace them with beach grasses. We had a situation on Ferry Road where they cleared the entire property.
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George issued a stop work. They came in with their landscaping plan. George withdrew the stop work, okay. They did, in fact, have a landscaping plan that was approved by the Planning Board. But the point is, the landscaping plan is grasses and daffodils, and that will satisfy the current code and say, okay, you can take out all those trees, you can take out all that shrubbery, and then plant daffodils and you're okay.

So, this is a two-prong situation.
Number one, I would like to see us enact something that saves big trees, big trees of a certain caliper, big trees that are not diseased, big trees that are really part of the whole nature of the Village and add to the beauty of the Village and are an intricate part of the character of the Village. So that's part one. And part two, I think we need to take a look at that Clearing Code and make sure that people just can't clearcut everything and plant daffodils. So I
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asked Peter if he would look into that.
MR. BOODY: So I've been looking
into that. And there aren't a lot of municipalities near us around eastern or mid Long Island that do much about that, except there are some exceptions. One is Northport, which has probably the strongest code that $I$ found. And it defines a designated tree, including on private property, as four -- 16-inch caliper or greater at breast height, which they defined as 4.5 feet. So any
designated tree is protected unless you get a permit or it's part of a subdivision plan that gets a landscaping approval.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: What was the
designated tree?
MR. BOODY: As I just said, it just means -- it's their term. It means any tree that is 16 inches or greater in diameter.

MR. ABRAHAM: So, like locusts would then fall into that.
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MR. BOODY: Yes.
MR. ABRAHAM: Okay.
MR. BOODY: You don't like black locusts.

MAYOR FIORE: No. He's an anti locust guy and he's been on record as being an anti locust guy.

MR. ABRAHAM: They're so dangerous to homes.

MR. BOODY: They're so -- they're also very shallow-rooted and --

MR. ABRAHAM: Shallow-rooted. They have --

MAYOR FIORE: They're also not native.

MR. ABRAHAM: They have a slur of diseases. I mean, they got these funguses. I mean, it's just like --

MAYOR FIORE: Could I simply expound on that, that quote, and say that we would need to designate certain species of trees that we would want to protect, and maybe black locust is not on it.

MR. BOODY: I didn't see -- just
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FYI, I didn't see any code that did that, but --

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MR. BOODY: I don't see why we couldn't, but --

MAYOR FIORE: Well, we could accept some trees.

MR. BOODY: Might get complicated.
Lake Grove designates what it calls "large trees". Large trees only are covered and permits are required to cut them down or change them or damage their crown.

MAYOR FIORE: How do they define --

MR. BOODY: And they define that as six feet in circumference at three to six feet high. You need a permit from the Building Department to do anything to a tree that's six feet in diameter, which is, I guess --

MAYOR FIORE: Six feet?
MR. BOODY: I don't know what that radius would be, but --
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MR. ABRAHAM: That's a huge tree.
MR. BOODY: Yes. That's a big, big tree.

MR. ABRAHAM: I don't think we have trees like that in North Haven.

MAYOR FIORE: I think we cut the last one down.

MR. BOODY: Well, remember that
tulip poplar on the side that had a twin -- has a twin across the street?

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah, yeah, that's what I'm thinking of.

MR. BOODY: That was an amazing tree.

MAYOR FIORE: But six foot. Maybe it's a six-foot circumference, not diameter.

MR. ABRAHAM: No, not diameter.
MR. BOODY: In circumference, yes. It's six feet in circumference.

MAYOR FIORE: That's still a big tree.

MR. BOODY: Still pretty big.
MAYOR FIORE: I kind of like the
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MR. ABRAHAM: So what $I$ would like to see in this Code is to protect native trees. That's what I -- I do not care much for these invasive trees that are hovering around. And I think everybody should be allowed, if they have a, you know, 80-foot locust next to their house, that they should be allowed to cut this down no matter what because, you know, that's nothing --

MAYOR FIORE: They're also dirty trees.

MR. ABRAHAM: Yeah. I mean, there's so much wrong with them, I don't even know where to start.

MAYOR FIORE: So that aside, we would certainly take that into consideration. The other, the other exception is, obviously, if on your building plan there are some mature trees right where you want to put your house, I would think you should be allowed not to have to accommodate the tree within living
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room, but --
MR. ABRAHAM: Maybe a courtyard.
MAYOR FIORE: Yeah, or just in your living room. People have done that.

MS. DIAT: So can we hear the rest of Peter's research before we --

MR. BOODY: Well, I was just gonna --

MS. DIAT: -- all, like, jump in with our own opinions?

MR. BOODY: I was gonna say, other examples are, the Town of Southampton, I could find nothing in their code at all --

MR. ABRAHAM: Interesting.
MR. BOODY: -- which is not un- -atypical of Southampton. There's a number of things --

MAYOR FIORE: The village?
MR. BOODY: What's that?
MAYOR FIORE: The village?
MR. BOODY: No. The Town of
Southampton.
MAYOR FIORE: The town.
MR. BOODY: Yup. The Village of
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| 2 | Southampton has nothing that affects |
| 3 | private property, and that's very common, |
| 4 | that they do -- a lot of municipalities |
| 5 | have tree codes, but they're for |
| 6 | right-of-ways and public property. Like |
| 7 | the Village of Southampton, it's a duty |
| 8 | for everyone to remove dying and diseased |
| 9 | and infected trees, so they must have had |
| 10 | an issue with a blight of some kind. And |
| 11 | their code is aimed at you're required to |
| 12 | get rid of trees that are -- |
| 13 | MAYOR FIORE: Right. |
| 14 | MR. BOODY: -- diseased or |
| 15 | dangerous. |
| 16 | MAYOR FIORE: Right. |
| 17 | MR. BOODY: So it sort of depends |
| 18 | on whatever the issue was that came up |
| 19 | that inspired them to do a tree code. I |
| 20 | thought it was interesting that theirs was |
| 21 | about -- |
| 22 | MAYOR FIORE: Yeah, it is. |
| 23 | MR. BOODY: And if you don't do |
| 24 | that, there'll be a lien on your next tax |
| 25 | bill and the village will take it down. |
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It protects what is called village trees which are simply public right-of-way or village-owned parcel. Permission required to remove, cut or do anything to them.

The Village of Westhampton Beach has a very strong code that protects all trees of larger than a three-inch diameter, so it's everything.

MAYOR FIORE: WOW.
MR. BOODY: Little baby trees at three feet --

MAYOR FIORE: WOW.
MR. BOODY: -- at three feet high,
you need a permit to cut them down or change them in any way on any property greater than a half an acre. So they have an exemption if you're on a really small parcel.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. BOODY: Your trees aren't protected in any way at all.

MAYOR FIORE: That's interesting.
MR. BOODY: You can do whatever you want.
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Planning Board gets applications, requires sketch plan if you want to remove other trees on your property if it's greater than a half an acre.

There's a huge exception, though, if you keep reading this apparently strong proposal. Improved lots of less than two acres. So that's virtually almost every lot in North Haven.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. BOODY: What are there, four or five that are bigger than two acres, or?

MAYOR FIORE: Seven or eight, yeah.

MR. BOODY: Seven or eight.
MAYOR FIORE: But that's true.
Your point's well taken.
MR. BOODY: With a legal
residence, a commercial/industrial structure on them, doing normal yard maintenance or landscaping shall be exempt. So that's a huge (inaudible).

So all these codes have these
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exceptions, including Northport, because, you know, you just go and get a permit and if you have a good excuse or a reason, this tree is diseased, this tree is a danger to the house, the building department will give you a permit.

I tried to get in touch with the mayor about this. I sent her an e-mail and she didn't respond to me, but $I$ can continue to try to do that, if you want.

But that's an example that -there's -- I looked at also, it's interesting to see what never happened. Like Southold, they're -- I found online a proposed code that -- let me see. Oh, no protection on lots than less than half an acre, just like --

MAYOR FIORE: Right, just like Westhampton.
MR. BOODY: -- Village of

Westhampton Beach. Site plan approval
for -- and then if trees, you can get --
you can cut down trees if site plan approval includes an okay. You can get a
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| 2 | special use permit to cut down trees. |
| 3 | Exemptions given for less than half an |
| 4 | acre, commercial orchards and nurseries, |
| 5 | all that stuff. |
| 6 | But otherwise, you had to go to |
| 7 | the Friends of Trees, which is a |
| 8 | designated municipal committee that, you |
| 9 | know, that the village -- that the town |
| 10 | board would appoint members to, for them |
| 11 | to give an approval for whatever you want |
| 12 | to do to a tree. And there's no |
| 13 | limitations on what kind of tree or how |
| 14 | big it is. So they never adopted that. |
| 15 | And the same thing kind of |
| 16 | happened on Shelter Island. There's a |
| 17 | thing called the Protected Tree. I was |
| 18 | editing the paper there when they proposed |
| 19 | this and everybody went crazy. There was |
| 20 | a huge resistance to the idea of doing |
| 21 | this on private property. |
| 22 | So they adopted a code that |
| 23 | originally included private property. A |
| 24 | protected tree would be a tree with |
| 25 | 12-inch diameter at 4.5 inches. And then |
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they would also have a public landmark marked tree to be nominated by their Friends of Trees. And they backed off the protected tree aspect and it's really only about public landmarked trees now on Shelter Island, which is, again, the public right-of-ways, along the roadside and public parks and town property.

So there's a range of stuff, but nobody does anything as strong as Northport or Westhampton Beach. I would say Lake Grove, large trees only. Permits are driven for a broad range of excuses. But that's the one where the trees are protected only above six feet in height.

MAYOR FIORE: So do you have a recommendation based on all this research that you've done?

MR. BOODY: My impression
initially is, $I$ like the Northport code. It's pretty strict, but there are simple processes for getting permits and there are exceptions, so. And, you know, I think the size of what they call a
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designated tree is reasonable, 16 inches in circumference at 4.5 feet above ground level. Permits are given for disease, danger.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. BOODY: And this line, "necessary removal for a project in question". That's a pretty big loophole, you know. I need to remove this tree for the project in question. You know, I'm putting up a shed or I'm building a new garage or $I{ }^{\prime} m$ putting in an addition. This tree's gotta go. And that's how it works.

MAYOR FIORE: Well, that's understandable, too. I don't want the tree protection to stand in the way of somebody building a residence.

MR. BOODY: Right.
MAYOR FIORE: I want to be reasonable about it. I don't want to be overly protective, yet $I$ want -- I would love to see us have something on record that says, wait a minute, you've got a
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hundred-year-old beech tree right here.
MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: And it is 22 inches in diameter and there's no reason to cut this thing down and, therefore, you can't without a permit.

MR. BOODY: Well, I think --
MAYOR FIORE: You can ask for an exception and you can get a permit, but you shouldn't be able to just cut it down because you want to.

MR. BOODY: And one of your goals,
I think, which is a good one, is to provide a mechanism so that somebody can't just come in and knock down every tree in a designated area --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. BOODY: -- even if it's meeting lot clearing limits and all of that. Hey, they just took out 16 really good healthy trees. Do we really want to allow that to happen without --

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. BOODY: -- some kind of review
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MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. BOODY: -- restraint. And I think that's what this Northport code does.

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MR. BOODY: It provides a level of
review that prevents just going in and knocking down every tree in a given space.

MAYOR FIORE: Right, and
replacing them with daffodils.
MR. BOODY: Yes.
MAYOR FIORE: I would love to see that.

MR. BOODY: So I'll send you -I'll send you all a copy of the Northport code.

MAYOR FIORE: Comments?
MR. ABRAHAM: I think that would be a good proposal.

MS. DIAT: I still have a problem with it.

MAYOR FIORE: I know.
MS. DIAT: I have a problem with
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it. I'm going to have a problem with it. MAYOR FIORE: I know. I know. MS. DIAT: So, and some of it stems from my personal situation -MAYOR FIORE: I know. MS. DIAT: -- that I experienced with the Planning Board with trees in my own property, and $I$ think we should consider this.

One of the trees that remains on our property, because the Planning Board said no, and so we didn't take it down. But I think we should consider this 'cause others may have the same situation, where the roots of our tree, to this day, continue to lift up the driveway of our neighbor and are lifting up the stone wall of our neighbor, damaging their property. And it was lifting up before we, you know, redeveloped our property. We had an asphalt driveway. It was lifting up our driveway and was starting to go under the garage of our property.

MR. BOODY: Yeah, that's a
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problem.
MS. DIAT: Creating damage. And the Planning Board said "No".

MR. BOODY: And why was the Planning Board deciding the fate of that tree? What was the --

MS. DIAT: Well, we were asking to take it down. I mean, it's a very large tree. If you -- you may --

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MS. DIAT: You may not -- I mean, it's -- and it's --

MAYOR FIORE: It's a big oak tree.
MS. DIAT: It's a big oak tree.
MR. BOODY: And on what basis did you have to go to the Planning Board to ask to take it down?

MS. DIAT: Because, because they don't -- today don't allow us to take them down, right. We're not supposed to.

MR. BOODY: Well, is that in part of our exist- --

MAYOR FIORE: That is part of our existing --
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MR. BOODY: I'm just wondering -MAYOR FIORE: You experienced the same thing.

MR. BOODY: What code says you have to go to the Planning Board to take down a tree?

MAYOR FIORE: You experienced the same thing because George, as the Building Inspector, can sometimes --

MS. DIAT: Yeah. We're not --
MAYOR FIORE: -- prevent you from taking a tree down and tell you to go get permission.

MR. BOODY: So you appeal to the Planning Board.

MS. DIAT: Today we're not
supposed to take down trees unless they
are dead or dying --
MR. BOODY: Or dying.
MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: -- or diseased.
MR. BOODY: Right. Right.
MS. DIAT: So, you know, we went and asked permission to take down.
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MR. BOODY: I get it. I gotcha.
MS. DIAT: And so we were -- and we went more than once.

MAYOR FIORE: So if this question
were to come up --
MS. DIAT: So -- yeah, so --
MAYOR FIORE: -- with a code --
MS. DIAT: And in this particular case, it was creating damage to our property and our neighbor's property, who, because we, you know, have, you know, very good neighbors and good relationship, they haven't sued us, but it continues to create damage to their property.

But I think we should consider that as an exception if we ultimately --

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MS. DIAT: But other than my personal circumstance, which probably does color my opinion on this, $I$ do have a problem.

And, I mean, walk around our
neighborhood. There have been other people who have thinned trees on their
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property and other places in -- you know, I have people have clearcut in the village. But there have been people, homeowners who have thinned trees, who have had a lot of trees and have chosen to take some out because, $I$ don't know why, but I suppose they wanted to thin them out, have taken some out and have left others. I do have a problem with the Village telling people they can't make those choices on their own property. I just have a problem with that.

MAYOR FIORE: Well, here's what --
here's how $I$ would address that, if you hadn't already been to the Planning Board about this giant, majestic, gorgeous oak tree, I would say that if you have a situation where there is damage to your property or your neighbor's property, that's what our Board review is for. And so, therefore, you go to the Planning Board and you say, here's the situation. And the Planning Board decides, yes, you may, and therefore you are an exception to
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this tree protection policy, or, no, you may not. I don't know what their criteria was for saying "no" to you.

MS. DIAT: Well, they said "no" twice, yeah.

MAYOR FIORE: Oh, you went twice? MS. DIAT: We went twice -MAYOR FIORE: Wow. MS. DIAT: -- and they said "no" twice.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay. So maybe three's the charm, $I$ don't know. But if the Planning Board evaluated the reasoning. Because you can't just say if it's doing damage to your property, 'cause what is damage? How much damage? That's, like, a lot of people are saying. So let the Planning Board review it and let the Planning Board do their job and say, yes, you may, or, no, you may not. And I don't know what their considerations were.

MS. DIAT: Yeah. And I'm not gonna talk about my personal circumstances, but --
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MAYOR FIORE: No. But I'm saying, if that were to come up and damage to the property were, in fact, a good reason to say, "I need to do this," well, then you go to the Board and you get permission. But in the meantime, you've got a policy that says you can't just do it on a whim. MR. ABRAHAM: So let me -- I mean, we obviously need to have something in the Code that says, you know, if the -- if a tree damages the structures on a property, it can be cut down. I mean, clearly, I mean, I didn't know about this. I've heard many things about, like, you know, like, not in here, but $I$ know that from other places with trees over time. But your house is new, right. Like, we own a lot of properties in Germany and the buildings have been there for 50, 80, 100 years and, you know, the trees that have been planted 100 years ago are now damaging the building. That's a whole different story.

MAYOR FIORE: But then they
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need -- yeah, then they need --
MR. ABRAHAM: But in your case, like, I'm now very curious, like, you know, if there's -- if this is 100-year oak tree and you know it's continue to build out its roots, like, this isn't -should stand with the homeowner and, like, not with, like, us saying, like, oh, let's keep this tree and we don't care about the damage to your house.

MAYOR FIORE: But $I$ just said, if that is the case, you take it to the Planning Board, somebody inspects it, and they make a decision, so.

MR. ABRAHAM: But the Planning
Board did make a decision that continues to demonstrate --

MAYOR FIORE: But they did twice.
So I don't know what their criteria was, but I don't want to talk about this individual case.

MS. DIAT: No.
MR. ABRAHAM: True.
MAYOR FIORE: I want to say that
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if you have a good reason to go to the vil- -- sometimes there are good reasons for people in Bay Haven to go to the Zoning Board. Sometimes they get approval, sometimes they don't. We trust the judgments of our boards. And if they don't, then they can appeal. They can appeal to us. They can appeal to an attorney. So, so until -- if $I$ were asked to look into it, $I$ would want to know what the criteria was for saying "no" twice, and $I$ don't know what that is, so. But the point is to establish a protection policy with exceptions that the Board can accept, $I$ think, is quite logical.

Any other discussion?
MR. ABRAHAM: I would love to read the code.

MR. BOODY: Yeah. I'll send you --

MAYOR FIORE: Oh, I know. We're not in a rush to do this.

MR. BOODY: Right.
MAYOR FIORE: This is not baby
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steps but it's toddler steps, okay.
MR. BOODY: Well, that's good to
hear.
MAYOR FIORE: So if you could,
Peter, if you could send us your recommendations, send us that Northport code, send us your recommendations on how you would amend that Northport code,
'cause we don't have any industrial properties or commercial properties. MR. BOODY: And how to work it into our existing --

MAYOR FIORE: And how would we work it in without it being onerous or burdensome, without it being overly protective. We've got to make sure that people's property rights are protected, people's property values are protected and not over -- not be overzealous.

MR. BOODY: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. BOODY: Yup.
MAYOR FIORE: Thank you so much.
Okay, next, any other discussion?
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Eileen, anything? No, no, no? Okay, good.

MS. TUOHY: I'm good. Yup.
MAYOR FIORE: Review -- oh, succession planning. This is really simple. It's like 60 seconds. I've mentioned it to a couple of you. In the past when we had an opening on a Planning Board or on any board or a trustee vacancy, we always get together and go, like, okay, you know, who do you know, who would be good? I'd like to simply establish a succession plan listing of people who are smart, I don't know how you quantify that, but people who are interested, like the woman that you had referred me for. I spoke to her, I said, "No, I don't think you're ready to be a Trustee, but would you like to be on our board?" "Yes, I would." She's on my list. There's another woman who's on the board, one of the board members of North Haven Point. Same thing, she's on my list. There's a guy on Fresh Pond Road
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who's a really smart guy and he said, "Let me know what $I$ can do, I want to help." He's on my list.

I'd love to get names from you and you and you and Dianne of people who might be suggested for Board replacements should one arise, and who are the people in the Village who we know that are willing and able to step up and contribute some of their time pro bono for the betterment of the Village.

MS. DIAT: So --
MAYOR FIORE: I think that was 60 seconds, Eileen.

MS. DIAT: So this is something I heard a little bit about when $I$ was campaigning. So I think we should actually do a public call-out to people either in a constant contact or maybe in a North Haven News --

MAYOR FIORE: Can do.
MS. DIAT: -- to ask people if they would be interested in serving on a board and would like to put their name on
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MAYOR FIORE: Yeah, sure.
MS. DIAT: Because I've heard -MAYOR FIORE: Sure.

MS. DIAT: You know, what $I$ heard is, how does that happen, how do people get placed on boards.

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MS. DIAT: It seems like there's, you know, maybe a bit of nepotism, you know.

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah, there could be.

MS. DIAT: I think more
transparency --
MAYOR FIORE: Yup.
MS. DIAT: -- in the process and asking the public what would be a good thing.

MR. ABRAHAM: I mean, by default, we are -- the Board of Trustees is the one who appoints --

MS. DIAT: Yes, that's right.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- you know, to


NORTH HAVEN BOT WORK SESSION - 9/27/2022
these various --
MAYOR FIORE: We are.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- boards we are elected officials.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: Yes.
MR. ABRAHAM: So we appoint in the interest of the people who voted for us.

MS. DIAT: And I did do it once. I think it was a year ago in June when I -- you know, I try each June when we reappoint people to the boards, I think I did say, you know, if you'd like to serve on a board, please pass your name, you know, to --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: You know, please let us know, please give us your name. And, of course, nobody did. But $I$ do think maybe once a year to do that and say, you know, if you'd be interested in serving, please give us your name, please contact us.

MAYOR FIORE: Put it right in the North Haven newsletter.
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MS. DIAT: Because I think it's just in the interest of transparency, I think it's --

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MS. DIAT: -- a good thing to do.
MAYOR FIORE: Excellent idea. I mean, you came up with Allan Kopelson and the Planning Board is very pleased with Allan. Somebody came up with Mike D'Angelo on the Zoning Board, and maybe it was you, yeah. Very pleased. So the old system works, but let's get a stabler board.

MS. DIAT: Chances are we're still gonna have to continue with the old system, but I do think --
(Crosstalk)
MS. DIAT: As a matter of transparency, $I$ think it's a good thing.

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah. And the ultimate choice is ours, so.

MR. ABRAHAM: But for the sake of transparency, I mean, that's --

MAYOR FIORE: But in the meantime,
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MS. DIAT: Yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: 'Cause $I$ am forming a list.

MS. DIAT: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: Then we can handle that list.

MS. DIAT: But I also want to say, I think it is very, very, very important to place the right people on these boards. So I think understanding people's skill sets and sort of seeing their, if you will, sort of a résumé and interviewing people --

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: -- is super important when we're placing people on boards.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes. I think we need to be diligent and we need to vet that thoroughly, yes.

MR. BOODY: Absolutely agree.
MAYOR FIORE: Good, good, good. And that would be what $I$ wanted to bring
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up as far as succession planning.
Review board assignments. All I wanted to do was say, okay, here's where we are and here's what everybody's got on their plate. We have a lot of
assignments. We've got a lot of work to do. What time is it? Okay, it's only 11:04. We're almost done, 'cause the rest of these are really quite simple.

I have Terie as the chair of the Water Quality Committee, as the co-chair of the Kick Ticks out of North Haven Committee. Am I missing anything?

MS. DIAT: No. But I do have capacity to do more.

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah, I know. Now you're the dock commissioner, okay, but that is unofficial, okay.

Claas. Claas has a lot on his plate. He's got a full plate. You got radar. You got flagpole. You got Kick Ticks. You got -- you volunteered for the accessory living space committee, and now you and I are doing speed bumps.
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MR. ABRAHAM: Well, that'll be easy.

MAYOR FIORE: Right, $I$ know. But that is your purview. Eileen is going to check with the State to make sure that we can, in fact, install temporary speed bumps on our Village roads. And until we get that answer from you, Eileen --

MS. TUOHY: Yup.
MAYOR FIORE: -- we'll just have to hold off. How long do you think that's gonna be before you get a "yes" or a "no"?

MS. TUOHY: I'm inquiring about the, the radar -- not the radar. You had questioned also about putting some of the animal cameras out to see who was going, you know, when.

MR. ABRAHAM: So on the -- Eileen, on the road --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: On the roadside, will you have the application through the New York State DOT to put two radar signs on 114?
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MS. TUOHY: I sent the letter, a request in to the, the woman that $I$ dealt with on the DOT, I guess at the State level, gave me the regional contact information. And $I$ had to send a physical letter in to them in Hauppauge, which $I$ did a couple weeks ago to get all the information needed to get permits for the radar signs on 114.

MR. ABRAHAM: Okay. And then we have the ca- --

MS. TUOHY: I haven't heard back from them.

MR. ABRAHAM: Yeah, and then we have the camera we want -- we wanted to install a wildlife camera in one of the intersections to see if people actually run it. So it takes, like, a ten-second video. So we don't want to use it to enforce anything. MAYOR FIORE: No, I know. MR. ABRAHAM: It's just for our knowledge, like, what's actually happening here.
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MAYOR FIORE: Right.

MR. ABRAHAM: We don't want to sit there and watch it behind the bushes. MAYOR FIORE: Right.

MR. ABRAHAM: You know, like, we -- that was the idea, so there's no enforcement behind it.

MAYOR FIORE: Our intention was to see if -- our intention was to see if, in fact, a speed bump at a stop sign encourages people to actually stop.

So Eileen wants to check with privacy laws, right, and --

MS. TUOHY: Right.
MAYOR FIORE: -- et cetera.

MS. TUOHY: Privacy laws on the camera, yup.

MR. BOODY: Where is this that you want?

MAYOR FIORE: Tyndall and Sunset Beach.

MR. BOODY: Oh, okay.
MAYOR FIORE: Mister -- there's a huge project on Robertson Drive. It is a
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building project. And the trucks, the cement trucks, the building trucks, every truck on this project, this is a multi-million dollar project, and the trucks come down Tyndall Road. They start at 5:30 in the morning. They blow the stop sign on Tyndall Road. They blow the stop sign as they are leaving on Robertson Drive. They blow the Tyndall stop sign. And we proposed -- we, who are not traffic consultants, $I$ understand that, but we are pretty smart people, two smart guys. So we propose that the kinds of speed bumps, which are temporary surface mounted speed bumps that Bay Haven has installed in their roads. And if you go to Foster Beach, if you go to Long Beach, they're right there next to the little, the little hut that the kids work in, okay. So we were gonna put those at the stop sign and encourage, that would physically encourage trucks to respect the stop sign law and respect the pedestrians. We got a number of complaints from
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some very smart people who said that they felt in danger at that corner, okay. So we wanted to test that and see. And the camera would then be able to show us on our phones that, okay, this is doing no good at all, or, wow, this is kind of working, a lot of people, a lot of trucks are stopping. Because that truck traffic is gonna continue. That project has got another eight months to go.

So that's what we wanted. Claas and I talked about it. We thought it was a good idea. Claas.

MR. ABRAHAM: It's also very, it's very inexpensive.

MAYOR FIORE: Very.
MR. ABRAHAM: They're, like, you know, including solar and $\wedge$ LT, it's, like, two- --

MS. DIAT: I was just gonna ask. They're solar powered?

MR. ABRAHAM: Well, yeah, they're solar and battery, so. And they work through LTE. And I picked one out that
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basically works on Verizon. And so we could also deploy it then to other places where people are, like, oh, my God, this is happening at this intersection all the time. Presents this to us, we have no -we're like, well, do we know or not.

Could put it under the bridge. Is there really people --

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: I mean, it's a $\$ 250$
investment for, like --
MAYOR FIORE: Yes, 200 bucks.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- to look at
something that we don't -- so I thought it
was a good idea. And as soon as Eileen clears that with the privacy laws, you know, we should get that and just be like, hey, this is the situation that we can figure out, just in the same way that every -- that New York City has cameras everywhere to monitor what's going on.

MAYOR FIORE: So we need Eileen's okay to actually buy the speed bumps and install the camera, so we will wait for
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MR. ABRAHAM: We wait for her on three clearances, one for the 114 radar signs, the camera and the speed bumps on Village road.

MAYOR FIORE: You're right.
MR. ABRAHAM: Those are the three things that we need clearance on. MAYOR FIORE: Okay. I want to just skip over --

MR. ABRAHAM: Eileen, do you have anything to add to that, or are we --

MS. TUOHY: Nope.
MR. ABRAHAM: Okay, thank you.
MAYOR FIORE: So that is -- those are Claas' assignments.

Dianne volunteered for the
accessory living space and she's also working with Peter on the padel slash paddle slash pickle board slash resurfacing the tennis court. And you two are working on that.

We would like to resurface the tennis court. It is in bad disrepair.
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We'd like to step up with the resurfacing and do a better than asphalt cover.

MR. ABRAHAM: We would like to add something to that.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. ABRAHAM: I know a lot of very good tennis players.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. ABRAHAM: But also know people who built tennis courts.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. ABRAHAM: And I inquired with them what the most lasting surface with the least amount of --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- to no maintenance us.

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: And it's a -- it's what they play at the Australian Open. It's called a soft cushion acrylic surface. I'll send that information to you.

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah, you sent it to
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me and it looked quite attractive.
MR. ABRAHAM: I sent it to you, right?

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah, you did.
MR. ABRAHAM: And $I$ can -- if
Peter's on this --
MAYOR FIORE: But Peter and Dianne --

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: This is what everybody said to me, is, like, a surface that -- the least amount of maintenance, which is also very enjoyable to, being a tennis player myself, very enjoyable surface --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- to play on. So
I'll send that, forward that to you.
MAYOR FIORE: So if we do nothing with any additional court, we would like very much to resurface the tennis court with the appropriate surface material. And we can afford that because that would be part of our Parks \& Trails budget.
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So in the event that we want to
add a court, $I$ have gotten a lot of
requests, five, from people who would love to see a real pickleball court, because they play pickleball and pickleball is something that it's a cross-generational game. So I don't know whether or not that is feasible, but $I$ would like to put it out there.

The paddle or padel is something that you and Dianne are looking into, and you have an update on that? Do you want to talk to us about that?

MR. BOODY: Well, Dianne and I met with George and --

MAYOR FIORE: Oh, yeah, right.
MR. BOODY: -- couple of weeks
ago. And the last, you know, George was gonna get back to us about what the survey shows about where another court could go. It looked very constrained to us. On one side, you're awfully close to the road, and on the other side you're awfully close to wetlands.
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We both, I hope it's fair for me to say, felt a little skeptical that the kind of clutter factor might be an issue with that part of the property just kind of looking like some sort of little amusement park if we wedge another court in there. I'm not sure that's how we finally feel, but we were -- I think we were kind of going, jeez, it's tight. We haven't heard back from George on the actual dimensions and survey issues and would we need DEC approval to do this, that or the other thing. But $I$ think we would 'cause we're 75 feet from wetlands. And that's where the ball stands on that, the last I heard.

MR. ABRAHAM: I would like to add one thing. I've played here, and these -the padel board lines, and that goes also for the people that play padel board, have told me (inaudible) lines on there are not very enjoyable to play on because either -- whether you play --

MS. DIAT: You mean tennis and
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pickleball together?
MR. ABRAHAM: Sorry. Pickle. Tennis and pickle.

MR. BOODY: Tennis and pickle.
MR. ABRAHAM: It's like literally,
like -- I've played there. It's -- if I play a match there, it's like -- and I've heard the other way from people who play pickleball. They say the same thing about the lines, the tennis lines, where they say, oh, my God, it's confusing because there's so many lines on this one court. So I think we should really maybe measure out a second court to it to see, like, how far this is actually really would go. I just, $I$ mean, $I$ would like to see --

MS. DIAT: So, I guess it's -- I'm sorry to interrupt.

MR. ABRAHAM: Oh, go ahead.
MS. DIAT: I guess it's fair to say there's not room back there for a tennis court, a pickleball court and a padel court. There's not room --
(Crosstalk)
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MS. DIAT: And you're saying you would, too.

MR. BOODY: Even just kind of a tight fit and maybe a little questionable.

I was gonna ask a question about pickleball. The only courts I've ever seen, and the only time I've played it also, it was on a tennis court that was relayed out or remarked for pickleball. Are there any specifically dedicated pickleball courts around?

MS. TUOHY: Yes.
MR. BOODY: So they're, what, half
the size of a --
MR. ABRAHAM: There's a lot of them.

MR. BOODY: Half a size of a tennis court?

MR. ABRAHAM: If you got to East Hampton Indoor, if you go the Bridgehampton Club, they just opened six courts dedicated solely to pickleball. MR. BOODY: The Bridgehampton Club?
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MR. ABRAHAM: I think so. And I just read about it.

MS. TUOHY: SYS in Southampton has over 200 people in their pickleball league. They just have -- they just installed --

MR. BOODY: So they're specifically, they're not converted tennis courts that --

MS. TUOHY: No. They're strictly pickleball.

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: The woman, one of the five who asked me, told me that they spend the winter in Naples, and their condo complex just approved 25 pickleball courts --

MR. BOODY: WOW.
MAYOR FIORE: -- for their -- now,
you know, is it a senior living kind of a thing? I would think so. So I inquired about other people in other generations because $I$ was kind of surprised that -she was a older lady, she's my age, she's
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an older lady, and she said everybody plays it, kids play it, teenagers play it, 30-year-olds, everybody, our
grandchildren. And she said the courts are assumed to be very busy. So that's what -- that's why $I$ then asked, you know, some other people, and there do seem to be a lot of people interested.

MR. BOODY: You know, a pickleball court would be smaller, would be easier to fit in what is sort of a confined space. MR. ABRAHAM: If we had one dedicated --

MR. BOODY: Dedicated pickleball court.

MAYOR FIORE: So do you and Dianne want to pursue that --

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: -- and get back to us? Again, we're doing toddler steps. There's no rush on this.

MS. TUOHY: I would just -- can I just interject something here?

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
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MS. TUOHY: You normally fit two pickleball courts on one tennis court.

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MS. TUOHY: I think I discussed this with a couple people. Would it not be beneficial to see if we could get, plan for another tennis court but line it for pickleball? And in case pickleball ever fades out, then we could always reline it for tennis?

MR. ABRAHAM: So you mean two full-size courts.

MS. TUOHY: Two-size pickleball courts.

MR. ABRAHAM: Yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: I think you could look into another -- a bunch of options, yeah. Let's do that. We are constrained by wetlands. We are constrained by DEC approval. But let's find out what our options are and then let's float it by the residents of the Village.

MR. ABRAHAM: I think that's good.
MAYOR FIORE: Before we spend
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MR. ABRAHAM: Having one tennis court and two pickle courts would be ideal.

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: And if pickle ever
fades out, you could convert these two pickleballs.

MAYOR FIORE: To a tennis court.
MR. ABRAHAM: It would give us two tennis courts.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. TUOHY: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: Is that what you're saying, Eileen?

MS. TUOHY: Yes.
MAYOR FIORE: Yes, that's what she's saying.

MR. ABRAHAM: Okay.
MS. DIAT: And forego the padel.
MR. BOODY: And what?
MAYOR FIORE: Forego the padel.
MS. DIAT: Forego the padel.
MR. BOODY: I think that's where
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everybody's kind of heading, right?
MAYOR FIORE: Well, $I$ don't think padel --

MS. TUOHY: I only heard -- I've only -- the people called -- I've never had anybody call the office to see if we had a padel court. I get the pickleball calls, you know, occasionally, that they'll call, "Do you have pickleball," I say, "Yes, the court's fine for pickleball."

MAYOR FIORE: Didn't you put it on that survey we did a year-and-a-half ago?

MS. DIAT: We did.
MS. TUOHY: We did.
MAYOR FIORE: What was the response, do you recall?

MS. DIAT: It was not very high. It was not very high.

MR. BOODY: Almost insignificant, right?

MAYOR FIORE: We didn't even ask for padel, did we?

MS. DIAT: Well, no. We didn't
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know about it back then.
MAYOR FIORE: No, we didn't.
Nobody heard about it, right. Okay.
MR. ABRAHAM: I mean, I think that --

MAYOR FIORE: So carry on, Peter. Thank you.

MR. ABRAHAM: Sorry.
MR. BOODY: That's -- that was it.
MAYOR FIORE: You had something to say?

MR. ABRAHAM: Yeah. I think the challenge with padel court is really the noise and the acceptance rate. I mean, as much, as nice as it is, there might be one donated, I just feel like --

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- at this point, you know, like, it seems like we haven't held up to all the new -- or all of the residents, you know, like, they would be more interested in pickle because it is a --

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.

1

NORTH HAVEN BOT WORK SESSION - 9/27/2022
MR. ABRAHAM: It is a much slower sport. Padel is very fast.

MAYOR FIORE: And I didn't want, you know, the donation to be our motivating factor. It's very generous of this person, whoever this person is.

MR. ABRAHAM: Yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: But if in the end, we decide that a pickleball court is really more practical and would appeal to many more residents, then $I$ think that's the way we should go.

MR. ABRAHAM: I think so, too.
MAYOR FIORE: And we could afford it ourselves.

MR. BOODY: Agree.
MR. ABRAHAM: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: Okay, cool.
So Peter's got trees, got clearing, got pickle, paddle, and -- and did $I$ miss anything?

MR. BOODY: I don't think so.
MAYOR FIORE: No, no. Okay.
So let's move on to any needs from
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Scott, because you have shoreline protection. You're still waiting for him.

MS. DIAT: 75-foot coastal setback, yeah.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: I'm also waiting for something.

MS. DIAT: Which now will need to be also amended to accommodate his substandard lot.

MAYOR FIORE: Right, right. So that's gotta be all one thing.

MS. DIAT: Right. Well, it's not one thing, but they sort of go --

MAYOR FIORE: No, but they go hand in hand.

MS. DIAT: -- hand in hand, yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: Okay. Really quickly, anything else from Scott? Do you want to --

MR. ABRAHAM: Yeah. I sent him an e-mail two weeks ago asking for a recommendation on how to facilitate -- how to facilitate sanitary facilities in pool
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MAYOR FIORE: Oh, yes. Yes, yes, yes.

MR. ABRAHAM: So that is open. I have not followed up with him on that yet.

MAYOR FIORE: He did mention it to me and he asked if we would be -- you would be open to putting a square footage limit on pool houses --

MR. ABRAHAM: Yes.
MAYOR FIORE: -- if you did, in fact, incorporate all the things that we were discussing.

MR. ABRAHAM: I incorporated -MAYOR FIORE: So that they
wouldn't turn into an apartment.
MR. ABRAHAM: Yes. Well, I think the pri- -- yeah. I mean, with everything, you know, that's always -- you know, that's always a danger --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- that whenever you
allow something, but --
MAYOR FIORE: Right.
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MR. ABRAHAM: -- you know, we
cannot make our code, like, by the one person who will violate it, right. For that we need to have, you know, like --

MAYOR FIORE: Well, $I$ was open to a 300-square foot -- I mean, a pool house is a pool house. It shouldn't be a massive structure.

MR. ABRAHAM: I agree. It should be 300 square foot.

MAYOR FIORE: Right, that's fine. Yeah, that's fine with me.

MR. ABRAHAM: And, you know, like, but it seems reasonable --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- you know, to me.
I don't know what -- yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: So that's --
MR. ABRAHAM: I'll follow up with --

MAYOR FIORE: You can follow up with him, yeah.

MR. ABRAHAM: I'll follow up with Scott and I'll include that in my e-mail.
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MAYOR FIORE: Yeah. Okay, good.
MS. DIAT: And then there was the new one you and I talked about last week, Chris.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes, and that is --
MS. DIAT: The subdivision, the
Parks \& Rec fee for the subdivision.
MS. TUOHY: Oh, right.
MAYOR FIORE: Yes. And I did
discuss that with him and it is on his
list. There is somewhat of a non baby step approach to this because should we -we're assessing the property right now. We approved that at the last meeting, the property at Ferry Road, Mr. Greene's property, the big 50-acre parcel. So we get the assessment and -- is it the assessment or the appraisal?

MS. DIAT: Appraisal.
MAYOR FIORE: It's an appraisal.
MS. TUOHY: Appraisal.
MAYOR FIORE: It's an appraisal.
So when we get the appraisal, he's going to be required to write a check.
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Terie and $I$ and Eileen discussed this and said, well, this could be a substantial check. I'm sure there'll be negotiating involved, blah, blah, blah, but at the end of the day it's gonna be a substantial check.

If we deposit this in our Parks \& Trails account, will it be -- will we be unable to utilize the money within a reasonable amount of time for Parks \& Trails projects, and should we not either redefine Parks \& Trails to include environmental issues or water quality, or should we not enact some kind of a percentage where 70 percent goes to Parks \& Trails -- just making up a number -- and 30 percent goes to the general fund, or something like that.

He is looking into that. He knows that this is not a baby steps approach, that once that check is written, and it could be written within the next 90 days, we're gonna need an answer from him and, and we're gonna need a definition and we
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will all have to agree on that.
MS. DIAT: Yeah. So my concern, the reason $I$ brought this up is, you know, this is likely to be a large contribution for this.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: Well, well --
MAYOR FIORE: Seven figures, plus.
MS. DIAT: Well over -- yeah, seven figures, plus. And the way the Code is written right now, it's gonna go into the Parks \& Recreation fund. And I said, you know, I don't know that we could spend that much money in Parks \& Recreation in 100 years, so.

MAYOR FIORE: Right. In our lifetime, anyway.

MR. BOODY: Is this called a park fee?

MAYOR FIORE: It's called a, yeah, park fee, yup, yup, yup.

MS. DIAT: Park fee. So I
suggested maybe we should look, if we could change the Code, amend the Code --
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MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: -- to broaden the definition on how, perhaps, that money is --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: -- directed and spent in the Village.

MR. ABRAHAM: Would this money
require us, because all of it's out and we have it in the General Fund, right, would this require us to lower taxes?

MS. DIAT: No, it doesn't go into the General Fund. It goes into the Parks \& Recreation.

MAYOR FIORE: No, it goes into the Parks \& Rec fund.

MR. ABRAHAM: No, we need to be able to divert it from where we --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- see fit.

MS. DIAT: Well, I'm suggesting perhaps, perhaps we look into modifying the Code --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
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MS. DIAT: -- to be able to use it
for other --
MR. ABRAHAM: I think that's a better point.

MS. DIAT: -- other
development-type --
MAYOR FIORE: Non park trail,
developmental --
MS. DIAT: Developmental --
MAYOR FIORE: -- funding.
MS. DIAT: -- projects in the Village.

MR. ABRAHAM: Other things we can do that might require attention around the Village --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: Exactly.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- that we would normally not address. But now that we have, like --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- $\$ 100,000$ from the circle, stuff like that.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
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MS. DIAT: So, yeah. And so, and
this -- you know, this subdivision is
getting close to being finalized so
it's -- this would be a --
MR. ABRAHAM: Priority.
MS. DIAT: -- pointed priority
item for --
MR. ABRAHAM: I think so, too.
MS. DIAT: -- Scott to look into.
MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: Yeah.
MR. BOODY: I would just make an observation. I'm not arguing against that idea. But $I$ think $I$ remember in the ' 70 s , the origin of this idea of a park fee for subdivisions, it's every municipality does it, but $I$ think it started in the ' 70 s.

And it's kind of like, if you are not going to designate open space in your subdivision, then you have to give us a park fee for us to --

MAYOR FIORE: That's correct.
MR. BOODY: -- use for
environmental purposes --
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MS. DIAT: That is absolutely the origin.

MR. BOODY: -- saved by open
space. The idea of now we're gonna say
any subdivision fee is for any general
purpose of the Village --
MS. DIAT: Well --
MAYOR FIORE: No, no, no, we're not saying that.

MS. DIAT: We're not necessarily saying any general --

MAYOR FIORE: NO.
MS. DIAT: -- purpose, you know.
Well, I wasn't saying that.
MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MS. DIAT: I was saying --
MAYOR FIORE: NO.
MS. DIAT: -- perhaps we define it to broaden the definition a bit. So, you know, some of the water quality initiatives we're now looking at are gonna -- I think are gonna cost us some money if we really want to pursue them in a serious way, money that we don't have
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right now. So perhaps we can broaden it in that manner.

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MS. DIAT: And then, like Chris said, for environmental usage --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: -- which would have great benefit to the residents of North Haven.

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: So those are just some ideas. I mean, we need to -- we really need to refine our ideas, obviously, before we --

MAYOR FIORE: And we need to make sure that they're legal.

MS. DIAT: -- finalize this. Yeah.

MAYOR FIORE: Which is why it's in Scott's hands, because maybe it is simply Parks \& Recreation.

MS. DIAT: Yeah, we're not sure we can even --
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MAYOR FIORE: We're not sure.
MS. DIAT: -- change the Code.
MR. BOODY: Okay. So Scott's, that's on his desk?

MAYOR FIORE: That's on his plate right now. His plate is, it's a big plate. It's a platter. He's got a lot of things on his platter.

MR. ABRAHAM: (Inaudible.)
MAYOR FIORE: Yes. So, and he did not feel, honestly, that it was imminent, the check being written.

MS. DIAT: Oh. But he did say within 90 days?

MAYOR FIORE: But he did say he would put it on the top of his list.

MS. DIAT: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: But he said there's gonna be negotiating. It's gonna be a big number. And the, the party involved is probably gonna say, hold on a minute maybe I'll do this, maybe I'll do that, maybe I'll only give you this. So there's going to be an ongoing discussion and
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MS. DIAT: But did he think -- he said -- he threw out the 90-day timeframe?

MAYOR FIORE: No. I said 90 days based on our conversation.

MS. DIAT: Oh.
MAYOR FIORE: He said I don't --
MS. DIAT: Oh, okay.
MAYOR FIORE: That's what he said.
I don't think it's gonna be 90 days.
MS. DIAT: Okay. Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: Because it took six months just to get him a third cut on his driveway on 114, so $I$ would think this would go on for a long time.

MS. DIAT: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: But we should not put it on the back burner.

MS. DIAT: No, no, no.
MAYOR FIORE: We should keep it on the front burner.

MS. DIAT: Yes. It will take some time to --

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
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MS. DIAT: -- modify this part of
the Code if we --
MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: -- if we end up doing that, if we end up going down that avenue.

MAYOR FIORE: The next two things, Code Enforcement meeting with Sag Harbor.

Scott and I met with the -- one of the legal assistants in Sag Harbor, Mayor Larocca, Bruce, the Code Enforcement officer, and Chris Talbot, who is the building inspector, and Code Enforcement reports to him. It was received quite well, $I$ thought. We left with a handshake and they're going to get back to us.

So we proposed that we sign an IMA with them to use their Code Enforcement people here in North Haven and sever the relationship with the Town of Southampton Code Enforcement.

MR. ABRAHAM: Is there any timeline of this?

MAYOR FIORE: No. I did not ask him how long it would take him to get back
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to us, but $I$ will keep bugging him.
MR. ABRAHAM: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: And the --
MS. DIAT: So can I --
MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: So when we get to kind of finalizing and (inaudible) tax on it, I think it'll be important that we're specific with them on what we want --

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: -- and have sort of some service level agreements.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes, of course.
MS. DIAT: So that, you know, that we don't end up in the same situation we had with --

MAYOR FIORE: They asked a lot of questions about, well, how do you want us to approach this? Is this -- do you want us to do inspections? Do you want us to do patrols? Do you want us to do as requested? I said, "as requested".

MS. DIAT: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: I don't want them
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going --
MS. DIAT: But, yeah, we don't want to be in the same situation we were with Town of Southampton, coming out of Hampton Bays. So, you know --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: We want to be -- we should just be specific on what we want, how quickly they respond.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: Reports that we get from them, things like that.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: So that we get what we want from them.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: And we don't leave it up to them to interpret what we want.

MAYOR FIORE: Exactly.
MS. DIAT: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: But, I mean, as
requested, and you say, like, you know, if there's a noise complaint, should be onsite within 15 minutes, something like
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that, right?
MS. DIAT: Yeah. Well, I mean, yeah, let's --

MAYOR FIORE: Well, it would depend on --

MS. DIAT: -- lay out the response respect for, for urgent requests, for non-urgent requests, the types of things we're gonna want them to respond to.

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: You mean like a noise complaint from Hampton Bays might not be addressed for two or three hours.

MS. DIAT: Right. But noise -right. Within 15 minutes, 20 minutes, whatever we think is --

MAYOR FIORE: But at the same time, if it's a party and noise complaint at midnight, you should call 911. You shouldn't call the Code Enforcement officer.

MS. DIAT: Well, that's not what we were --

MR. ABRAHAM: No.
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MS. DIAT: -- talking about. MAYOR FIORE: Oh, no. I don't want the Code Enforcement officer in Sag Harbor getting up at 1:00 in the morning to respond.

MS. TUOHY: Well, that wouldn't have happened in the Town of Southampton, either, if they provided that. If something happened at midnight, usually the Code Enforcement officers are off at that time, you have to call the police.

MAYOR FIORE: You have to call 911, right.

MR. BOODY: And do police have authority to issue tickets --

MAYOR FIORE: Oh, yeah.
MR. BOODY: -- on the basis of our code?

MAYOR FIORE: The police usually just show up and say, "Shut the music down."

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: We've gotten complaints.
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MS. DIAT: All right. So it
sounds like we need to be clear on what we want so that we can communicate to them what we want, right?

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. BOODY: You're right.
MS. DIAT: And, I mean, several months back when we were heavy into the discussions when we were hiring our own -but we probably need to revisit it, right, so.

MAYOR FIORE: We would need to define it. But first let's find out if they're interested in an IMA. That was the first step.

MR. ABRAHAM: I think what matters now is that the first matter that Chris has communicated, as requested, I think, and not, like, patrolled, we need all that.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: But we want somebody to be, like, at a (inaudible), there's a call, they come, address it. And I think
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once they are willing to provide that, then we can define our perimeters of what we need. I think that's the right order --

MS. DIAT: Yes.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- we need to go about this.

MS. DIAT: Correct.
MAYOR FIORE: Good.
As far as the police Zoom, Dianne had asked if she could be a part of it.

You may want -- you don't?
MR. ABRAHAM: I won't be.
MAYOR FIORE: Yeah, yeah. So if we have three on a Zoom, is that an illegal meeting?

MS. TUOHY: You can't. It has to be -- that has to be an advertised meeting. You can only have two.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay. Then let me ask Dianne if, if you and $I$ can be on this call.

MR. ABRAHAM: Well, when we, at the last, last week --
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MAYOR FIORE: 'Cause $I$ thought it was gonna be the two of you, but if the two of you and $I$ are on it, that becomes an official meeting and I'd like not to have an official meeting. So I'd like to only have you.

MR. ABRAHAM: I got that. But I didn't know at last week's meeting that she said she wanted to be on that.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes, she did.
MR. ABRAHAM: Because you asked around and --

MAYOR FIORE: She whispered it to me. She said, "I'd like to help you with that, too."

MR. ABRAHAM: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: So I would like to
talk to her about that.
In the meantime, I've asked
Supervisor Schneiderman to help me set up a Zoom call with the chief of police or whoever his appropriate representative would be. And then those of us who are participating in the call will have our
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list of questions, issues, things that we would like resolved and we'd like to see a resolution to, similar to the meeting we had -- I guess it was about a year-and-a-half ago. Who was on that? It was just myself and Jeff. But I'd rather hold their feet more to the fire on this particular Zoom call and see what their response is.

MR. ABRAHAM: That sounds good.
MAYOR FIORE: I have a couple of things in my head that I'd like to talk to them about. You certainly would, as well, and Dianne would. Maybe we can talk to Dianne before we have the call so that her thoughts are included.

MR. ABRAHAM: Yes.
MAYOR FIORE: Okay. That's that with the police.

Let's just skip down to BOT support, 'cause that's really simple. You know this BOT, Board of Trustees. You know this memorializing resolution in support of the Town Board resolution
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amending Town Code Chapter 4, creating a Community Housing Fund.

I simply would like us to sign
this as we get closer to November 8th, which is the vote, if you are in favor of it. I would like to send it out on a Constant Contact. I'd like you to have a link on your newsletter for -- I don't know when your date is for November. But I would like to indicate to the residents of the Village our collective support for this resolution and simply, you know, we signed it, we mentioned it at a board meeting, there were two people here.

MR. BOODY: We passed it.
MAYOR FIORE: We passed it. But there were two people here. But then what happens? Okay.

So I think we need to get the word out to the residents that this is an important vote and we support this and we sign this resolution and don't forget to vote on November 8 th and this is what this resolution means.

1

NORTH HAVEN BOT $W$ ORK SESSION - 9/27/2022
MS. DIAT: So we'll have the resolution that we passed last week --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: -- in the October newsletter.

MAYOR FIORE: In yours, right.
There'll be a link to it?
MS. DIAT: Yes.
MAYOR FIORE: So maybe you can expound on it a little bit.

MS. DIAT: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: 'Cause there's a lot of whereases, you know, it's legalese. The basic issue is that we want to express our support in this resolution for the creation of a Community Housing Fund to be funded by . 5 percent supplemental real estate tax and here's what you're gonna get for it.

MR. BOODY: You know, another suggestion is for you, the Mayor, and all of us could sign it, too.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. BOODY: Send a letter to the
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editor of the paper. I think that maybe --

MAYOR FIORE: That would be good.
MR. BOODY: -- that's a specific way to show that this is what --

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. BOODY: -- we did and we're in favor of it.

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. BOODY: And FYI, Alex, sorry, wasn't covering our meeting last week --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. BOODY: -- because he had LSAT's to prepare for or something. And Georgie Menu, the editor, told me she's gonna do a story about our last meeting based on looking at a Zoom link, you know, a Zoom recording.

So I sent her an e-mail. I said Alex wasn't here, here's some of the things we did, just totally straight, you know. We withdrew the Rental Code change and I mentioned to her, by the way, I thought the story kind of left out the

| 1 | NORTH HAVEN BOT WORK SESSION - 9/27/2022 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | context of our discussion, which was kind |
| 3 | of like why are we doing this anyway. It |
| 4 | wasn't like it was a serious proposal |
| 5 | before us, and I let her know that. And |
| 6 | she may decide, when she does the story, |
| 7 | that that's the lead because they made |
| 8 | such a big deal out of it when we first |
| 9 | brought it up. So that may be her |
| 10 | instinct. But I also told her we passed |
| 11 | that resolution and supported the upcoming |
| 12 | referendum. And normally, any paper, I |
| 13 | think, is gonna report that, so. |
| 14 | MAYOR FIORE: So I would just like |
| 15 | to indicate to the residents through our |
| 16 | methods of communication that we support |
| 17 | it, that we encourage them to vote for it, |
| 18 | and November 8 th is the day and it's right |
| 19 | here at Village Hall and Eileen's gonna |
| 20 | make cookies for everybody who comes to |
| 21 | vote. |
| 22 | MS. DIAT: I think we need to do |
| 23 | it this month because our next newsletter |
| 24 | won't -- |
| 25 | MAYOR FIORE: Will be after the |
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8th? Okay.
MS. DIAT: -- go out again before
November 8th, yeah.
MAYOR FIORE: So let's do that
then. When's your October date?
MS. DIAT: So it will go out
October -- I think it's 11th, 12th.
MAYOR FIORE: Okay. 10/11.
10/11/22. Okay.
MS. DIAT: Yeah, October 11th.
MAYOR FIORE: All right. Park
financial parameters.
Just to piggyback on our
conversation at the Board of Trustees meeting last month, this is the transcript from the meeting, the budget meeting on 4/19, which $I$ just want to read before we get -- before we open up this topic.

Mayor Sandor said -- remember him?
He said, "No" -- wait a minute.
"Basically, the increase prior to the interfund transfer was only 37" -- "we were able to have savings in other areas," "came up during the budget preparation

1

NORTH HAVEN BOT WORK SESSION - 9/27/2022
time." "So I don't know if I missed anything, if anybody wants to" -- then whoever was saying that was interrupted by Mayor Sander.
"No. I'd just like to point out that the 75,000 will be transferred to cover potential expenses for the Lovelady" -- project, sic, project -"could be offset by what we anticipate, donations, moneys that could potentially be gained from new subdivisions, which a couple are in process in the Village, grants that may be available from the State or Federal Government. So, while we transferred money in to cover those expenses, it may turn out that we can get those covered from other sources of revenue."

Okay. So there was not a decision that the money that we're raising, okay -so the money's coming from three different (inaudible) right. There's subdivision money, there's grant money, and there's donations. Okay. So what I said at the

1

NORTH HAVEN BOT $W$ ORK SESSION - 9/27/2022
last meeting was, all of this money, by the way, goes into the Parks \& Trails fund. And I said I have no problem taking the money out of the Parks \& Trails fund before it goes in there and giving it back to the General Fund in order to cover the demolition costs of the house. I simply don't want the money taken out prior to us using it and building the park. That's all.

So do we want to discuss this further or again or how do we want to approach this? You brought it up, Terie, so I just -- I want you to be happy with whatever the conclusion of the discussion is.

MS. DIAT: Okay. So I thought we made the decision in the Board meeting last week.

MAYOR FIORE: We tabled it.
MR. ABRAHAM: I thought we made a decision.

MS. DIAT: I thought we made a decision.
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MAYOR FIORE: We made a resolution
but we tabled -- we tabled the piece of
the resolution that said that funding would come from donations to the park. Your point was that we needed to reimburse the Village. And I thought I heard that we needed to reimburse the Village as soon as the first $\$ 75,000$ was collected, 'cause I've collected 100,000 in one bucket and 50,000 in another, so.

MS. DIAT: All right.
MAYOR FIORE: If there's no issue, then we can move on.

MS. DIAT: So, hold on. In the Board meeting last week --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. DIAT: -- we were talking
about whether we were going to pass the resolution on the procedures, and I thought --

MAYOR FIORE: Here's the other procedures. Yeah.

MS. DIAT: And I thought we made the decision to modify the procedures and,
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therefore, we did not pass the resolution. So you're opening up the discussion again right now is what you're saying?

MAYOR FIORE: Because my
understanding was that we tabled the
discussion of the reimbursement to the
General Fund to today's meeting.
MS. DIAT: I didn't think that's what we did.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay. So, Eileen, do you --

MS. TUOHY: I believe --
MAYOR FIORE: -- have a definition of what we did?

MS. TUOHY: Off the top of my
head, how $I$ remember it was that that sentence about reimbursing or not reimbursing was gonna be removed.

MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MS. TUOHY: I don't think you could quite agree on it at that point, so then we decided to table the whole thing. MAYOR FIORE: Right.

MS. DIAT: You said, "Should we

1

NORTH HAVEN BOT WORK SESSION - 9/27/2022
just remove it," and I said, "No, I thought we should go back to it" -MS. TUOHY: Right.

MS. DIAT: -- "as it was originally written," and you said, "I don't remember what that was, I'll have to go back and look." So --

MAYOR FIORE: Right. And that's why I got the transcript.

MS. DIAT: So I got this and I go back. And as it was originally written, I pulled it out, it was the redlined version of the original one. That's what I thought we were gonna - -

MAYOR FIORE: So what is the question? That is what $I$ want to know.

MS. DIAT: Just need to put him --
here's -- you redlined my original draft. You redlined it. I thought you were just gonna go back and put --

MAYOR FIORE: So what are we putting in? What are we doing?

MS. DIAT: I'll read it to you if you want me to read it to you.
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MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MS. DIAT: Here's, here's -- I did the original draft.

MAYOR FIORE: I don't have that.
I don't have that copy. I just have this.
MS. DIAT: So I can read it to you. I did the original draft.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay. All right.
MS. DIAT: You and Eileen worked on it.

MAYOR FIORE: Right, right, right.
MS. DIAT: And you redlined it.
MAYOR FIORE: Go ahead. Go ahead, read it, yeah.

MS. DIAT: So that's what I
thought you guys were gonna do, and then you were gonna --

MAYOR FIORE: Okay. So tell us what we're -- what you think we're gonna do.

MS. DIAT: All right. So, I'll
back up a little bit to give it some context. I'm gonna take what you have and then read what $I$ thought we were gonna
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insert. Let me just find the right section. All right, so it's point two. I'm gonna read the whole point two.
"Spending for the park should be based on a pay-as-you-go principle. In other words, once funds have been collected from the Community Fundraising Campaign, spending for the phase development can take place. The one exception to this principle will be the removal of asbestos and the demolition of the current buildings on the property for which funds have been designated in the 2022/2023 Village of North Haven budget." MAYOR FIORE: Right. And that's what's in this --

MS. DIAT: Yes, that's what's in there.

MAYOR FIORE: -- Board of Trustees approval process.

MS. DIAT: Then $I$ think we need to reinsert this language, sorry, let me just find it again, "If necessary, this demolition activity can take place before
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funds from the Community Fundraising Campaign have been collected. The demolition will be paid for by the Village of North Haven budget and the North Haven Village Parks \& Trails Association will then reimburse the Village of North Haven post the demolition activity once moneys have been collected from the fundraising effort." That's what $I$ think needs to be reinserted.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay. Let me tell you why $I$ don't think that should be inserted, is because what that implies is that, okay, I've got $\$ 100,000$. I've got a check for $\$ 100,000$. We're gonna demo the house in December, let's just say. That is saying that the reimbursement of the Village has to come at once from the first $\$ 75,000$ that we collect. It doesn't say that at the end of the project, if there is, in fact, $\$ 75,000$ over our capital budget request, not in our budget request but over our capital needs for the park, then that 75,000 will go back to the
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Village. That is what I'm in agreement for. I am not in agreement to give you the 75,000 as soon as we collect it. I want to spend the 75,000 on the trail.
And that's -- it's kind of -- it's not semantics. It's a little bit of -it's a little bit of, you know, just making the definition different. It's -at the end of the project, if we've got $\$ 75,000$ left, I'll give it back to the Village. Or you could say that the Village can be reimbursed from the Parks \& Trails fund any time during, you know, the fiscal year 2024, because that's when we'll get the money from Mr. Greene.

I just don't want to be hampered by having to -- and that's what your insertion would do. It would hamper us. So we've gotta raise 75,000 before we can plant the first daffodil bulb.

MS. DIAT: All right. So why don't we put then in this, what I'm suggesting needs to be reinserted, some language that adds the timing that you're
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concerned about?
MAYOR FIORE: Well, it's after the park is completed. After the park is completed.

MR. BOODY: Can $I$ just insert something?

MAYOR FIORE: Sure.
MR. BOODY: Backing up maybe a little bit, $I$ was under the impression -I wasn't on the Board, but when you all were talking about this -- that the Village was obligated, as part of the CPF purchase that the Town made, to take down the house under the --

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. BOODY: -- terms of the CPF laid out (sic).

MAYOR FIORE: That's in the IMA.
MR. BOODY: And that, therefore, it was a Village obligation to do this and that's why it was taken out of the budget.

And I don't have a problem with the Village paying for that. It's there. It's in the budget. It's allocated. And
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it seems to me that the fundraising
process is for the development of the park plan, whatever we finally decide that that is. I don't, I don't have a problem with letting the Village just cover the demolition as its responsibility to the agreement for acquiring the property in the first place.

MS. DIAT: And that's fine. My --
the point $I$ made in the Board meeting last week is, $I$ believe it's disingenuous for us to change our minds at this point in time because that's different from the position that was presented to the residents at budget time.

MAYOR FIORE: No, I'm sorry.
MR. ABRAHAM: Terie, I was --
MAYOR FIORE: Terie, that was not.
MS. DIAT: I believe. That's
my --
MAYOR FIORE: That was not --
MS. DIAT: -- perspective, and I
believe it's different from what was minuted and recorded when the budget was

1

NORTH HAVEN BOT WORK SESSION - 9/27/2022
presented, according to the minutes.
MR. BOODY: I missed that --
MS. DIAT: My perspective.
MR. ABRAHAM: But I was, I was on the Board.

MAYOR FIORE: Here's the minutes.
I know. I was, too.
MS. DIAT: You all can have a different perspective.

MS. TUOHY: Guys, one's gotta talk at a time, please, 'cause the recording's gonna be hard to, you know --

MS. DIAT: That's my perspective, okay?

MR. ABRAHAM: Okay.
MR. BOODY: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: We have a different perspective.

MS. DIAT: That's fine.
MAYOR FIORE: Okay.
MS. DIAT: That's fine.
MAYOR FIORE: And the
perspective -- your perspective defines it as disingenuous. My perspective defines
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it as looking at the record and saying this was never a decision.

MS. DIAT: Well, you didn't read the rest of the record. Read the rest of the record --

MAYOR FIORE: I didn't --
MS. DIAT: -- what you said after Jeff. I mean, I think that further clarifies the record.

MAYOR FIORE: I think $I$ said what I just said here, that if we collect more than $\$ 450,000--\quad$ don't know what $I$ said, but I've always been of the mind that we'll reimburse the Village if we collect more than we need, and that's what I'm still saying. I've said it now, like, five times.

The only question $I$ have, the only point $I$ want to make is that we raise the money first, we build the park. Whatever's left, up to 75,000 , we can send back to the Village fund. Now that's six times I've said that.

MS. DIAT: Okay. So I just
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offered a moment ago to add some additional clarifying language in here to say that.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay.
MS. DIAT: That we reimburse the Village at the end of the effort.

MAYOR FIORE: Okay.
MS. DIAT: That's what I just suggested.

MR. ABRAHAM: It should be worded in a way that it's not -- it should be worded in a way where it says, like, should the fundraising efforts at the end of the project exceed the money needed to create the park, the remaining balance should go back to the Village.

MS. DIAT: Okay. All right.
MR. ABRAHAM: That's what it should say.

MS. DIAT: Okay. So we can, we can --

MAYOR FIORE: I agree. But that doesn't address Peter's point. And Peter's point --
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MR. ABRAHAM: No, it does not.
And I'm (inaudible) as Peter that --
MAYOR FIORE: I kind of agree with
Peter.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- I feel the
Village should pay for the demolition --
MAYOR FIORE: I actually do, too.
MR. BOODY: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- with no
obligation for the --
MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- for this to be
repaid --
MS. DIAT: Okay.
MR. ABRAHAM: -- because --
MS. DIAT: Okay. Okay, so --
MR. ABRAHAM: That was my understanding.

MS. DIAT: So last week, last week, I thought, and I think this will be in the minutes from last week's meeting, that everybody had agreed to --

MAYOR FIORE: NO.
MS. DIAT: -- change the
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resolution if we wanted --
MAYOR FIORE: Last week's meeting we said we would table the discussion of the reimbursement to the Village until today. So, should we take a vote?

MS. TUOHY: I was just gonna say, take a vote.

MAYOR FIORE: I think we should take a vote.

MR. ABRAHAM: Let me -- can $I$ say one more thing?

MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: And then we can take a vote?

MAYOR FIORE: Please.
MR. ABRAHAM: I think it's a good middle ground if we say, if -- without putting a timing on it, at the time the park is finished, should the fundraising effort exceed the cost to create and finish this park, the remaining money should be transferred back. I think if we word it that way, we're doing some of my impression but we're also doing some of
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MS. TUOHY: Can I --
MR. ABRAHAM: Sorry.
MS. TUOHY: I just want to
interject something there. I agree with what Claas is saying, but you should only reimburse the General Fund the extent of the $\$ 75,000$.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MS. TUOHY: If there's any other money left over, that should stay in the Parks \& Recreation fund.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: Can we agree on this wording?

MR. BOODY: Yes.
MAYOR FIORE: I can agree on this wording, but now I'm kind of digging my heels in because I'm saying that as I go to people and I say, "I'd like your $\$ 100,000$ from your family foundation," I'm not saying that this is gonna tear down the house which is technically the Village's responsibility. So I would need
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some direction on how $I$ would approach these people and make sure that we are being as transparent as we intend to be. That's all.

MS. TUOHY: Okay. Can I make a suggestion now?

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. TUOHY: At the next Board meeting --

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. TUOHY: -- when Dianne is
present --
MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. TUOHY: -- do a public vote
that says that either that the General
Fund will assume the full cost of demolition, demolishing the building, and absorbing the cost of the asbestos removal as well.

MAYOR FIORE: I agree.
(Crosstalk)
MS. DIAT: Without any
reimbursement, ever.
MR. ABRAHAM: Yes.
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MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. TUOHY: Without any -- yup.
MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MR. BOODY: Yeah, because $I$ think that is a separate issue and $I$ think it would be clarifying to just resolve that point.

MR. ABRAHAM: Yeah. I think that's a good point.

MAYOR FIORE: And, Terie --
MR. ABRAHAM: That's why we can vote.

MAYOR FIORE: Terie, before we ever proposed a park, we were responsible for that expense before we ever proposed a park --

MS. DIAT: I understand.
MAYOR FIORE: -- or raised a dollar.

MS. DIAT: I understand.
MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MS. DIAT: I'm just objecting to the fact that $I$ believe we're changing our mind on something that we had discussed
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earlier and led residents to believe something different at the budget time. That's what I'm objecting to. That is what I'm objecting to.

MAYOR FIORE: Well, then let's get
the rest of the transcript, because your --

MS. TUOHY: Right. Well --
MAYOR FIORE: Your position last month was that Jeff said. I said, okay, now --

MS. DIAT: No.
MAYOR FIORE: -- here's what Jeff
said. Let's get the rest of the
transcript so that we could say okay, and we will not be disingenuous.

MR. ABRAHAM: Let Eileen talk.
MAYOR FIORE: Yeah.
MS. TUOHY: I'm just saying, you
guys are just, again, reiterating the same thing over and over again here.

MAYOR FIORE: I know.
MS. TUOHY: Let's just take
what -- not table it, but at the next
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meeting, let's have the vote. If you choose to go on that the General Fund will absorb the cost, then this will be -- I understand Terie, you know, where she's coming from. You know, the discussion did go back and froth. But now you've just -you know, it's like a ping-pong match here.

MR. BOODY: So you'll draft that resolution?

MS. TUOHY: You need to make a decision.

MR. BOODY: All right. That's how it works.

MR. ABRAHAM: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: So you'll draft that. Good.

MR. ABRAHAM: So now we have Summerhill.

MAYOR FIORE: Now we have one more, Summerhill. Can I --

MS. DIAT: And just -- I'm sorry. Just to -- and then we will pass the resolution for these procedures?
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MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. TUOHY: Yes. Then we'll -yes.

MS. DIAT: And so then do we need to modify these procedures? Let's just read this again. Okay.

MS. TUOHY: We'll just put in
there some sort of verbiage that says that
the General Fund -- I'm just saying
hypothetically that the outcome of that vote is that the General Fund is gonna pay for those costs. We'll just include some sort of verbiage in there that will say that the General Fund is accepting --

MS. DIAT: Okay.
MS. TUOHY: -- responsibility
to --
MS. DIAT: Yeah. No, I think they can be passed the way that they are written now if we pass that vote in the next --

MS. TUOHY: Okay.
MS. DIAT: -- meeting.
MS. TUOHY: I don't have that in
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front of me at the moment, so 1 can't --
MS. DIAT: Okay.
MS. TUOHY: So we can work on that.

MS. DIAT: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: Let me just ask one question for clarification. On the Cilli Field CPF, which is not our piece that we're talking about but the adjacent piece, the IMA requires that the Village maintain that property. So Glenn mows that occasionally, correct?

MS. TUOHY: He does it twice a year. Twice a year.

MAYOR FIORE: He mows it twice a year. Who pays for that?

MS. TUOHY: We do.
MAYOR FIORE: Okay. We, the Village?

MS. TUOHY: We, the Village.
MAYOR FIORE: Okay. Thank you.
Can $I$ just say something before you get to your Summerhill resolution?

Speaking of disingenuous, I got
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$\$ 100,000$ worth of pro bon work from Jackson Dodds. He's up to about $\$ 70,000$. Would it be -- would it be appropriate and good of us to give him an opportunity to make $\$ 10,000$ if he wanted to assume this project at the traffic circle? We're going with Summerhill and I just -- you know, I just feel like, wow, you've just done all this work for us, you haven't made a penny, and we just gave somebody else the only money that we're spending on landscaping.

MR. BOODY: Did he --
MAYOR FIORE: He doesn't know about it. He didn't ask for it. I'm just saying, could we, should we ask him to bid on this same work project? I know it slows you down.

MS. TUOHY: My, my only
question --
MAYOR FIORE: I know it slows you down.

MS. TUOHY: That's gonna be -that's gonna be a problem. I mean, it's
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not a problem, but it could delay the traffic circle getting done in time for fall planting. I only went back to Summerhill because they did the original plan. They -MAYOR FIORE: Right.

MS. TUOHY: He came in. He was very familiar with it. So it was just a matter of expediency to get it done before the fall because you have to get the bulbs in the ground before the first frost. MAYOR FIORE: Right.

MS. TUOHY: He's gotta order the stuff.

MAYOR FIORE: But $I$ think we have -- it's only September. I think we have a couple of weeks before we have -MS. TUOHY: All right. Well then --

MAYOR FIORE: -- any kind of a threat.

MS. TUOHY: All right. Well, we can --

MAYOR FIORE: I'm only saying
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maybe we could pass this resolution and say, yes, we will hire Summerhill but we will wait to see if there is an interest level on the part of the person who is donating a lot of his time and we feel that that might be appropriate.

MR. ABRAHAM: I think it's a fair point.

MAYOR FIORE: Pardon me?
MR. ABRAHAM: I think it's a fair point.

MAYOR FIORE: I think it's a fair point, yeah.

MS. TUOHY: That's fine.
MAYOR FIORE: So we'll pass it, give you two weeks.

MS. TUOHY: No, no, no.
MAYOR FIORE: No?
MS. TUOHY: If we're gonna do that, then $I$ say we go out and get some other quotes to make it even more fair then. We'll get Summerhill. We'll Jackson Dodds. And we'll see if there's anybody else out there who wants to bid on
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MAYOR FIORE: Well, you can, but it's not over $\$ 30,000$ so there's no three-bid requirement.

And $I$ can also get you an answer by 3:00 this afternoon. I could just call him and ask him if he's interested. And if he says "No," then we go with Summerhill. That's why I'm saying.

MS. TUOHY: You can't just award it -- you can't -- I would just rather then get the quotes and then we'll award it at the October meeting.

MAYOR FIORE: All right. But then you lose -- you do lose some time.

MS. TUOHY: Or we have a special meeting between now and then.

MAYOR FIORE: Can we ratify it by e-mail?

MR. BOODY: No, I don't think so.
MS. TUOHY: No. I would just say
we'll get a quote -- maybe, yeah, we can do that. We'll get another quote and then we can send an e-mail out.
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MAYOR FIORE: Yeah. I'm sorry to
throw a kink into it, but $I$ also feel
somewhat obligated. This man has, has done a huge amount of work for us and has donated all of his time and his equipment and his gasoline and his diesel fuel and his people to do something that we will never reimburse him for. We only have this one project and I'd love him to have an opportunity, if he needs it. He might not need \$9,000.

MR. BOODY: Seems reasonable.
MAYOR FIORE: Okay.
MR. ABRAHAM: I think that's fair. MAYOR FIORE: Okay, thanks.

MS. DIAT: So can $I$ just ask one question, Eileen? So the St. John's Wort, is that the shrub that's replacing the red ones --

MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. DIAT: -- that are there now?
MS. TUOHY: They're, they're --
MAYOR FIORE: Yes.
MS. TUOHY: Yes. There were two
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shrubs that were in there. Wait, let me just look. The shrubs -- the St. John's Wort is replacing a bush in there that turned out to he invasive, so that's gotta come out. And then $I$ think there's still gonna be -- let me just look at -- all right, yeah. The shrubs are gonna replace the re- -- what's the red, what's in there. Excuse me, my voice -- my throat's just gotten a little scratchy. That's gonna replace, $I$ think, the box -- the red, the red bush. And then the Nepeta's gotta be replaced as well 'cause they get kind of beat up after a couple years. They, they lose their vibrance.

MS. DIAT: Yeah.
MS. TUOHY: But this was basically the -- this is basically the exact same thing that's there with the exception of the St. John's Wort --

MAYOR FIORE: The St. John's Wort. MS. TUOHY: -- that has to be swapped out. MS. DIAT: And we are still
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looking at the flagpole in the middle? MAYOR FIORE: Yes.

MR. ABRAHAM: Yes.
MS. DIAT: Yup. Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: Either in the middle or on the side. But we're still looking into the flagpole, correct?

MR. ABRAHAM: We're looking at the flagpole.

MAYOR FIORE: Your preference is in the middle.

MR. ABRAHAM: My preference is in the middle.

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: I'll get the paperwork from you and --

MAYOR FIORE: Right.
MR. ABRAHAM: I have not gotten into it yet, but $I$ will soon.

MAYOR FIORE: Dianne had brought up could we discuss that again and discuss the side as a group, not opening it up, opening it up to the residents.

MR. ABRAHAM: Well, I think it
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should be in the middle. I mean, I couldn't care less about if we're on the side. Might as well not do it at all. MS. DIAT: No. In the middle. MAYOR FIORE: Okay. Okay. MS. DIAT: I agree. MAYOR FIORE: So that's what you're pursuing? MR. ABRAHAM: Yeah. MAYOR FIORE: Okay. All right, fine. But that'll be next spring because it's gonna take the DOT until the next spring to give you the approval.

MR. ABRAHAM: At least. MAYOR FIORE: Okay, good. So I will call Jackson Dodds and ask him if he's interested in bidding on this, this exact work order, and we'll see.

MS. TUOHY: Well, don't give him that.

MAYOR FIORE: No. I'm gonna give him the -- I'm gonna give him the description.
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MS. TUOHY: Give him the
description, but don't give him the dollar amount.

MAYOR FIORE: No. Of course I'm
not gonna give him the dollar amount, no,
of course not. Hey, Jackson, psst.
MR. BOODY: Yeah.
MR. ABRAHAM: We're still being recorded.

Can we make a motion to adjourn?
MAYOR FIORE: I'm finished.
MR. BOODY: Motion to adjourn.
MAYOR FIORE: Motion to adjourn.
MS. DIAT: Second.
MS. TUOHY: Okay.
MAYOR FIORE: Second. Thank you
so much --
MR. BOODY: Aye.
MAYOR FIORE: -- everyone.
MR. BOODY: We need a vote.
(End of Provided Recording)
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